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## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF NEVADA

Prepared Direct Testimony

of
Dylan W. D'Ascendis

## I. INTRODUCTION

Q. 1 Please state your name and business address.
A. 1 My name is Dylan W. D'Ascendis. My business address is 3000 Atrium Way, Suite 200, Mount Laurel, NJ 08054.
Q. 2 By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
A. 2 I am employed by ScottMadden, Inc. as Partner.
Q. 3 On whose behalf are you submitting this testimony?
A. 3 I am submitting this prepared direct testimony (Direct Testimony) before the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada (PUCN or Commission) on behalf of Southwest Gas Corporation (Southwest Gas or Company).
Q. 4 Please summarize your educational background and relevant business experience.
A. 4 I have offered expert testimony on behalf of investor-owned utilities before over 35 state regulatory commissions in the United States, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC), the Alberta Utility Commission, the Canadian Energy Regulator, an American Arbitration Association panel, and the Superior Court of Rhode Island on issues including, but not limited to, common equity cost rate, rate of return, valuation, capital structure, class cost of service, and rate design.

On behalf of the American Gas Association (AGA), I calculate the AGA Gas Index, which serves as the benchmark against which the performance of the American Gas Index Fund (AGIF) is measured on a monthly basis. The AGA Gas Index and AGIF are a market capitalization weighted index and mutual fund, respectively, comprised of the common stocks of the publicly traded corporate members of the AGA.

I am a member of the Society of Utility and Regulatory Financial Analysts (SURFA). In 2011, I was awarded the professional designation "Certified Rate of Return Analyst" by SURFA, which is based on education, experience, and the successful completion of a comprehensive written examination.

I am also a member of the National Association of Certified Valuation Analysts (NACVA) and was awarded the professional designation "Certified Valuation Analyst" by the NACVA in 2015.

I am a graduate of the University of Pennsylvania, where I received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economic History. I have also received a Master of Business Administration with high honors and concentrations in Finance and International Business from Rutgers University.

The details of my educational background and expert witness appearances are shown in Appendix A.

## Q. 5 What is the purpose of your Direct Testimony in this proceeding?

A. 5 The purpose of my Direct Testimony is to present evidence on behalf of the Company and recommend a weighted average cost of capital (WACC) to be used in setting rates in this proceeding. My testimony first provides a summary of financial theory and regulatory principles pertinent to the development of the recommended cost of capital. I then present evidence and analysis on: (1) the
appropriate capital structure, (2) the appropriate cost of long- and short-term debt, and (3) the appropriate range of return on common equity (ROE) on the Company's Nevada jurisdictional rate base.
Q. 6 Are you sponsoring any statements for the Company's minimum filing requirements?
A. 6 Yes. I am sponsoring Statement F, which contains Schedules F-1 through F-4 for the Company's Southern and Northern Nevada rate jurisdictions.
Q. 7 Have you prepared any Exhibits in support of your Direct Testimony?
A. 7 Yes. Exhibit No.___(DWD-1) through Exhibit No.___(DWD-10) were prepared by me or under my direction.

## II. SUMMARY

Q. 8 What are your recommendations for Southwest Gas' Southern and Northern rate jurisdictions with regards to capital structure and associated cost rates?
A. 8 I recommend that the Commission authorize a ratemaking capital structure applicable to both the Southern and Northern Nevada jurisdictional rate bases consisting of $50.00 \%$ common equity and $50.00 \%$ total debt ${ }^{1}$ at embedded debt cost rates of $4.53 \%$ (Southern) and $4.55 \%$ (Northern). Regarding the ROE, I find that ranges between $9.65 \%-12.15 \%$ (excluding Company-specific adjustments), and 10.08\% - 12.58\% (including Company-specific adjustments), are appropriate. Given these ranges, the Company requests an ROE of $10.00 \%$ as discussed in the prepared direct testimony of Company Witness Amy L. Timperley. The Company's requested capital structure and associated cost rates for each rate

[^0]jurisdiction are summarized on page 1 of Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (DWD-1) and in Tables 1 and 2 below:

Table 1: Summary of Recommended Weighted Average Cost of Capital Southern Nevada Rate Jurisdiction

| Type of Capital | Ratios | Cost <br> Rate | Weighted Cost <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Debt | $50.00 \%$ | $4.53 \%$ | $2.27 \%$ |
| Common Equity | $\underline{50.00 \%}$ | $10.00 \%$ | $\underline{5.00 \%}$ |
| Total | $\underline{\underline{100.00 \%}}$ |  | $\underline{\underline{7.27 \%}}$ |

Table 2: Summary of Recommended Weighted Average Cost of Capital -
Northern Nevada Rate Jurisdiction

| Type of Capital | Ratios | Cost <br> Rate | Weighted Cost <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Debt | $50.00 \%$ | $4.55 \%$ | $2.27 \%$ |
| Common Equity | $\underline{50.00 \%}$ | $10.00 \%$ | $\underline{5.00 \%}$ |
| Total | $\underline{\underline{100.00 \%}}$ |  | $\underline{\underline{7.27 \%}}$ |

Q. 9 Please summarize your recommended range of common equity cost rates.
A. 9 My recommended range of common equity costs rates between $9.65 \%$ to $12.15 \%$ (unadjusted) and $10.08 \%$ to $12.58 \%$ (adjusted) is summarized on page 2 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-1). I have assessed the market-based common equity cost rates of companies of relatively similar, but not necessarily identical, risk to Southwest Gas. Using companies of relatively comparable risk as proxies is consistent with the principles of fair rate of return established in the Hope ${ }^{2}$ and Bluefield ${ }^{3}$ decisions. No proxy group can be identical in risk to any single company, consequently, there

[^1]must be an evaluation of relative risk between the Company and the proxy group to determine if it is appropriate to adjust the proxy group's indicated rate of return.

My recommendation results from the application of several cost of common equity models, specifically the Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) model, the Risk Premium Model (RPM), and the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM), to the market data of the Utility Proxy Group whose selection criteria will be discussed below. In addition, I applied the DCF model, RPM, and CAPM to a Non-Price Regulated Proxy Group. The results derived from each are as follows:

Table 3: Summary of Common Equity Cost Rate

| Discounted Cash Flow Model (DCF) | $9.65 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Risk Premium Model (RPM) | $10.85 \%$ |
| Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) | $11.69 \%$ |
| Cost of Equity Models Applied to Comparable <br> Risk, Non-Price Regulated Companies | $\underline{12.15 \%}$ |
| Indicated Range of Common Equity Cost Rates <br> Before Adjustments | $9.65 \%-12.15 \%$ |
| Business Risk Adjustment | $0.10 \%$ |
| Credit Risk Adjustment | $0.23 \%$ |
| Flotation Cost Adjustment | $0.10 \%$ |
| Recommended Range | $\underline{10.08 \%-12.58 \%}$ |

The indicated range of common equity cost rates applicable to the Utility Proxy Group is between $9.65 \%$ and $12.15 \%$ before any Company-specific adjustments.

To reflect Southwest Gas' specific risks, I then adjusted the indicated common equity cost rate model results upward by $0.10 \%$ and $0.23 \%$ to reflect the Company's greater relative business risk and lower bond rating, as compared to
the Utility Proxy Group, respectively. I then adjusted the indicated common equity cost rate upward by $0.10 \%$ to account for flotation costs. These adjustments resulted in a Company-specific indicated range of common equity cost rates between $10.08 \%$ and $12.58 \%$. The Company's requested ROE of $10.00 \%$ is within my unadjusted range of ROEs, but slightly below my adjusted range of ROEs. Given the adjusted range of ROEs applicable to Southwest Gas exceeds its request, I consider the Company's request conservative.
Q. 10 Please summarize your recommendation with respect to the Company's capital structure.
A. 10 As mentioned briefly above, I recommend a target capital structure which consists of $50.00 \%$ debt (including short-term debt and customer deposits) and $50.00 \%$ common equity. The target capital structure requested in this proceeding is consistent with the Company's capital structure after adjusting for the significant temporary impact of historically high gas prices, the capital structures maintained by the Utility Proxy Group (both current and projected), and the operating subsidiaries of the Utility Proxy Group. Moreover, this recommended capital structure supports the Company's credit ratings, which provides long-term cost benefits to customers.
Q. 11 Please summarize your recommendation with respect to the Company's debt cost rates.
A. 11 I recommend debt cost rates of $4.53 \%$ and $4.55 \%$ for the debt cost rates applicable to the Southern and Northern rate jurisdictions, respectively.
Q. 12 How is the rest of your Direct Testimony organized?
A. 12 The remainder of my Direct Testimony is organized as follows:

- Section III - Provides a summary of financial theory and regulatory principles pertinent to the development of the cost of capital;
- Section IV - Provides a description of the Company and explains the selection of the Utility Proxy Group used to develop my ROE recommendation;
- Section V - Explains the proposed capital structure;
- Section VI - Explains the proposed cost of debt;
- Section VII - Describes the analyses on which my ROE recommendation is based;
- Section VIII - Summarizes the range of applicable ROEs before adjustments for Company-specific factors;
- Section IX - Explains my adjustments to the applicable range of ROEs to reflect Company-specific factors; and
- Section X - Presents my conclusions.


## III. GENERAL PRINCIPLES

Q. 13 What general principles have you considered in your analysis?
A. 13 In unregulated industries, marketplace competition is the principal determinant of the price of products or services. For regulated public utilities, regulation must act as a substitute for marketplace competition. Assuring that the utility can fulfill its obligations to the public, while providing safe and reliable service at all times, requires a level of earnings sufficient to maintain the integrity of presently invested capital. Sufficient earnings also permit the attraction of needed new capital at a reasonable cost, for which the utility must compete with other firms of comparable risk, and is consistent with the fair rate of return standards established by the

Supreme Court of the United States in the previously cited Hope and Bluefield cases.

The Court explained the fair rate of return standards in Hope, when it stated the following:

The rate-making process under the Act, i.e., the fixing of 'just and reasonable' rates, involves a balancing of the investor and the consumer interests. Thus we stated in the Natural Gas Pipeline Co. case that 'regulation does not insure that the business shall produce net revenues.' 315 U.S. p. 590. But such considerations aside, the investor interest has a legitimate concern with the financial integrity of the company whose rates are being regulated. From the investor or company point of view it is important that there be enough revenue not only for operating expenses but also for the capital costs of the business. These include service on the debt and dividends on the stock. Cf. Chicago \& Grand Trunk R. Co. v. Wellman, 143 U.S. 339, 345346. By that standard the return to the equity owner should be commensurate with returns on investments in other enterprises having corresponding risks. That return, moreover, should be sufficient to assure confidence in the financial integrity of the enterprise, so as to maintain its credit and to attract capital. ${ }^{4}$

In summary, the Supreme Court of the United States determined that a return that is adequate to attract capital at reasonable terms enables the utility to provide service while maintaining its financial integrity. As discussed above, and in keeping with established regulatory standards, that return should be commensurate with the returns expected elsewhere for investments of equivalent risk. The Commission's decision in this proceeding, therefore, should provide the Company with the opportunity to earn a return that is: (1) adequate to attract capital at reasonable cost and terms; (2) sufficient to ensure its financial integrity; and (3) commensurate with returns on investments in enterprises having corresponding risks.

[^2]It therefore is important that the authorized ROE reflects the risks and prospects of the utility's operations and supports the utility's financial integrity from a stand-alone perspective as measured by its combined business and financial risks.
Q. 14 Within that broad framework, how is the cost of capital estimated in regulatory proceedings?
A. 14

Regulated utilities primarily use common stock and long-term debt to finance their permanent property, plant, and equipment (i.e., rate base). The fair rate of return for a regulated utility is based on its weighted average cost of capital, in which, as noted earlier, the costs of the individual sources of capital are weighted by their respective book values.

The cost of capital is the return investors require to make an investment in a firm. Investors will provide funds to a firm only if the return that they expect is equal to, or greater than, the return that they require to accept the risk of providing funds to the firm.

The cost of capital (that is, the combination of the costs of debt and equity) is based on the economic principle of "opportunity costs." Investing in any asset (whether debt or equity securities) represents a forgone opportunity to invest in alternative assets. For any investment to be sensible, its expected return must be at least equal to the return expected on alternative, comparable risk investment opportunities. Because investments with like risks should offer similar returns, the opportunity cost of an investment should equal the return available on an investment of comparable risk.

Whereas the cost of debt is contractually defined and can be directly observed as the interest rate or yield on debt securities, the cost of common equity
must be estimated based on market data and various financial models. Because the cost of common equity is premised on opportunity costs, the models used to determine it are typically applied to a group of "comparable" or "proxy" companies.

In the end, the estimated cost of capital should reflect the return that investors require in light of the subject company's business and financial risks, and the returns available on comparable investments.

## A. Business Risk

Q. 15 Please define business risk and explain why it is important for determining a fair rate of return.
A. 15 The investor-required return on common equity reflects investors' assessment of the total investment risk of the subject firm. Total investment risk is often discussed in the context of business and financial risk.

Business risk reflects the uncertainty associated with owning a company's common stock without the company's use of debt and/or preferred stock financing. One way of considering the distinction between business and financial risk is to view the former as the uncertainty of the expected earned return on common equity, assuming the firm is financed with no debt.

Examples of business risks generally faced by utilities include, but are not limited to, the regulatory environment, mandatory environmental compliance requirements, customer mix and concentration of customers, service territory economic growth, market demand, risks and uncertainties of supply, operations, capital intensity, size, the degree of operating leverage, emerging technologies, the vagaries of weather, and the like, all of which have a direct bearing on earnings. Although analysts, including rating agencies, may categorize business risks
individually, as a practical matter, such risks are interrelated and not wholly distinct from one another. Therefore, it is difficult to specifically and numerically quantify the effect of any individual risk on investors' required return, i.e., the cost of capital. For determining an appropriate return on common equity, the relevant issue is where investors see the subject company in relation to other similarly situated utility companies (i.e., the Utility Proxy Group). To the extent investors view a company as being exposed to higher risk, the required return will increase, and vice versa.

For regulated utilities, business risks are both long-term and near-term in nature. Whereas near-term business risks are reflected in year-to-year variability in earnings and cash flow brought about by economic or regulatory factors, longterm business risks reflect the prospect of an impaired ability of investors to obtain both a fair rate of return on, and return of, their capital. Moreover, because utilities accept the obligation to provide safe, adequate, and reliable service at all times (in exchange for a reasonable opportunity to earn a fair return on their investment), they generally do not have the option to delay, defer, or reject capital investments. Because those investments are capital-intensive, utilities generally do not have the option to avoid raising external funds during periods of capital market distress, if necessary.

Because utilities invest in long-lived assets, long-term business risks are of paramount concern to equity investors. That is, the risk of not recovering the return on their investment extends far into the future. The timing and nature of events that may lead to losses, however, also are uncertain and, consequently, those risks and their implications for the required return on equity tend to be difficult to quantify. Regulatory commissions (like investors who commit their capital) must review a
variety of quantitative and qualitative data and apply their reasoned judgment to determine how long-term risks weigh in their assessment of the market-required return on common equity.
B. Financial Risk
Q. 16 Please define financial risk and explain why it is important for determining a fair rate of return.
A. 16 Financial risk is the additional risk created by the introduction of debt and preferred stock into the capital structure. The higher the proportion of debt and preferred stock in the capital structure, the higher the financial risk to common equity owners (i.e., failure to receive dividends due to default or other covenants). Therefore, consistent with the basic financial principle of risk and return, common equity investors require higher returns as compensation for bearing higher financial risk.

## Q. 17 What is a credit rating?

A. 17 A credit rating reflects an independent rating agency's opinion of the creditworthiness of a particular company, security, or obligation. Credit ratings play an important role in capital markets by providing an effective and objective tool for market participants to evaluate and assess credit risk. In a report on the role and function of credit rating agencies, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) concluded:

The importance of credit ratings to investors and other market participants had increased significantly, impacting an issuer's access to and cost of capital, the structure of financial transactions, and the ability of fiduciaries and others to make particular investments. ${ }^{5}$

[^3] January 24, 2003.

As a result, the Company's credit ratings are a key factor in determining the required yield on the Company's debt securities and bank facilities, and the amount and terms of available unsecured trade credit. Credit rating agencies use both quantitative and qualitative information in the process of developing a credit rating.
Q. 18 Can bond and credit ratings be a proxy for a firm's combined business and financial risks to equity owners (i.e., investment risk)?
A. 18 Yes, similar bond ratings/issuer credit ratings reflect, and are representative of, similar combined business and financial risks (i.e., total risk) faced by bond investors. ${ }^{6}$ Although specific business or financial risks may differ between companies, the same bond/credit rating indicates that the combined risks are roughly similar from a debtholder perspective. The caveat is that these debtholder risk measures do not translate directly to risks for common equity.

## IV. SOUTHWEST GAS AND THE UTILITY PROXY GROUP

Q. 19 Why is it necessary to develop a proxy group when estimating the ROE for the Company?
A. 19 Because the Company is not publicly traded and does not have publicly traded equity securities, it is necessary to develop groups of publicly traded, comparable companies to serve as "proxies" for the Company. In addition to the analytical necessity of doing so, the use of proxy companies is consistent with the Hope and Bluefield comparable risk standards, as discussed above. I have selected two proxy groups that, in my view, are fundamentally risk-comparable to the Company:

[^4]a Utility Proxy Group and a Non-Price Regulated Proxy Group, which is comparable in total risk to the Utility Proxy Group.

Even when proxy groups are carefully selected, it is common for analytical results to vary from company to company. Despite the care taken to ensure comparability, because no two companies are identical, market expectations regarding future risks and prospects will vary within the proxy group. It therefore is common for analytical results to reflect a seemingly wide range, even for a group of similarly situated companies. At issue is how to estimate the ROE from within that range. That determination will be best informed by employing a variety of sound analyses and, necessarily, must consider the sort of quantitative and qualitative information discussed throughout my Direct Testimony. Additionally, a relative risk analysis between the Company and the Utility Proxy Group must be made to determine whether or not explicit Company-specific adjustments need to be made to the Utility Proxy Group-indicated results.

My analyses are based on the Utility Proxy Group containing U.S. natural gas utilities. As discussed earlier, utilities must compete for capital with other companies with commensurate risk (including non-utilities) and, to do so, must be provided the opportunity to earn a fair and reasonable return. Consequently, it is appropriate to consider the Utility Proxy Group's market data in determining the Company's ROE.

## Q. 20 Are you familiar with Southwest Gas' operations?

A. 20 Yes. Southwest Gas provides natural gas distribution services to approximately 816,000 customers. ${ }^{7}$ Southwest Gas has long-term issuer ratings of Baa1 from

[^5]Moody's Investor Services (Moody’s) and BBB from Standard and Poor's (S\&P). Southwest Gas is not publicly-traded as it comprises an operating subsidiary of Southwest Gas Holdings, Inc. (SWX or the Parent), which is publicly-traded under ticker symbol SWX.

## Q. 21 Please explain how you chose the companies in the Utility Proxy Group.

A. 21 Because the cost of common equity is a comparative exercise, my objective in developing a proxy group was to select companies that are comparable to the Company. Because the Company is a $100 \%$ rate-regulated natural gas utility, I applied the following criteria to select my Utility Proxy Group:
(i) They were included in the Natural Gas Utility Group of Value Line's Standard Edition (May 26, 2023) (Value Line);
(ii) They have 60\% or greater of fiscal year 2022 total operating income derived from, or $60 \%$ or greater of fiscal year 2022 total assets attributable to, regulated gas distribution operations;
(iii) At the time of preparation of this testimony, they had not publicly announced that they were involved in any major merger or acquisition activity (i.e., one publicly-traded utility merging with or acquiring another) or any other major development;
(iv) They have not cut or omitted their common dividends during the five years ended 2022 or through the time of preparation of this testimony;
(v) They have Value Line and Bloomberg Professional Services (Bloomberg) adjusted Beta coefficients (beta);
(vi) They have positive Value Line five-year dividends per share (DPS) growth rate projections; and
(vii) They have Value Line, Zacks, or Yahoo! Finance consensus five-year earnings per share (EPS) growth rate projections.

The following six companies met these criteria:
Table 4: Utility Proxy Group Companies

| Company Name | Ticker <br> Symbol |
| :--- | :---: |
| Atmos Energy Corporation | ATO |
| New Jersey Resources Corporation | NJR |
| NiSource Inc. | NI |
| Northwest Natural Gas Company | NWN |
| ONE Gas, Inc. | OGS |
| Spire Inc. | SR |

## V. CAPITAL STRUCTURE

Q. 22 What capital structure do you recommend for Southwest Gas in this proceeding?
A. 22 I am recommending the use of the Company's currently effective target capital structure, which consists of $50.00 \%$ total debt and $50.00 \%$ common equity. I am recommending a target capital structure in this proceeding primarily due to recent events out of the control of the Company's management which has put temporary downward pressure on Southwest Gas' common equity ratio. A target capital structure of $50.00 \%$ total debt and $50.00 \%$ common equity is consistent with the Company's capital structure after adjusting for the significant temporary impact of historically high gas prices, the capital structures maintained by the Utility Proxy Group (both current and projected), and the operating subsidiaries of the Utility Proxy Group. Moreover, this recommended capital structure supports the Company's credit ratings, which provides long-term cost benefits to customers.

## Q. 23 How does the capital structure affect the rate of return?

A. 23 As discussed above, there are two general categories of risk: business risk and financial risk. The capital structure relates to a company's financial risk, which represents the risk that a company may not have adequate cash flows to meet its financial obligations and is a function of the percentage of debt (or financial leverage) in its capital structure. In that regard, as the percentage of debt in the capital structure increases, so do the fixed obligations for the repayment of that debt. Consequently, as the degree of financial leverage increases, the risk of financial distress (i.e., financial risk) also increases. ${ }^{8}$ In essence, even if two firms face the same business risks, a company with meaningfully higher levels of debt in its capital structure is likely to have a higher cost of both debt and equity. Since the capital structure can affect the subject company's overall level of risk, it is an important consideration in establishing a just and reasonable rate of return.
Q. 24 Is there support for the proposition that the capital structure is a key consideration in establishing an appropriate rate of return?
A. 24 Yes. The Supreme Court and various utility commissions have long recognized the role of capital structure in the development of a just and reasonable rate of return for a regulated utility. In particular, a utility's leverage, or debt ratio, has been explicitly recognized as an important element in determining a just and reasonable rate of return:

Although the determination of whether bonds or stocks should be issued is for management, the matter of debt ratio is not exclusively within its province. Debt ratio substantially affects the manner and cost of obtaining new capital. It is therefore an important factor in the rate of return and must necessarily be considered by and come within the authority of the body charged

[^6]by law with the duty of fixing a just and reasonable rate of return. ${ }^{9}$
Perhaps ultimate authority for balancing the issues of cost and financial integrity is found in the Supreme Court's statement in Hope:

The rate-making process under the Act, i.e., the fixing of 'just and reasonable' rates, involves a balancing of the investor and the consumer interests. ${ }^{10}$

And as the U.S. Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit found in Communications Satellite Corp. et. al. v. FCC:

The equity investor's stake is made less secure as the company's debt rises, but the consumer rate-payer's burden is alleviated. ${ }^{11}$

That is, the U.S. Court of Appeals, District of Columbia Circuit reasoned that because there is a relationship between the capital structure and the cost of common equity, investor and consumer interests must be balanced. Consequently, the principles of fairness and reasonableness with respect to the allowed rate of return and capital structure are considered at both the federal and state levels.
Q. 25 Is the actual capital structure, at any point in time, solely determined by a firm's management?
A. 25 No. The management of the firm determines the appropriate target capital structure. At any point in time, the firm's actual capital structure may deviate from that target due to factors outside the control of the firm's management. In addition, a firm's capital structure is fluid and will fluctuate month-to-month, as it is impacted by numerous factors including profitability, seasonality in earnings, external
${ }^{9}$ New England Telephone \& Telegraph Co. v. State, 98 N.H. 211, 97 A.2d 213, (1953) (citing New England Tel. \& Tel. Co. v. Department of Pub. Util., 327 Mass. 81, 97 N.E. 2d 509, 514 (1951)); see also Petitions of New England Tel. \& Tel. Co., 116 Vt. 480, 80 A2d 671, 685-86 (1951).
${ }^{10}$ Hope, at 603 (1944).
${ }^{11}$ Communications Satellite Corp. et. al. v. FCC, 198 U.S. App. D.C. 60, 63-64611 F.2d 883.
financings, and dividends. The existence of actual and target capital structures, and the speed of adjustment of the actual capital structure to the target capital structure, has been observed and is the focus of numerous empirical studies on the capital structure decisions of firms. ${ }^{12}$
Q. 26 Please summarize the components of the Company's capital structure and proposed overall WACCs in this proceeding.
A. 26 The Company's proposed capital structure used to determine the WACCs consist of $50.00 \%$ total debt and $50.00 \%$ common equity. The recommended capital structure is an achievable target capital structure and the and the structure the Company likely would have achieved prior to the temporary impact of historically high gas costs, as discussed below. The Company's proposed revenue requirement reflects a WACC of $7.27 \%$ for both the Southern and Northern rate jurisdictions, as shown on Page 1 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-1) and Tables 1 and 2, above.
Q. 27 Please discuss the negative capital structure impacts from Winter Storm Uri and increased gas costs incurred in the 2022/2023 winter season.
A. 27 In mid-February 2021, Winter Storm Uri hit the central U.S. (from south Texas to North Dakota and the eastern Rocky Mountains) and produced extremely cold temperatures, which increased natural gas demand and caused supply issues due to wellhead freeze-offs, power outages, and/or other adverse operating conditions upstream of Southwest Gas' distribution systems. These conditions contributed to daily natural gas prices reaching unprecedented levels. During that time, the

[^7]Company secured natural gas supplies, to ensure service to its customers was maintained. The incremental cost for these gas supplies was approximately $\$ 250$ million (companywide), which was funded using a 364-day $\$ 250$ million bank term Ioan executed in March 2021. This term loan was renewed in March of $2022^{13}$ as a result of gas costs remaining higher than anticipated and was paid off in its entirety in March 2023, when the Company issued a 5 -year bond ${ }^{14}$ to replace the term loan. Beginning in December 2022 and continuing through January 2023, natural gas prices spiked as a result of numerous outside market forces, including historically low national natural gas storage levels, maintenance events on upstream pipelines and cold weather conditions across the central United States, and regional pricing dislocation on the West Coast. As a result of the unexpected increase in gas prices in combination with a colder than normal winter, the Company entered into a $\$ 450$ million term loan (companywide) to pay for those additionally incurred gas costs.
Q. 28 Please describe the status of the unrecovered purchased gas cost (FERC Account 191) (UPGC) receivable balance and the impact on the balance due to the volatile and sharp gas prices increases during the last several years.
A. 28 In May of 2023, the companywide UPGC receivable balance was approximately \$836.9M. ${ }^{15}$ The chart below illustrates the companywide UPGC balances since January 2017. As shown, prior to Winter Storm Uri, the average account balance was approximately negative $\$ 32 \mathrm{M}$ and ranged from approximately negative $\$ 97 \mathrm{M}$ to positive $\$ 25 \mathrm{M}$.
${ }^{13}$ 8-K filed with SEC on 3/22/22 https://investors.swgasholdings.com/static-files/162b9172-92fe-40d3-b650da3837a40dda
$\frac{14}{14} 8-\mathrm{K}$ filed with SEC on 3/23/23 https://investors.swgasholdings.com/static-files/e86528ec-47c1-498f-885863f9b00cfe62
${ }^{15}$ Company-provided information.

Chart 1: UPCG Account Balance (2017-2023) ${ }^{16}$

Q. 29 Does the Company expect the balance of the UPGC to decline significantly?
A. 29 Yes, it does. As presented in its second quarter 2023 earnings call, SWX expects receipts of approximately $\$ 200 \mathrm{M}$ and $\$ 700 \mathrm{M}$ in the third and fourth quarter of 2023, respectively, ${ }^{17}$ based on its currently approved regulatory mechanisms, which includes the Arizona Corporation Commission's approval of an increase to the Company's Gas Cost Balancing Account rate to facilitate timely recovery of an approximate $\$ 358$ million in UPGC. ${ }^{18}$ The increase to the GCBA rate, in tandem with the other existing mechanisms, is anticipated to dramatically impact the balance of the UPGC. ${ }^{19}$ Because the UPGC is a moving target, the Company does not expect the UPGC balance to reach zero, but does expect it to move toward its pre-Uri balances over the short- to medium term.

[^8]Q. 30 What would be the Company's indicated equity ratio if the UPGC balance declines to the average for the period from 2017 to present?
A. 30 The Company's actual Test Year equity capital ratio is calculated at $45.76 \%$. If the approximate Test-Year end $\$ 836.9 \mathrm{M}$ UPGC balance declined to the average UPGC balance for the period January 2017 - May 2023 (approximately \$126M), the estimated equity capital ratio is $50.91 \%{ }^{20}$ In view of this, the Company's recommended target capital structure is reasonable.
Q. 31 How does the Company's recommended common equity ratio of $50.00 \%$ compare with the common equity ratios maintained by the Utility Proxy Group?
A. 31 The Company's requested ratemaking common equity ratio of $50.00 \%$ is reasonable and consistent with the range of common equity ratios maintained by the Utility Proxy Group. In order to assess the reasonableness of the Company's requested ratemaking common equity ratio, I reviewed the actual common equity ratios maintained by the companies within the Utility Proxy Group. As shown on page 1 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-2), common equity ratios of the utilities range from $29.62 \%$ to $61.03 \%$ for fiscal year end 2022 , and $30.86 \%$ to $62.56 \%$ for the fivequarter average ending March 31, 2023.

I also considered Value Line's projected capital structures for the Utility Proxy Group for 2026-2028. That analysis shows a range of projected common equity ratios between $40.00 \%$ and $60.00 \%$.

[^9]In addition to comparing the Company's ratemaking common equity ratio with common equity ratios currently and expected to be maintained by the Utility Proxy Group (i.e., at the holding company level), I also compared the Company's ratemaking common equity ratio with the common equity ratios maintained by the operating subsidiaries of the Utility Proxy Group companies. As shown on page 2 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-2), common equity ratios of the operating utility subsidiaries of the Utility Proxy Group range from $29.62 \%$ to $60.66 \%$ for fiscal year end 2022, and $30.86 \%$ to $59.24 \%$ for the five-quarter average ending March 31, 2023.
Q. 32 In view of all of the above, is the Company's proposed common equity ratio of $50.00 \%$ appropriate for ratemaking purposes?
A. 32 Yes. The Company's proposed common equity ratio of $50.00 \%$ is appropriate for ratemaking purposes in the current proceeding because it is consistent with the common equity ratio the Company likely would have obtained but for historically high gas costs and could obtain in the future as UGPA balances are received and the historical and projected common equity ratios of the Utility Proxy Group and their operating subsidiaries. Setting the capital structure as requested by the Company will continue to support the long-term financial health of the Company.

## VI. EMBEDDED COST OF DEBT

Q. 33 Have you determined the appropriate projected cost rate for debt capital for the certification period?
A. 33 Yes. An overall embedded cost of debt of $4.53 \%$ for the Southern Nevada rate jurisdiction and $4.55 \%$ for the Northern Nevada rate jurisdiction are required to service the Company's debt. The projected cost of debt is comprised of the cost of fixed-rate debentures and notes, fixed-rate medium-term notes, a variable-rate
term facility, short-term debt, and customer deposits. For the Southern Nevada rate jurisdiction, the cost of debt includes the variable-rate Clark County Industrial Development Revenue Bonds (IDRBs). The components of the cost of debt are displayed in Schedule F-1, Sheet 1 of 12. The Company's projected cost of debt will be updated and certified for the certification period ending November 30, 2023.
Q. 34 Please describe the development of the cost rates of debentures and notes.
A. 34 The Company will have eleven outstanding debenture and note issues totaling $\$ 3.3$ billion of gross principal at the end of the certification period (November 30, 2023). The debentures and notes have a weighted average cost of $4.34 \%$ as shown on line 12, column (e), of Schedule F-1, Sheet 3 of 12.
Q. 35 Please describe the cost rate of the medium-term notes.
A. 35 The Company established a $\$ 150$ million medium-term note program in November 1997. The name is somewhat of a misnomer because medium-term notes can be issued with maturities of nine months to 30 years. The Company issued the entire \$150 million under the medium-term notes program and will have two remaining outstanding medium-term note issues totaling $\$ 32.5$ million of gross principal at November 30, 2023. The medium-term notes had a weighted average effective cost of $7.72 \%$ as shown on line 15 , column (e), of Schedule F-1, Sheet 3 of 12.
Q. 36 Please describe and discuss the cost of unamortized loss on reacquired debt.
A. 36 In March 2010, the Company redeemed at par $\$ 100$ million in Trust Originated Preferred Securities (TOPrS), which had an effective cost of $8.20 \%$. The redemption expenses and the remaining unamortized balance at the time of the redemption are being amortized on a straight-line basis to the original maturity date of the called TOPrS, due September 2043. The effective cost for the unamortized
loss on reacquired debt is calculated by dividing the annual amortization of $\$ 171,862$ by the remaining recorded amount, $(\$ 3,408,592)$ as shown on line 16 , column (f) and column (d), of Schedule F-1, Sheet 3 of 12.
Q. 37 Please describe and discuss the amortization of the gains and losses on the retirement of fixed-rate Clark County IDRBs.
A. 37 The Company has retired $\$ 396$ million in gross principal of fixed-rate Clark County IDRBs. At the time of retirement for each IDRB, the unamortized debt costs were recognized as a loss on retirement and are being amortized over the remaining life of the IDRBs retired, consistent with Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 703.2301(9). In addition, the Company recognized a gain on retirement on a portion of the IDRBs retired. On December 17, 2008, the Company completed a tender offer to purchase for cash up to $\$ 75$ million of the Clark County 2004 Series B, 2006 Series A, and 2003 Series D IDRBs. The Company accepted and retired approximately $\$ 74.95$ million in aggregate principal of the IDRBs pursuant to an offer to purchase the IDRBs for $\$ 57.7$ million. The transaction resulted in a net gain of approximately $\$ 14$ million, which has been deferred as a regulatory liability as a gain on retirement and is being amortized over the remaining life of the IDRBs retired, consistent with NAC 703.2301(9). In aggregate, the unamortized balance reflects a net gain on retirement of $\$ 2.1$ million and reduces the effective cost of debt for the Southern Nevada jurisdiction. The annual amortization of the gain is $\$ 175,029$, which is shown on line 27 , column (f), of Schedule F-1, Sheet 3 of 12.
Q. 38 Please describe and discuss development of the cost of the variable-rate IDRBs for Southern Nevada.
A. 38 The Company has $\$ 150$ million in gross principal of variable rate Clark County IDRBs. The variable rate Clark County IDRBs are projected to have an effective
rate of $4.64 \%$ as shown on line 32, column (e), of Schedule F-1, Sheet 3 of 12. The interest rate on these IDRBs is set weekly. In addition, the variable rate IDRBs have been credit enhanced with standby letter of credit facilities. The annual credit facilities fees are included to determine the effective cost. The Variable Interest Expense Recovery (VIER) mechanism and the associated Average Variable Interest Rate (AVIR) calculations are discussed in the next section.
Q. 39 Why are the Big Bear IDRBs excluded from both Northern and Southern Nevada, and the Clark County IDRBs excluded from Northern Nevada in calculating the cost of debt?
A. 39 Southwest Gas has issued IDRBs in its Southern Nevada rate jurisdiction and its Southern California rate jurisdiction. As reflected in the IDRB indentures and financing agreements, the proceeds from the issuance of this type of debt are restricted to funding qualified construction expenditures for additions and improvements in the specific distribution systems to which the IDRBs relate. In addition, there are Internal Revenue Service (IRS) rules which stipulate that the benefits of the tax-exempt, lower cost IDRBs must accrue to customers in the specific jurisdiction to which the IDRBs apply. Deviation from the requirements of the IRS rules could result in the loss of the IDRB tax-exempt status.
Q. 40 How have Southwest Gas' regulatory bodies treated the cost of IDRBs in past regulatory proceedings?
A. 40 Southwest Gas has historically excluded the IDRBs from the cost of debt calculation in all regulatory jurisdictions, except for the specific jurisdictions (Southern Nevada for Clark County IDRBs and Southern California for City of Big Bear IDRBs), to which the relevant IDRBs apply. This Commission, the Arizona Corporation Commission, the California Public Utilities Commission, and the FERC have all accepted this treatment for IDRBs in past regulatory proceedings.
Q. 41 Please describe and discuss the development of the cost rate for the variable-rate term facility debt.
A. 41 Southwest Gas has a $\$ 400$ million credit facility that is scheduled to expire in April 2025. Interest rates for the credit facility are calculated at either the Secured Overnight Financing Rate (SOFR) or an "alternate base rate," plus in each case an applicable margin that is determined based on the Company's senior unsecured debt rating. The applicable margin ranges from $0.75 \%$ to $1.50 \%$ for loans bearing interest with reference to SOFR and from $0.00 \%$ to $0.50 \%$ for loans bearing interest with reference to the alternative base rate. Southwest Gas is also required to pay a commitment fee on the unfunded portion of the commitments based on its senior unsecured long-term debt rating. The commitment fee ranges from $0.075 \%$ to $0.20 \%$ per annum. In addition, Southwest Gas has a $\$ 50$ million uncommitted F-2 commercial paper program, which is supported by the revolving credit facility. Southwest Gas views $\$ 150$ million of the facility as a permanent intermediate-term component of its debt portfolio. Accordingly, Southwest Gas has classified it as long-term debt. The remaining $\$ 250$ million of the facility is used to fund recurring, seasonal working capital needs. For the certification period, the term facility debt is projected to have an effective rate of $7.26 \%$ as shown on line 1, column (c), of Schedule F-1, Sheet 7 of 12., based on the expectation of having approximately \$63 million in outstanding SOFR loans.
Q. 42 Please describe and discuss development of the cost for short-term debt including the Term Loan facility that was established during the test period.
A. 42 As discussed previously, $\$ 250$ million of the revolving credit bank facility is classified as short-term debt. During the test period, the Company paid off the term loan entered into in March of 2021 (initial borrowed amount of $\$ 250$ million) and also paid off the term loan entered into in January of 2023 (initial borrowed amount of $\$ 450$ million). At the end of the test period, the Company had no short-term debt outstanding. For the certification period, the Company anticipates having no shortterm debt outstanding, but consistent with prior precedent for the use of short-term debt, reflects the 12-month average balance during the certification period of \$179 million, with an effective cost rate of $6.20 \%$ as shown on line 1 , column (c), of Schedule F-1, Sheet 9 of 12.
Q. 43 Please describe and discuss the development of the cost of customer deposits.
A. 43 As a normal part of the business, the Company receives deposits from its customers. The Company pays interest to these customers on these deposits as set forth by tariffs in each rate jurisdiction. The cost for the Nevada jurisdictional customer deposits at the end of the certification period (November 30, 2023) is $5.29 \%$ as shown on line 3 of Statement F, Sheet 1 of 4 . The projected rate is consistent with Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 704.655, reflecting the six-month Treasury bill rate at the first auction on or after June 1, 2023, effective for the period July 1, 2023 to December 31, 2023. The customer deposit balances and costs by state regulatory jurisdiction are displayed on Schedule F-1, Sheet 11 of 12.
Q. 44 Please explain how the overall cost of debt specific to the Southern Nevada jurisdiction was derived.
A. 44 Due to the multi-jurisdictional operations of the Company, the embedded cost of debt for the Southern Nevada jurisdiction was derived by an allocation process, which included the following steps:

- First, the implicit amount of debt required to finance the jurisdictional rate base was determined by multiplying the percent of target total debt in the capital structure by the amount of rate base. For the Southern Nevada jurisdiction, the implicit amount of debt was calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Implicit Debt } & =\text { Target Debt to Capital Ratio X Southern Nevada Rate Base } \\
& =50 \% \times \$ 1,751,758,348 \\
& =\$ 875,879,174
\end{aligned}
$$

- Second, the jurisdiction-specific debt was allocated first to the total amount of implicit debt. The jurisdiction-specific debt is customer deposits and, for the Southern Nevada jurisdiction only, the Clark County IDRBs. For the Southern Nevada jurisdiction, the jurisdiction-specific debt consisted of the following components:

| Customer Deposits | $\$ 11,708,150$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Clark County Variable-Rate IDRBs | $\$ 145,688,767$ |
| Clark County Fixed-Rate IDRBs | $\$ 1,491,767$ |
| Total Jurisdictional Allocated Debt | $\$ 158,888,685$ |

- Third, the remaining portion of other debt was calculated as the difference between the implicit debt and the jurisdictional-specific debt. The other debt was comprised of the Company's non-jurisdictional-specific debt applied on a pro rata basis to the Nevada jurisdictions. For the Southern Nevada jurisdiction, other debt was calculated as follows:

| Implicit Amount of Debt | $\$ 875,879,174$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Less Jurisdiction-Specific Debt | $\$ 158,888,685$ |
| $=$ Other Debt | $\$ 716,990,489$ |

- The fourth and final step uses the components of jurisdictional debt identified and the pro rata share of other debt to calculate the weighted cost of debt for the jurisdiction. The allocation process and the calculation of the weighted embedded cost of debt for the Southern Nevada jurisdiction are displayed in the Southern Nevada Schedule F-1, Sheet 1 of 12.
Q. 45 Please explain how the overall cost of debt specific to the Northern Nevada jurisdiction was derived.
A. 45 For Northern Nevada, the allocation process included the following steps:
- First, the implicit amount of debt required to finance the jurisdictional rate base was determined by multiplying the percent of target total debt in the capital structure by the amount of rate base. For the Northern Nevada jurisdiction, the implicit amount of debt was calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Implicit Debt } & =\text { Target Debt to Capital Ratio X Northern Nevada Rate Base } \\
& =50 \% \times \$ 213,207,678 \\
& =\$ 106,603,839
\end{aligned}
$$

- Second, the jurisdiction-specific debt was allocated first to the total amount of implicit debt. For the Northern Nevada jurisdiction, the jurisdiction-specific debt is customer deposits which amounted to the following:

$$
\text { Customer Deposits } \quad \$ 2,488,518
$$

- Third, the remaining portion of other debt was calculated as the difference between the implicit debt and the jurisdictional-specific debt. The other debt was comprised of the Company's non-jurisdictional-specific debt applied on a pro rata basis to the Nevada jurisdictions. For the Northern Nevada jurisdiction, other debt was calculated as follows:

Implicit Amount of Debt
Less Jurisdiction-Specific Debt
\$ 106,603,839
\$ 2,488,518
= Other Debt

- The fourth and final step uses the components of jurisdictional debt identified and the pro rata share of other debt to calculate the weighted cost of debt for the jurisdiction. The allocation process and the calculation of the weighted embedded cost of debt for the Northern Nevada jurisdiction are displayed in the Northern Nevada Schedule F-1, Sheet 1 of 12.
A. Average Variable Interest Rate - Variable Interest Expense Recovery Mechanism
Q. 46 Please provide an overview of the VIER mechanism.
A. 46 In Docket No. 04-3011, the Company requested and received approval for a VIER mechanism as defined by NAC 704.210 through NAC 704.222, specifically for $\$ 100$ million (gross principal) of variable rate Clark County IDRBs. In the Company's general rate case, Docket No. 12-04005, the Company requested and was granted authority to include an incremental $\$ 50$ million of variable rate IDRBs in the VIER mechanism. ${ }^{21}$ The VIER mechanism adjusts the Base Tariff General Rate (BTGR) for changes in the AVIR and accumulated deferred interest. The Company implemented the VIER mechanism in September 2004 and has filed periodically to update the VIER mechanism. Because a new BTGR will be established in this proceeding, a new authorized AVIR will be embedded in the new BTGR. The new authorized AVIR will also be used to calculate the deferred interest expense at the time rates from this proceeding go into effect.

[^10]Q. 47 For the Clark County IDRBs proposed under the VIER mechanism for the Southern Nevada rate jurisdiction, please describe the development of the estimated AVIR for the certification period ended November 30, 2023.
A. 47 For the certification period ended November 30, 2023, the projected 12-month weighted AVIR for the Clark County variable rate IDRBs was $0.3859 \%$. The calculation of the estimated new AVIR is follows:
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
& \text { AVIR }=(\text { Clark County Variable Rate IDRB/Rate Base }) \\
& \text { X Embedded Cost of Clark County Variable Rate IDRB } \\
&=(\$ 145,688,767 / \$ 1,751,758,348) \times 4.64 \% \\
&=0.3859 \%
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

The variable rate 2003 Clark County Series A, 2008 Clark County Series A, and the 2009 Clark County Series A IDRBs are projected to have a 12-month average effective cost rate of $4.64 \%$ for the certification period ended November 30, 2023. The AVIR will be updated in the Company's certification filing.
Q. 48 Please summarize your recommendations regarding capital structure and debt cost rates.
A. 48 I recommend the use of the Company's target capital structure consisting of $50.00 \%$ debt and $50.00 \%$ common equity at embedded debt cost rates of $4.53 \%$ and $4.55 \%$ for the Southern and Northern rate jurisdictions, respectively.

## VII. COMMON EQUITY COST RATE

Q. 49 Is it important that cost of common equity models be market-based?
A. 49 Yes. As discussed previously, regulated public utilities, like the Company must compete for equity in capital markets along with all other companies of comparable risk, which includes non-utilities. The cost of common equity is thus determined based on equity market expectations for the returns of those companies. If an
individual investor is choosing to invest their capital among companies of comparable risk, they will choose a company providing a higher return over a company providing a lower return.
Q. 50 Are your cost of common equity models market-based?
A. 50 Yes. The DCF model uses market prices in developing the model's dividend yield component. The RPM uses bond ratings and expected bond yields that reflect the market's assessment of bond/credit risk. In addition, betas $(\beta)$, which reflect the market/systematic risk component of equity risk premium, are derived from regression analyses of market prices. The Predictive Risk Premium Model (PRPM) uses monthly market returns in addition to expectations of the risk-free rate. The CAPM is market-based for many of the same reasons that the RPM is market-based (i.e., the use of expected bond yields and betas). Selection criteria for comparable risk non-price regulated companies are based on regression analyses of market prices and reflect the market's assessment of total risk.
Q. 51 What analytical approaches did you use to determine the Company's ROE?
A. 51 As discussed earlier, I have relied on the DCF model, the RPM, and the CAPM, which I apply to the Utility Proxy Group described above. I also applied these same models to a Non-Price Regulated Proxy Group described later in this section.

I rely on these models because reasonable investors use a variety of tools and do not rely exclusively on a single source of information or single model. Moreover, the models on which I rely focus on different aspects of return requirements and provide different insights to investors' views of risk and return. The DCF model, for example, estimates the investor-required return assuming a constant expected dividend yield and growth rate in perpetuity, while Risk Premium-based methods (i.e., the RPM and CAPM approaches) provide the ability
to reflect investors' views of risk, future market returns, and the relationship between interest rates and the cost of common equity. Just as the use of market data for the Utility Proxy Group adds the reliability necessary to inform expert judgment in arriving at a recommended common equity cost rate, the use of multiple generally accepted common equity cost rate models also adds reliability and accuracy when arriving at a recommended common equity cost rate.
Q. 52 Has the PUCN recognized the importance of considering multiple cost of common equity models in arriving at an ROE recommendation?
A. 52 Yes. For example, in the order in Southwest Gas' most recent fully litigated rate case, the PUCN discussed the importance of considering multiple analytical methods, given the complexity of determining the required ROE:

In establishing a zone of reasonableness and determining an ROE within that range, the Commission relies upon expert testimony and evidence which applies principles of finance, accounting, and economics to the cost of a particular utility's common equity. This evidence includes the results of each expert's ROE studies, the experts' judgement in assessing macroeconomic conditions, capital markets, and SWG's particular circumstances (e.g., capital structure, risk profile, and regulatory environment). ${ }^{22}$

## A. Discounted Cash Flow Model

## Q. 53 What is the theoretical basis of the DCF model?

A. 53 The theory underlying the DCF model is that the present value of an expected future stream of net cash flows during the investment holding period can be determined by discounting those cash flows at the cost of capital, or the investors' capitalization rate. DCF theory indicates that an investor buys a stock for an

[^11]expected total return rate, which is derived from the cash flows received from dividends and market price appreciation. Mathematically, the dividend yield on market price plus a growth rate equals the capitalization rate; i.e., the total common equity return rate expected by investors.
$$
K_{e}=\left(D_{0}(1+g)\right) / P+g
$$
where:
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{e}=\text { the required Return on Common Equity; } \\
& D_{0}=\text { the annualized Dividend Per Share; } \\
& P=\text { the current stock price; and } \\
& g=\text { the growth rate. }
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Q. 54 Which version of the DCF model did you use?
A. 54 I used the single-stage constant growth DCF model in my analyses.
Q. 55 Please describe the dividend yield you used in applying the constant growth DCF model.
A. 55 The unadjusted dividend yields are based on the proxy companies' dividends as of July 14, 2023, divided by the average closing market price for the 60 trading days ended July 14, $2023 .{ }^{23}$
Q. 56 Please explain your adjustment to the dividend yield.
A. 56 Because dividends are paid periodically (e.g., quarterly), as opposed to continuously (daily), an adjustment must be made to the dividend yield. This is often referred to as the discrete, or the Gordon Periodic, version of the DCF model.

DCF theory calls for using the full growth rate, or $\mathrm{D}_{1}$, in calculating the model's dividend yield component. Since the companies in the Utility Proxy Group
${ }^{23}$ See, Column 1, page 1 of Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (DWD-4).
increase their quarterly dividends at various times during the year, a reasonable assumption is to reflect one-half the annual dividend growth rate in the dividend yield component, or $D_{1 / 2}$. Because the dividend should be representative of the next 12-month period, this adjustment is a conservative approach that does not overstate the dividend yield. Therefore, the actual average dividend yields in Column 1, page 1 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-4) have been adjusted upward to reflect one-half the average projected growth rate shown in Column 5.
Q. 57 Please explain the basis for the growth rates you apply to the Utility Proxy Group in your constant growth DCF model.
A. 57 Investors are likely to rely on widely available financial information services, such as Value Line, Zacks, and Yahoo! Finance. Investors realize that analysts have significant insight into the dynamics of the industries and individual companies they analyze, as well as companies' abilities to effectively manage the effects of changing laws and regulations, and ever-changing economic and market conditions. For these reasons, I used analysts' five-year forecasts of EPS growth in my DCF analysis.

Over the long run, there can be no growth in DPS without growth in EPS. Security analysts' earnings expectations have a more significant influence on market prices than dividend expectations. Thus, using projected earnings growth rates in a DCF analysis provides a better match between investors' market price appreciation expectations and the growth rate component of the DCF.
Q. 58 Please summarize the constant growth DCF model results.
A. 58 As shown on page 1 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-4), for the Utility Proxy Group, the mean result of applying the single-stage DCF model is $9.79 \%$, the median result is $9.50 \%$, and the average of the two is $9.65 \%$. In arriving at a conclusion for the
constant growth DCF-indicated common equity cost rate for the Utility Proxy Group, I relied on an average of the mean and the median results of the DCF.

## B. The Risk Premium Model

Q. 59 Please describe the theoretical basis of the RPM.
A. 59 The RPM is based on the fundamental financial principle of risk and return; namely, that investors require greater returns for bearing greater risk. The RPM recognizes that common equity capital has greater investment risk than debt capital, as common equity shareholders are behind debt holders in any claim on a company's assets and earnings. As a result, investors require higher returns from common stocks than from bonds to compensate them for bearing the additional risk.

While it is possible to directly observe bond returns and yields, investors' required common equity returns cannot be directly determined or observed. According to RPM theory, one can estimate a common equity risk premium over bonds (either historically or prospectively) and use that premium to derive a cost rate of common equity. The cost of common equity equals the expected cost rate for long-term debt capital, plus a risk premium over that cost rate, to compensate common shareholders for the added risk of being unsecured and last-in-line for any claim on the corporation's assets and earnings upon liquidation.
Q. 60 Please explain how you derived your indicated cost of common equity based on the RPM.
A. 60 To derive my indicated cost of common equity under the RPM, I used two risk premium methods. The first method was the PRPM and the second method was a risk premium model using a total market approach. The PRPM estimates the
risk-return relationship directly, while the total market approach indirectly derives a risk premium by using known metrics as a proxy for risk.

## Q. 61 Please explain the PRPM

A. 61 The PRPM, published in the Journal of Regulatory Economics, ${ }^{24}$ was developed from the work of Robert F. Engle, who shared the Nobel Prize in Economics in 2003 "for methods of analyzing economic time series with time-varying volatility" or ARCH. ${ }^{25}$ Engle found that volatility changes over time and is related from one period to the next, especially in financial markets. Engle discovered that volatility of prices and returns clusters over time and is therefore highly predictable and can be used to predict future levels of risk and risk premiums.

The PRPM estimates the risk-return relationship directly, as the predicted equity risk premium is generated by predicting volatility or risk. The PRPM is not based on an estimate of investor behavior, but rather on an evaluation of the results of that behavior (i.e., the variance of historical equity risk premiums).

The inputs to the model are the historical returns on the common shares of each Utility Proxy Group company minus the historical monthly yield on long-term U.S. Treasury securities through June 2023. Using a generalized form of ARCH, ${ }^{26}$ known as GARCH, I calculated each Utility Proxy Group company's projected equity risk premium using Eviews ${ }^{\circledR}$ statistical software. When the GARCH model is applied to the historical return data, it produces a predicted GARCH variance series ${ }^{27}$ and a GARCH coefficient. ${ }^{28}$ Multiplying the predicted monthly variance by

[^12]the GARCH coefficient and then annualizing it ${ }^{29}$ produces the predicted annual equity risk premium. I then added the forecasted 30 -year U.S. Treasury bond yield of $3.85 \%{ }^{30}$ to each company's PRPM-derived equity risk premium to arrive at an indicated cost of common equity. The 30 -year U.S. Treasury bond yield is a consensus forecast derived from Blue Chip Financial Forecasts (Blue Chip). ${ }^{31}$ The mean PRPM-indicated common equity cost rate for the Utility Proxy Group is $11.20 \%$, the median is $10.28 \%$, and the average of the two is $10.74 \%$. Consistent with my reliance on the average of the median and mean results of the DCF models, I relied on the average of the mean and median results of the Utility Proxy Group PRPM to calculate a cost of common equity rate of $10.74 \%$.
Q. 62 Please explain the total market approach RPM.
A. 62 The total market approach RPM adds a prospective public utility bond yield to an average of: (1) an equity risk premium that is derived from a beta-adjusted total market equity risk premium; (2) an equity risk premium based on the S\&P Utilities Index; and (3) an equity risk premium based on authorized ROEs for natural gas distribution utilities.
Q. 63 Please explain the basis of the expected bond yield of $5.44 \%$ applicable to the Utility Proxy Group.
A. 63 The first step in the total market approach RPM analysis is to determine the expected bond yield. Because both ratemaking and the cost of capital, including the common equity cost rate, are prospective in nature, a prospective yield on similarly rated long-term debt is essential. I relied on a consensus forecast of about

[^13]50 economists of the expected yield on Aaa-rated corporate bonds for the six calendar quarters ending with the fourth calendar quarter of 2024, and Blue Chip's long-term projections for 2025 to 2029 and 2030 to 2034. As shown on line 1, page 3 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-5), the average expected yield on Moody's Aaarated corporate bonds is $4.75 \%$. In order to adjust the expected Aaa-rated corporate bond yield to an equivalent A2-rated public utility bond yield, I made an upward adjustment of $0.69 \%$, which represents a recent spread between Aaarated corporate bonds and A2-rated public utility bonds. ${ }^{32}$ Adding that recent $0.69 \%$ spread to the expected Aaa-rated corporate bond yield of $4.75 \%$ results in an expected A2-rated public utility bond yield of $5.44 \%$.

I then reviewed the average credit rating for the Utility Proxy Group from Moody's to determine if an adjustment to the estimated A2-rated public utility bond was necessary. Since the Utility Proxy Group's average Moody's long-term issuer rating is A2, no other adjustment is needed to make the A 2 prospective bond yield applicable to the A2-rated public utility bond. The results are a $5.44 \%$ expected bond yield applicable to the Utility Proxy Group.

Table 5: Summary of the Calculation of the Utility Proxy Group Projected Bond Yield ${ }^{33}$

| Prospective Yield on Moody's Aaa-Rated Corporate <br> Bonds (Blue Chip) | $4.75 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Adjustment to Reflect Yield Spread Between Moody's <br> Aaa-Rated Corporate Bonds and Moody's A2-Rated <br> Utility Bonds | $\underline{0.69 \%}$ |
| Prospective Bond Yield Applicable to the Utility Proxy <br> Group | $\underline{\underline{5.44 \%}}$ |

${ }^{32}$ As shown on line 2 and explained in note 2, page 3 of Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (DWD-5).
${ }^{33}$ As shown on page 3 of Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (DWD-5).
Q. 64 Please explain how the beta-derived equity risk premium is determined.
A. 64 The components of the beta-derived risk premium model are: (1) an expected market equity risk premium over corporate bonds, and (2) the beta. The derivation of the beta-derived equity risk premium that I applied to the Utility Proxy Group is shown on lines 1 through 9, on page 8 of Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (DWD-5). The total betaderived equity risk premium I applied is based on an average of three historical market data-based equity risk premiums, two Value Line-based equity risk premiums, and a Bloomberg-based equity risk premium. Each of these is described below.
Q. 65 How did you derive a market equity risk premium based on long-term historical data?
A. 65 To derive an historical market equity risk premium, I used the most recent holding period returns for the large company common stocks from the Stocks, Bonds, Bills, and Inflation (SBBI) Yearbook 2023 (SBBI - 2023) ${ }^{34}$ less the average historical yield on Moody's Aaa/Aa-rated corporate bonds for the period 1928 to 2022. Using holding period returns over a very long time is appropriate because it is consistent with the long-term investment horizon presumed by investing in a going concern, i.e., a company expected to operate in perpetuity.

SBBI's long-term arithmetic mean monthly total return rate on large company common stocks was $11.78 \%$ and the long-term arithmetic mean monthly yield on Moody's Aaa/Aa-rated corporate bonds was $5.96 \% .{ }^{35}$ As shown on line 1, page 8 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-5), subtracting the mean monthly bond yield from

[^14]${ }^{35}$ As explained in note 1, page 9 of Exhibit No. $\qquad$
the total return on large company stocks results in a long-term historical equity risk premium of $5.82 \%$.

I used the arithmetic mean monthly total return rates for the large company stocks and yields (income returns) for the Moody's Aaa/Aa corporate bonds, because they are appropriate for the purpose of estimating the cost of capital as noted in SBBI - 2023. ${ }^{36}$ Using the arithmetic mean return rates and yields is appropriate because historical total returns and equity risk premiums provide insight into the variance and standard deviation of returns needed by investors in estimating future risk when making a current investment. If investors relied on the geometric mean of historical equity risk premiums, they would have no insight into the potential variance of future returns, because the geometric mean relates the change over many periods to a constant rate of change, thereby obviating the year-to-year fluctuations, or variance, which is critical to risk analysis.
Q. 66 Please explain the derivation of the regression-based market equity risk premium.
A. 66 To derive the regression-based market equity risk premium of $7.46 \%$ shown on line 2, page 8 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-5), I used the same monthly annualized total returns on large company common stocks relative to the monthly annualized yields on Moody's Aaa/Aa-rated corporate bonds as mentioned above. I modeled the relationship between interest rates and the market equity risk premium using the observed monthly market equity risk premium as the dependent variable, and the monthly yield on Moody's Aaa/Aa-rated corporate bonds as the independent variable. I then used a linear Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression, in which

[^15]the market equity risk premium is expressed as a function of the Moody＇s Aaa／Aa－ rated corporate bonds yield：
$$
R P=\alpha+\beta\left(R_{\text {Aаа⿱⿱亠䒑日儿 }}\right)
$$

Q． 67 Please explain the derivation of the PRPM equity risk premium．
A． 67 I used the same PRPM approach described above to derive the PRPM equity risk premium．The inputs to the model are the historical monthly returns on large company common stocks minus the monthly yields on Moody＇s Aaa／Aa－rated corporate bonds during the period from January 1928 through June 2023．${ }^{37}$ Using the previously discussed generalized form of ARCH，known as GARCH，the projected equity risk premium is determined using Eviews ${ }^{\ominus}$ statistical software． The resulting PRPM predicted a market equity risk premium of $8.70 \%$ ．${ }^{38}$

Q． 68 Please explain the derivation of a projected equity risk premium based on Value Line data for your RPM analysis．

A． 68 As noted above，because both ratemaking and the cost of capital are prospective， a prospective market equity risk premium is needed．The derivation of the forecasted or prospective market equity risk premium can be found in note 4 ， page 8 of Exhibit No．＿＿＿（DWD－5）．Consistent with my calculation of the dividend yield component in my DCF analysis，this prospective market equity risk premium is derived from an average of the three－to five－year median market price appreciation potential by Value Line for the 13 weeks ended July 14，2023，plus an average of the median estimated dividend yield for the common stocks of the 1，700 firms covered in Value Line（Standard Edition）．${ }^{39}$

[^16]The average median expected price appreciation is $63 \%$, which translates to a $12.99 \%$ annual appreciation, and when added to the average of Value Line's median expected dividend yields of $2.32 \%$, equates to a forecasted annual total return rate on the market of $15.31 \%$. The forecasted Moody's Aaa-rated corporate bond yield of $4.75 \%$ is deducted from the total market return of $15.31 \%$, resulting in an equity risk premium of $10.56 \%$, as shown on line 4 , page 8 of Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (DWD-5).
Q. 69 Please explain the derivation of an equity risk premium based on the S\&P 500 companies.
A. 69 Using data from Value Line, I calculated an expected total return on the S\&P 500 companies using expected dividend yields and long-term growth estimates as a proxy for capital appreciation. The expected total return for the S\&P 500 is $14.14 \%$. Subtracting the prospective yield on Moody's Aaa-rated corporate bonds of $4.75 \%$ results in a $9.39 \%$ projected equity risk premium.
Q. 70 Please explain the derivation of an equity risk premium based on Bloomberg data.
A. 70 Using data from Bloomberg, I calculated an expected total return on the S\&P 500 using expected dividend yields and long-term growth estimates as a proxy for capital appreciation, identical to the method described above. The expected total return for the S\&P 500 is $16.04 \%$. Subtracting the prospective yield on Moody's Aaa-rated corporate bonds of $4.75 \%$ results in an $11.29 \%$ projected equity risk premium.
Q. 71 What is your conclusion of a beta-derived equity risk premium for use in your

## RPM analysis?

A. 71 I gave equal weight to all six equity risk premiums based on each source historical, Value Line, and Bloomberg - in arriving at an $8.87 \%$ equity risk premium.

Table 6: Summary of the Calculation of the Equity Risk Premium Using
Total Market Returns ${ }^{40}$

| Historical Spread Between Total Returns of Large <br> Stocks and Aaa and Aa-Rated Corporate Bond <br> Yields (1928-2022) | $5.82 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Regression Analysis on Historical Data | $7.46 \%$ |
| PRPM Analysis on Historical Data | $8.70 \%$ |
| Prospective Equity Risk Premium using Total <br>  <br> Index less Projected Aaa Corporate Bond Yields | $10.56 \%$ |
| Prospective Equity Risk Premium using Measures <br> of Capital Appreciation and Income Returns from <br> Value Line for the S\&P 500 less Projected Aaa <br> Corporate Bond Yields | $9.39 \%$ |
| Prospective Equity Risk Premium using Measures <br> of Capital Appreciation and Income Returns from <br> Bloomberg Professional Services for the S\&P 500 <br> less Projected Aaa Corporate Bond Yields | $\underline{11.29 \%}$ |
| Average | $\underline{\underline{8.87 \%}}$ |

After calculating the average market equity risk premium of $8.87 \%$, I adjusted it by the beta to account for the risk of the Utility Proxy Group. As discussed below, the beta is a meaningful measure of prospective relative risk to the market as a whole, and is a logical way to allocate a company's, or proxy group's, share of the market's total equity risk premium relative to corporate bond yields. As shown on page 1 of Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (DWD-6), the average of the mean and median beta for the Utility Proxy Group is 0.77 . Multiplying the 0.77 average
${ }^{40}$ As shown on page 8 of Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (DWD-5).
beta by the market equity risk premium of $8.87 \%$ results in a beta-adjusted equity risk premium for the Utility Proxy Group of 6.83\%.
Q. 72 How did you derive the equity risk premium based on the S\&P Utility Index and Moody's A2-rated public utility bonds?
A. 72 I estimated three equity risk premiums based on S\&P Utility Index holding period returns, and two equity risk premiums based on the expected returns of the S\&P Utilities Index, using Value Line and Bloomberg data, respectively. Turning first to the S\&P Utility Index holding period returns, I derived a long-term monthly arithmetic mean equity risk premium, between the S\&P Utility Index total returns of $10.63 \%$ and monthly Moody's A2-rated public utility bond yields of $6.44 \%$ from 1928 to 2022 , to arrive at an equity risk premium of $4.20 \% .{ }^{41}$ I then used the same historical data to derive an equity risk premium of $5.16 \%$ based on a regression of the monthly equity risk premiums. The final S\&P Utility Index holding period equity risk premium involved applying the PRPM using the historical monthly equity risk premiums from January 1928 to June 2023 to arrive at a PRPM-derived equity risk premium of $5.24 \%$ for the S\&P Utility Index.

I then derived an expected total return on the S\&P Utilities Index of 10.00\% using data from Value Line and subtracted the prospective Moody's A2-rated public utility bond yield of $5.44 \%{ }^{42}$ which resulted in an equity risk premium of 4.56\%. As with the market equity risk premiums, I averaged each risk premium based on each source (i.e., historical and Value Line) to arrive at my utility-specific equity risk premium of 4.79\%.

[^17]Table 7: Summary of the Calculation of the Equity Risk Premium Using S\&P Utility Index Holding Returns ${ }^{43}$

| Historical Spread Between Total Returns of the S\&P <br> Utilities Index and A2-Rated Utility Bond Yields <br> (1928 - 2022) | $4.20 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Regression Analysis on Historical Data | $5.16 \%$ |
| PRPM Analysis on Historical Data | $5.24 \%$ |
| Prospective Equity Risk Premium using Measures <br> of Capital Appreciation and Income Returns from <br> Value Line for the S\&P Utilities Index less Projected <br> A2 Utility Bond Yields | $4.56 \%$ |
| Prospective Equity Risk Premium using Measures <br> of Capital Appreciation and Income Returns from <br> Bloomberg Professional Services for the S\&P <br> Utilities Index less Projected A2 Utility Bond Yields | NMF |
| Average | $\underline{\underline{4.79 \%}}$ |

Q. 73 How did you derive an equity risk premium of $4.92 \%$ based on authorized ROEs for natural gas distribution utilities?
A. 73 The equity risk premium of $4.92 \%$ shown on line 3, page 7 of Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (DWD5 ) is the result of a regression analysis based on regulatory awarded ROEs related to the yields on Moody's A2-rated public utility bonds. That analysis is shown on page 13 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-5), which contains the graphical results of a regression analysis of 821 rate cases for natural gas distribution utilities that were fully litigated during the period from January 1, 1980 through July 14, 2023. It shows the implicit equity risk premium relative to the yields on A2-rated public utility bonds immediately prior to the issuance of each regulatory decision. It is readily discernible that there is an inverse relationship between the yield on A2-rated public utility bonds and equity risk premiums. In other words, as interest rates decline, the equity risk premium rises and vice versa, a result consistent with
${ }^{43}$ As shown on page 12 of Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (DWD-5).
financial literature on the subject. ${ }^{44}$ I used the regression results to estimate the equity risk premium applicable to the projected yield on Moody's A2-rated public utility bonds. Given the expected A2-rated utility bond yield of $5.44 \%$, it can be calculated that the indicated equity risk premium applicable to that bond yield is 4.92\%, which is shown on line 3, page 7 of Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (DWD-5).
Q. 74 What is your conclusion of an equity risk premium for use in your total market approach RPM analysis?
A. 74 The equity risk premium I applied to the Utility Proxy Group is $5.51 \%$, which is the average of the beta-adjusted equity risk premium for the Utility Proxy Group, the S\&P Utilities Index, and the authorized return utility equity risk premiums of 6.83\%, $4.79 \%$, and $4.92 \%$, respectively. ${ }^{45}$
Q. 75 What is the indicated RPM common equity cost rate based on the total market approach?
A. 75 As shown on line 5, page 3 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-5), and shown on Table 8, below, I calculated a common equity cost rate of $10.95 \%$ for the Utility Proxy Group based on the total market approach RPM.

Table 8: Summary of the Total Market Return Risk Premium Model ${ }^{46}$

| Prospective Moody's A2-Rated Utility Bond <br> Applicable to the Utility Proxy Group | $5.44 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Prospective Equity Risk Premium | $\underline{5.51 \%}$ |
| Indicated Cost of Common Equity | $\underline{\underline{10.95 \%}}$ |

[^18]Q. 76 What are the results of your application of the PRPM and the total market approach RPM?
A. 76 As shown on page 1 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-5), the indicated RPM-derived common equity cost rate is $10.85 \%$, which gives equal weight to the PRPM (10.74\%) and the adjusted-market approach results (10.95\%).
C. The Capital Asset Pricing Model
Q. 77 Please explain the theoretical basis of the CAPM.
A. 77 CAPM theory defines risk as the co-variability of a security's returns with the market's returns as measured by the beta ( $\beta$ ). A beta less than 1.0 indicates lower variability than the market as a whole, while a beta greater than 1.0 indicates greater variability than the market.

The CAPM assumes that all non-market or unsystematic risk can be eliminated through diversification. The risk that cannot be eliminated through diversification is called market, or systematic, risk. In addition, the CAPM presumes that investors only require compensation for systematic risk, which is the result of macroeconomic and other events that affect the returns on all assets. The model is applied by adding a risk-free rate of return to a market risk premium, which is adjusted proportionately to reflect the systematic risk of the individual security relative to the total market as measured by the beta. The traditional CAPM model is expressed as:

Where: $\quad \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=\quad$ Return rate on the common stock;
$R_{f}=$ Risk-free rate of return;
$R_{m} \quad=\quad$ Return rate on the market as a whole; and
$\beta=\quad$ Adjusted beta (volatility of the security relative to the market as a whole)

Numerous tests of the CAPM have measured the extent to which security returns and beta are related as predicted by the CAPM, confirming its validity. The empirical CAPM (ECAPM) reflects the reality that while the results of these tests support the notion that the beta is related to security returns, the empirical Security Market Line (SML) described by the CAPM formula is not as steeply sloped as the predicted SML. ${ }^{47}$

The ECAPM reflects this empirical reality. Fama and French clearly state regarding Figure 2, below, that " $[t]$ he returns on the low beta portfolios are too high, and the returns on the high beta portfolios are too low." ${ }^{48}$

Figure 2 http://pubs.aeaweb.org/doi/pdfplus/10.1257/0895330042162430
Average Annualized Monthly Return versus Beta for Value Weight Portfolios Formed on Prior Beta, 1928-2003


[^19]In addition, Morin observes that while the results of these tests support the notion that beta is related to security returns, the empirical SML described by the CAPM formula is not as steeply sloped as the predicted SML. Morin states:

With few exceptions, the empirical studies agree that ... low-beta securities earn returns somewhat higher than the CAPM would predict, and high-beta securities earn less than predicted. ${ }^{49}$

Therefore, the empirical evidence suggests that the expected return on a security is related to its risk by the following approximation:

$$
K=R F+x(R M-R F)+(1-x) \beta(R M-R F)
$$

where $x$ is a fraction to be determined empirically. The value of $x$ that best explains the observed relationship [is] Return = $0.0829+0.0520 \beta$ is between 0.25 and 0.30 . If $x=0.25$, the equation becomes:

$$
K=R F+0.25(R M-R F)+0.75 \beta(R M-R F)^{50}
$$

Fama and French provide similar support for the ECAPM when they state:
The early tests firmly reject the Sharpe-Lintner version of the CAPM. There is a positive relation between beta and average return, but it is too 'flat.'... The regressions consistently find that the intercept is greater than the average risk-free rate... and the coefficient on beta is less than the average excess market return... This is true in the early tests... as well as in more recent cross-section regressions tests, like Fama and French (1992). ${ }^{51}$

Finally, Fama and French further note:
Confirming earlier evidence, the relation between beta and average return `for the ten portfolios is much flatter than the Sharpe-Linter CAPM predicts. The returns on low beta portfolios are too high, and the returns on the high beta portfolios are too low. For example, the predicted return on the portfolio with the lowest beta is 8.3 percent per year; the actual return as 11.1 percent. The predicted return on the portfolio with the $t$ beta is 16.8 percent per year; the actual is 13.7 percent. ${ }^{52}$

[^20]Clearly, the justification from Morin, Fama, and French, along with their reviews of other academic research on the CAPM, validate the use of the ECAPM. In view of theory and practical research, I have applied both the traditional CAPM and the ECAPM to the companies in the Utility Proxy Group and averaged the results.

## Q. 78 What betas did you use in your CAPM analysis?

A. 78 For the betas in my CAPM analysis, I considered two sources: Value Line and Bloomberg. While both of those services adjust their calculated (or "raw") beta to reflect their tendency to regress to the market mean of 1.00, Value Line calculates their beta over a five-year period, while Bloomberg calculates theirs over a twoyear period.
Q. 79 Please describe your selection of a risk-free rate of return.
A. 79 As shown in Column 5, page 1 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-6), the risk-free rate adopted for both applications of the CAPM is $3.85 \%$. This risk-free rate is based on the average of the Blue Chip consensus forecast of the expected yields on 30year U.S. Treasury bonds for the six quarters ending with the fourth calendar quarter of 2024, and long-term projections for the years 2025 to 2029 and 2030 to 2034.
Q. 80 Why is the yield on long-term U.S. Treasury bonds appropriate for use as the risk-free rate?
A. 80 The yield on long-term U.S. Treasury bonds is almost risk-free and its term is consistent with the long-term cost of capital to public utilities measured by the yields on Moody's A2-rated public utility bonds; the long-term investment horizon inherent in utilities' common stocks; and the long-term life of the jurisdictional rate base to which the allowed fair rate of return (i.e., cost of capital) will be applied. In
contrast, short-term U.S. Treasury yields are more volatile and largely a function of Federal Reserve monetary policy.
Q. 81 Please explain the estimation of the expected risk premium for the market used in your CAPM analyses.
A. 81 The basis of the market risk premium is explained in detail in note 1 on Exhibit No.___(DWD-6). As discussed above, the market risk premium is derived from an average of three historical data-based market risk premiums, two Value Line databased market risk premiums, and one Bloomberg data-based market risk premium.

The long-term income return on U.S. Government securities of $5.00 \%$ was deducted from the SBBI - 2023 monthly historical total market return of 12.03\%, which results in an historical market equity risk premium of $7.03 .{ }^{53} \mathrm{I}$ applied a linear OLS regression to the monthly annualized historical returns on the S\&P 500 relative to historical yields on long-term U.S. Government securities from SBBI 2023. That regression analysis yielded a market equity risk premium of $8.59 \%$. The PRPM market equity risk premium is $9.69 \%$ and is derived using the PRPM relative to the yields on long-term U.S. Treasury securities from January 1926 through June 2023.

The Value Line-derived forecasted total market equity risk premium is derived by deducting the forecasted risk-free rate of $3.85 \%$, discussed above, from the Value Line projected total annual market return of 15.31\%, resulting in a forecasted total market equity risk premium of $11.46 \%$. The S\&P 500 projected market equity risk premium using Value Line data is derived by subtracting the

[^21]projected risk-free rate of $3.85 \%$ from the projected total return of the S\&P 500 of $14.14 \%$. The resulting market equity risk premium is $10.29 \%$.

The S\&P 500 projected market equity risk premium using Bloomberg data is derived by subtracting the projected risk-free rate of $3.85 \%$ from the projected total return of the S\&P 500 of $16.04 \%$. The resulting market equity risk premium is $12.19 \%$. These six measures, when averaged, result in an average total market equity risk premium of $9.87 \%$.

Table 9: Summary of the Calculation of the Market Risk Premium for Use in the CAPM ${ }^{54}$

| Historical Spread Between Total Returns of Large <br> Stocks and Long-Term Government Bond Yields <br> (1926 - 2022) | $7.03 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Regression Analysis on Historical Data | $8.59 \%$ |
| PRPM Analysis on Historical Data | $9.69 \%$ |
| Prospective Equity Risk Premium using Total <br> Market Returns from Value Line Summary \& Index <br> less Projected 30-Year Treasury Bond Yields | $11.46 \%$ |
| Prospective Equity Risk Premium using Measures <br> of Capital Appreciation and Income Returns from <br> Value Line for the S\&P 500 less Projected 30-Year | $10.29 \%$ |
| Treasury Bond Yields | $\underline{$ Prospective Equity Risk Premium using Measures  <br>  of Capital Appreciation and Income Returns from  <br>  Bloomberg Professional Services for the S\&P 500 $}$ |
| less Projected 30-Year Treasury Bond Yields | $\underline{\underline{9.87 \%}}$ |
| Average |  |

Q. 82 What are the results of your application of the traditional and empirical CAPM to the Utility Proxy Group?
A. 82 As shown on page 1 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-6), the mean result of my CAPM/ECAPM analyses is $11.68 \%$, the median is $11.70 \%$, and the average of the
$\qquad$ (DWD-6).
two is $11.69 \%$. Consistent with my reliance on the average of mean and median DCF results discussed above, the indicated common equity cost rate using the CAPM/ECAPM is $11.69 \%$.
D. Common Equity Cost Rates for a Proxy Group of Domestic, Non-Price Regulated Companies based on the DCF, RPM, and CAPM
Q. 83 Why do you also consider a proxy group of domestic, non-price regulated companies?
A. 83 In the Hope and Bluefield cases, the Supreme Court of the United States did not specify that comparable risk companies had to be utilities. Since the purpose of rate regulation is to be a substitute for marketplace competition, non-price regulated firms operating in the competitive marketplace make an excellent proxy if they are comparable in total risk to the Utility Proxy Group being used to estimate the cost of common equity. The selection of such domestic, non-price regulated competitive firms theoretically and empirically results in a proxy group which is comparable in total risk to the Utility Proxy Group, since all of these companies compete for capital in the exact same markets.
Q. 84 How did you select non-price regulated companies that are comparable in total risk to the Utility Proxy Group?
A. 84 In order to select a proxy group of domestic, non-price regulated companies similar in total risk to the Utility Proxy Group, I relied on the betas and related statistics derived from Value Line regression analyses of weekly market prices over the most recent 260 weeks (i.e., five years). These selection criteria resulted in a proxy group of 46 domestic, non-price regulated firms comparable in total risk to the Utility Proxy Group. Total risk is the sum of non-diversifiable market risk and
diversifiable company-specific risks. The criteria used in selecting the domestic, non-price regulated firms was:
(i) They must be covered by Value Line (Standard Edition);
(ii) They must be domestic, non-price regulated companies, i.e., not utilities;
(iii) Their unadjusted betas must lie within plus or minus two standard deviations of the average unadjusted beta of the Utility Proxy Group; and
(iv) The residual standard errors of the Value Line regressions which gave rise to the unadjusted betas must lie within plus or minus two standard deviations of the average residual standard error of the Utility Proxy Group.

Betas measure market, or systematic, risk which is not diversifiable. The residual standard errors of the regressions measure each firm's companyspecific, diversifiable risk. Companies that have similar betas and similar residual standard errors resulting from the same regression analyses have similar total investment risk.
Q. 85

Have you prepared an Exhibit which shows the data from which you selected the 46 domestic, non-price regulated companies that are comparable in total risk to the Utility Proxy Group?
A. 85

Yes, the basis of my selection and both proxy groups' regression statistics are shown in Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (DWD-7).
Q. 86

Did you calculate common equity cost rates using the DCF model, RPM, and CAPM for the Non-Price Regulated Proxy Group?
A. 86

Yes. Because the DCF model, RPM, and CAPM have been applied in an identical manner as described above, I will not repeat the details of the rationale and application of each model. One exception is in the application of the RPM, where

I did not use public utility-specific equity risk premiums, nor did I apply the PRPM to the individual non-price regulated companies.

Page 2 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-8) derives the constant growth DCF model common equity cost rate. As shown, the indicated common equity cost rate, using the constant growth DCF for the Non-Price Regulated Proxy Group comparable in total risk to the Utility Proxy Group, is $10.60 \%$.

Pages 3 through 5 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-8) contain the data and calculations that support the $13.10 \%$ RPM common equity cost rate. As shown on line 1, page 3 of Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (DWD-8), the consensus prospective yield on Moody's Baa2-rated corporate bonds for the six quarters ending in the fourth quarter of 2024 , and for the years 2025 to 2029 and 2030 to 2034 , is $5.73 \% .{ }^{55}$ Since the Non-Price Regulated Proxy Group has an average Moody's long-term issuer rating of Baa1, a downward adjustment of $0.17 \%{ }^{56}$ to the projected Baa2 corporate bond yield is necessary to reflect the difference in ratings, which results in a projected Baa1 corporate bond yield of $5.56 \%$.

When the beta-adjusted risk premium of $7.54 \%{ }^{57}$ relative to the Non-Price Regulated Proxy Group is added to the prospective Baa1-rated corporate bond yield of $5.56 \%$, the indicated RPM common equity cost rate is $13.10 \%$.

Page 6 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-8) contains the inputs and calculations that support my indicated CAPM/ECAPM common equity cost rate of $12.30 \%$.

[^22]Q. 87 What is the cost rate of common equity based on the Non-Price Regulated Proxy Group comparable in total risk to the Utility Proxy Group?
A. 87 As shown on page 1 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-8), the results of the common equity models applied to the Non-Price Regulated Proxy Group - which group is comparable in total risk to the Utility Proxy Group - are as follows: $10.60 \%$ (DCF), 13.10\% (RPM), and 12.30\% (CAPM). The average of the mean and median of these models is $12.15 \%$, which I used as the indicated common equity cost rates for the Non-Price Regulated Proxy Group.

## VIII. RANGE OF COMMON EQUITY COST RATES BEFORE ADJUSTMENT

Q. 88 What is the range of indicated common equity cost rates produced by your ROE models?
A. 88 The range of indicated ROEs is from $9.65 \%$ (DCF model) to $12.15 \%$ (Non-Price Regulated Market Models), which is applicable to the Utility Proxy Group. I used multiple cost of common equity models as primary tools in arriving at my recommended common equity cost rate, because no single model is so inherently precise that it can be relied on to the exclusion of other theoretically sound models. Using multiple models adds reliability to the estimated common equity cost rate, with the prudence of using multiple cost of common equity models supported in both the financial literature and regulatory precedent.

As will be discussed below, Southwest Gas has greater risk than the Utility Proxy Group. Because of this, the indicated range of model results based on the Utility Proxy Group must be adjusted to reflect Southwest Gas' greater relative risk.
IX. ADJUSTMENTS TO THE COMMON EQUITY COST RATE
A. Business Risk Adjustment
Q. 89 Please compare Southwest Gas' size with that of the Utility Proxy Group.
A. 89 As shown on Table 10, below, Southwest Gas is smaller than the median utility in the Utility Proxy Group, as measured by market capitalization.

Table 10: Size as Measured by Market Capitalization for Southwest Gas' Natural Gas Distribution Operations and the Utility Proxy Group

|  | Market <br> Capitalization* <br> (\$ Millions) | Times <br> Greater than <br> the Company |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Southwest Gas | $\$ 1,680.46$ |  |
| Utility Proxy Group | $\$ 4,331.038$ | $2.6 x$ |
| *From page 1 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-9). |  |  |

Southwest Gas' estimated market capitalization was $\$ 1,680$ million as of July 14, 2023, ${ }^{58}$ compared with the median market capitalization of the Utility Proxy Group of $\$ 4,331$ million as of July 14, 2023. The Utility Proxy Group's market capitalization is 2.6 times the size of Southwest Gas' estimated market capitalization.
Q. 90 Since Southwest Gas is part of a larger company, why is the size of the total company not more appropriate to use when determining the size adjustment?
A. 90 The return derived in this proceeding will not apply to SWX's operations as a whole, but only to Southwest Gas. SWX is the sum of its constituent parts, including those constituent parts' ROEs. Potential investors in the Parent are aware that it is a
${ }^{58}$ \$1,677.395 = \$1,964.966M (Certification period rate base (Southern + Northern) * requested equity ratio) * 171.0\% (market-to-book ratio of the Utility Proxy Group) as demonstrated on page 2 of Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (DWD-9).
combination of operations in each state, and that each state's operations experience the regulatory and operating risks specific to their jurisdiction. The market's expectation of SWX's return is commensurate with the realities of the Company's composite operations in each of the states in which it operates.
Q. 91 Does Southwest Gas' smaller size relative to the Utility Proxy Group companies increase its business risk?
A. 91 Yes. Southwest Gas' smaller size relative to the Utility Proxy Group companies indicates greater relative business risk for the Company because, all else being equal, size has a material bearing on risk.

Size affects business risk because smaller companies generally are less able to cope with significant events that affect sales, revenues, and earnings. For example, smaller companies face more risk exposure to business cycles and economic conditions, both nationally and locally. Additionally, the loss of revenues from a few larger customers would have a greater effect on a smaller company than on a bigger company with a larger, more diverse, customer base.

As further evidence that smaller firms are riskier, investors generally demand greater returns from smaller firms to compensate for less marketability and liquidity of their securities. Kroll's Cost of Capital Navigator: U.S. Cost of Capital Module ("Kroll") discusses the nature of the small-size phenomenon, providing an indication of the magnitude of the size premium based on several measures of size. In discussing "Size as a Predictor of Equity Premiums," Kroll states:

The size effect is based on the empirical observation that companies of smaller size are associated with greater risk and, therefore, have greater cost of capital [sic]. The "size" of a company is one of the most important risk elements to consider when developing cost of equity capital estimates for use in
valuing a business simply because size has been shown to be a predictor of equity returns. In other words, there is a significant (negative) relationship between size and historical equity returns - as size decreases, returns tend to increase, and vice versa. (footnote omitted) (emphasis in original) ${ }^{59}$

Furthermore, in "The Capital Asset Pricing Model: Theory and Evidence," Fama and French note size is indeed a risk factor which must be reflected when estimating the cost of common equity. On page 38, they note:
...the higher average returns on small stocks and high book-tomarket stocks reflect unidentified state variables that produce undiversifiable risks (covariances) in returns not captured in the market return and are priced separately from market betas. ${ }^{60}$

Based on this evidence, Fama and French proposed their three-factor model which includes a size variable in recognition of the effect size has on the cost of common equity.

Also, it is a basic financial principle that the use of funds invested, and not the source of funds, is what gives rise to the risk of any investment. ${ }^{61}$ Eugene Brigham, a well-known authority, states:

A number of researchers have observed that portfolios of smallfirms (sic) have earned consistently higher average returns than those of large-firm stocks; this is called the "small-firm effect." On the surface, it would seem to be advantageous to the small firms to provide average returns in a stock market that are higher than those of larger firms. In reality, it is bad news for the small firm; what the small-firm effect means is that the capital market demands higher returns on stocks of small firms than on otherwise similar stocks of the large firms. (emphasis added). ${ }^{62}$

Consistent with the financial principle of risk and return discussed above, increased relative risk due to small size must be considered in the allowed rate of

[^23] return on common equity. Therefore, the Commission's authorization of a cost rate of common equity in this proceeding must appropriately reflect the unique risks of Southwest Gas, including its small relative size, which is justified and supported above by evidence in the financial literature.
Q. 92 Is there a way to quantify a relative risk adjustment due to Southwest Gas' smaller size when compared to the Utility Proxy Group?
A. 92 Yes. Southwest Gas has greater relative risk than the average utility in the Utility Proxy Group. As a proxy for the business risk adjustment, I will use the SBBI-2023 size study. The determination is based on the size premiums for portfolios of New York Stock Exchange, American Stock Exchange, and NASDAQ listed companies ranked by deciles for the 1926 to 2022 period. The median size premium for the Utility Proxy Group with a market capitalization of $\$ 4,331$ million falls in the fourth decile, while the Company's estimated market capitalization of $\$ 1,680$ million places it in the sixth decile. The size premium spread between the fourth decile and the sixth decile is $0.58 \%$. Even though an $0.58 \%$ upward size adjustment is indicated, I applied a size premium of $0.10 \%$ to the Company's indicated common equity cost rate.
B. Credit Risk Adjustment
Q. 93 Please discuss your proposed credit risk adjustment.
A. 93 Southwest Gas' long-term issuer ratings are Baa1 and BBB from Moody's and S\&P, respectively, which are riskier and equal to the average long-term issuer ratings for the Utility Proxy Group of A2/A3 and A-, respectively. ${ }^{63}$

[^24]An indication of the magnitude of the necessary upward adjustment to reflect the greater credit risk inherent in Southwest Gas' Baa1 bond rating relative to the Utility Proxy Group average rating of A2 is two-thirds of a recent three-month average spread between Moody's A2 and Baa2-rated public utility bond yields of $0.35 \%$, shown on page 4 of Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (DWD-5), or $0.23 \% .{ }^{64}$

## C. Flotation Costs

## Q. 94 What are flotation costs?

A. 94 Flotation costs are those costs associated with the sale of new issuances of common stock. They include market pressure and the mandatory unavoidable costs of issuance (e.g., underwriting fees and out-of-pocket costs for printing, legal, registration, etc.). For every dollar raised through debt or equity offerings, the Company receives less than one full dollar in financing.
Q. 95 Why is it important to recognize flotation costs in the allowed common equity cost rate?
A. 95 It is important because there is no other mechanism in the ratemaking paradigm through which such costs can be recognized and recovered. Because these costs are real, necessary, and legitimate, recovery of these costs should be permitted. As noted by Morin:

The costs of issuing these securities are just as real as operating and maintenance expenses or costs incurred to build utility plants, and fair regulatory treatment must permit the recovery of these costs....

The simple fact of the matter is that common equity capital is not free....[Flotation costs] must be recovered through a rate of return adjustment. ${ }^{65}$

[^25]Q. 96 Should flotation costs be recognized only if there was an issuance during the test year or there is an imminent post-test year issuance of additional common stock?

No. As noted above, there is no mechanism to recapture such costs in the ratemaking paradigm other than an adjustment to the allowed common equity cost rate. Flotation costs are charged to capital accounts and are not expensed on a utility's income statement. As such, flotation costs are analogous to capital investments, albeit negative, reflected on the balance sheet. Recovery of capital investments relates to the expected useful lives of the investment. Since common equity has a very long and indefinite life (assumed to be infinity in the standard regulatory DCF model), flotation costs should be recovered through an adjustment to common equity cost rate, even when there has not been an issuance during the test year, or in the absence of an expected imminent issuance of additional shares of common stock.

Historical flotation costs are a permanent loss of investment to the utility and should be accounted for. When any company, including a utility, issues common stock, flotation costs are incurred for legal, accounting, printing fees and the like. For each dollar of issuing market price, a small percentage is expensed and is permanently unavailable for investment in utility rate base. Since these expenses are charged to capital accounts and not expensed on the income statement, the only way to restore the full value of that dollar of issuing price with an assumed investor required return of $10 \%$ is for the net investment, $\$ 0.95$, to earn more than $10 \%$ to net back to the investor a fair return on that dollar. In other words, if a company issues stock at $\$ 1.00$ with $5 \%$ in flotation costs, it will net $\$ 0.95$ in investment. Assuming the investor in that stock requires a 10\% return on his or
her invested $\$ 1.00$ (i.e., a return of $\$ 0.10$ ), the company needs to earn approximately $10.5 \%$ on its invested $\$ 0.95$ to receive a $\$ 0.10$ return.
Q. 97 Do the common equity cost rate models you have used already reflect investors' anticipation of flotation costs?
A. 97 No. All of these models assume no transaction costs. The literature is quite clear that these costs are not reflected in the market prices paid for common stocks. For example, Brigham and Daves confirm this and provide the methodology utilized to calculate the flotation adjustment. ${ }^{66}$ In addition, Morin confirms the need for such an adjustment even when no new equity issuance is imminent. ${ }^{67}$ Consequently, it is proper to include a flotation cost adjustment when using cost of common equity models to estimate the common equity cost rate.
Q. 98 How did you calculate the flotation cost allowance?
A. 98 I modified the DCF calculation to provide a dividend yield that would reimburse investors for issuance costs in accordance with the method cited in literature by Brigham and Daves, as well as by Morin. The flotation cost adjustment recognizes the actual costs of issuing equity that were incurred by Southwest Gas since 2000. Based on the issuance costs shown on page 1 of Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (DWD-10), an adjustment of $0.10 \%$ is required to reflect the flotation costs applicable to the Utility Proxy Group.

[^26]Q. 99 What is the indicated cost of common equity after your Company-specific adjustments?
A. 99 Applying the $0.10 \%$ size adjustment, the $0.23 \%$ credit risk adjustment, and the $0.10 \%$ flotation cost adjustment to the indicated range of common equity cost rates between $9.65 \%$ and $12.15 \%$ results in a Company-specific range of common equity rates between $10.08 \%$ and $12.58 \%$.

## X. CONCLUSION

Q. 100 What is your recommended range of ROEs for the Company?
A. 100 Given the discussion above and the results from the analyses, I conclude that a range of ROEs from $10.08 \%$ to $12.58 \%$ is appropriate for the Company at this time.
Q. 101 In your opinion, is the Company's requested ROE of $10.00 \%$ fair and reasonable to Southwest Gas and its customers?
A. 101 Given my range of ROEs applicable to Southwest Gas, the Company's requested ROE is reasonable, if not conservative.
Q. 102 In your opinion, is Southwest Gas' proposed capital structure consisting of $\mathbf{5 0 . 0 0 \%}$ long-term debt and 50.00\% common equity fair and reasonable?
A. 102 Yes, it is.
Q. 103 In your opinion, is Southwest Gas' proposed costs of debt of $4.53 \%$ (Southern) and 4.55\% (Northern) fair and reasonable?
A. 103 Yes , it is.
Q. 104 Does this conclude your Direct Testimony?
A. 104 Yes, it does.
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## Summary

Dylan is an experienced consultant and a Certified Rate of Return Analyst (CRRA) and Certified Valuation Analyst (CVA). Dylan joined ScottMadden in 2016 and has become a leading expert witness with respect to cost of capital and capital structure. He has served as a consultant for investor-owned and municipal utilities and authorities for 15 years. Dylan has testified as an expert witness on over 150 occasions regarding rate of return, cost of service, rate design, and valuation before more than 35 regulatory jurisdictions in the United States and Canada, an American Arbitration Association panel, and the Superior Court of Rhode Island. He also maintains the benchmark index against which the Hennessy Gas Utility Mutual Fund performance is measured. Dylan holds a B.A. in economic history from the University of Pennsylvania and an M.B.A. with concentrations in finance and international business from Rutgers University.
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## Recent Expert Testimony Submission/Appearance

- Regulatory Commission of Alaska - Capital Structure
- Federal Energy Regulatory Commission - Rate of Return
- Public Utility Commission of Texas - Return on Equity
- Hawaii Public Utilities Commission - Cost of Service / Rate Design
- Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission - Valuation


## Recent Assignments

- Provided expert testimony on the cost of capital for ratemaking purposes before numerous state utility regulatory agencies
- Sponsored valuation testimony for a large municipal water company in front of an American Arbitration Association Board to justify the reasonability of their lease payments to the City
- Co-authored a valuation report on behalf of a large investor-owned utility company in response to a new state regulation which allowed the appraised value of acquired assets into rate base


## Recent Articles and Speeches

- Co-Author of: "Decoupling, Risk Impacts and the Cost of Capital", co-authored with Richard A. Michelfelder, Ph.D., Rutgers University and Pauline M. Ahern. The Electricity Journal, March, 2020
- Co-Author of: "Decoupling Impact and Public Utility Conservation Investment", co-authored with Richard A. Michelfelder, Ph.D., Rutgers University and Pauline M. Ahern. Energy Policy Journal, 130 (2019), 311-319
- "Establishing Alternative Proxy Groups", before the Society of Utility and Regulatory Financial Analysts: 51st Financial Forum, April 4, 2019, New Orleans, LA
- "Past is Prologue: Future Test Year", Presentation before the National Association of Water Companies 2017 Southeast Water Infrastructure Summit, May 2, 2017, Savannah, GA.
- Co-author of: "Comparative Evaluation of the Predictive Risk Premium Model ${ }^{\text {TM }}$, the Discounted Cash Flow Model and the Capital Asset Pricing Model", co-authored with Richard A. Michelfelder, Ph.D., Rutgers University, Pauline M. Ahern, and Frank J. Hanley, The Electricity Journal, May, 2013
- "Decoupling: Impact on the Risk and Cost of Common Equity of Public Utility Stocks", before the Society of Utility and Regulatory Financial Analysts: 45th Financial Forum, April 17-18, 2013, Indianapolis, IN
management consultants

| Sponsor | Date | Case/Applicant | Docket No. | Subject |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regulatory Commission of Alaska |  |  |  |  |
| ENSTAR Natural Gas Company | 08/22 | ENSTAR Natural Gas Company | Docket No. TA334-4 | Rate of Return |
| Cook Inlet Natural Gas Storage Alaska, LLC | 07/21 | Cook Inlet Natural Gas Storage Alaska, LLC | Docket No. TA45-733 | Capital Structure |
| Alaska Power Company | 09/20 | Alaska Power Company; Goat Lake Hydro, Inc.; BBL Hydro, Inc. | Tariff Nos. TA886-2; TA6-521; TA4-573 | Capital Structure |
| Alaska Power Company | 07/16 | Alaska Power Company | Docket No. TA857-2 | Rate of Return |
| Alberta Utilities Commission |  |  |  |  |
| AltaLink, L.P., and EPCOR Distribution \& Transmission, Inc. | 02/23 | AltaLink, L.P., and EPCOR Distribution \& Transmission, Inc. | Proceeding ID. 27084 | Determination of Cost-of-Capital Parameters |
| AltaLink, L.P., and EPCOR Distribution \& Transmission, Inc. | 01/20 | AltaLink, L.P., and EPCOR Distribution \& Transmission, Inc. | 2021 Generic Cost of Capital, Proceeding ID. 24110 | Rate of Return |
| Arizona Corporation Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Arizona Water Company | 12/22 | Arizona Water Company - Eastern Group | Docket No. W-01445A-22-0286 | Rate of Return |
| EPCOR Water Arizona, Inc. | 08/22 | EPCOR Water Arizona, Inc. | Docket No. WS-01303A-22- $0236$ | Rate of Return |
| EPCOR Water Arizona, Inc. | 06/20 | EPCOR Water Arizona, Inc. | Docket No. WS-01303A-200177 | Rate of Return |
| Arizona Water Company | 12/19 | Arizona Water Company - Western Group | Docket No. W-01445A-19-0278 | Rate of Return |
| Arizona Water Company | 08/18 | Arizona Water Company - Northern Group | Docket No. W-01445A-18-0164 | Rate of Return |
| Arkansas Public Service Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Southwestern Electric Power Co. | 07/21 | Southwestern Electric Power Co. | Docket No. 21-070-U | Return on Equity |
| CenterPoint Energy Resources Corp. | 05/21 | CenterPoint Arkansas Gas | Docket No. 21-004-U | Return on Equity |
| California Public Utilities Commission |  |  |  |  |
| San Gabriel Valley Water Company | 05/23 | San Gabriel Valley Water Company | Docket No. A23-05-001 | Return on Equity |
| Colorado Public Utilitites Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Atmos Energy Corporation | 08/22 | Atmos Energy Corporation | Docket No. 22AL-0348G | Rate of Return |
| Summit Utilities, Inc. | 04/18 | Colorado Natural Gas Company | Docket No. 18AL-0305G | Rate of Return |
| Atmos Energy Corporation | 06/17 | Atmos Energy Corporation | Docket No. 17AL-0429G | Rate of Return |
| Commission of the Canada Energy Regulator |  |  |  |  |
| Trans-Northern Pipelines Inc. | 11/22 | Trans-Northern Pipelines Inc. | Docket No. C-22197 | Cost of Capital |
| Delaware Public Service Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Artesian Water Company, Inc. | 04/23 | Artesian Water Company, Inc. | Docket No. 23-0601 | Rate of Return |
| Delmarva Power \& Light Co. | 12/22 | Delmarva Power \& Light Co. | Docket No. 22-0897 (Electric) | Return on Equity |
| Delmarva Power \& Light Co. | 01/22 | Delmarva Power \& Light Co. | Docket No. 22-002 (Gas) | Return on Equity |
| Delmarva Power \& Light Co. | 11/20 | Delmarva Power \& Light Co. | Docket No. 20-0149 (Electric) | Return on Equity |
| Delmarva Power \& Light Co. | 10/20 | Delmarva Power \& Light Co. | Docket No. 20-0150 (Gas) | Return on Equity |
| Tidewater Utilities, Inc. | 11/13 | Tidewater Utilities, Inc. | Docket No. 13-466 | Capital Structure |
| Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia |  |  |  |  |
| Washington Gas Light Company | 04/22 | Washington Gas Light Company | Formal Case No. 1169 | Rate of Return |
| Washington Gas Light Company | 09/20 | Washington Gas Light Company | Formal Case No. 1162 | Rate of Return |
| Federal Energy Regulatory Commission |  |  |  |  |


| Sponsor | Date | Case/Applicant | Docket No. | Subject |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LS Power Grid California, LLC | 10/20 | LS Power Grid California, LLC | Docket No. ER21-195-000 | Rate of Return |
| Florida Public Service Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Peoples Gas System, Inc. | 04/23 | Peoples Gas System, Inc. | Docket No. 20230023-GU | Rate of Return |
| Tampa Electric Company | 04/21 | Tampa Electric Company | Docket No. 20210034-EI | Return on Equity |
| Peoples Gas System, Inc. | 09/20 | Peoples Gas System, Inc. | Docket No. 20200051-GU | Rate of Return |
| Utilities, Inc. of Florida | 06/20 | Utilities, Inc. of Florida | Docket No. 20200139-WS | Rate of Return |
| Hawail Public Utillities Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Launiupoko Irrigation Company, Inc. | 12/20 | Launiupoko Irrigation Company, Inc. | Docket No. 2020-0217 I <br> Transferred to 2020-0089 | Capital Structure |
| Lanai Water Company, Inc. | 12/19 | Lanai Water Company, Inc. | Docket No. 2019-0386 | Cost of Service / Rate Design |
| Manele Water Resources, LLC | 08/19 | Manele Water Resources, LLC | Docket No. 2019-0311 | Cost of Service / Rate Design |
| Kaupulehu Water Company | 02/18 | Kaupulehu Water Company | Docket No. 2016-0363 | Rate of Return |
| Aqua Engineers, LLC | 05/17 | Puhi Sewer \& Water Company | Docket No. 2017-0118 | Cost of Service / Rate Design |
| Hawaii Resources, Inc. | 09/16 | Laie Water Company | Docket No. 2016-0229 | Cost of Service / Rate Design |

Illinois Commerce Commission

| Ameren Illinois Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois | 01/23 | Ameren Illinois Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois | Docket No. 23-0082 (Electric) | Return on Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ameren Illinois Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois | 01/23 | Ameren Illinois Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois | Docket No. 23-0067 (Gas) | Return on Equity |
| Utility Services of Illinois, Inc. | 02/21 | Utility Services of Ilinois, Inc. | Docket No. 21-0198 | Rate of Return |
| Ameren Illinois Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois | 07/20 | Ameren Illinois Company d/b/a Ameren Illinois | Docket No. 20-0308 | Return on Equity |
| Utility Services of Illinois, Inc. | 11/17 | Utility Services of Illinois, Inc. | Docket No. 17-1106 | Cost of Service / Rate Design |
| Aqua Illinois, Inc. | 04/17 | Aqua Illinois, Inc. | Docket No. 17-0259 | Rate of Return |
| Utility Services of Illinois, Inc. | 04/15 | Utility Services of Illinois, Inc. | Docket No. 14-0741 | Rate of Return |

Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission

| Aqua Indiana, Inc. | $03 / 16$ | Aqua Indiana, Inc. Aboite <br> Wastewater Division | Docket No. 44752 | Rate of Return |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Twin Lakes, Utilities, Inc. | $08 / 13$ | Twin Lakes, Utilities, Inc. | Docket No. 44388 | Rate of Return |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Kansas Corporation Commission | $07 / 19$ | Atmos Energy Corporation | 19-ATMG-525-RTS | Rate of Return |
| Atmos Energy Corporation | $07 / 1$ |  |  |  |
| Kentucky Public Service Commission |  | Bluegrass Water Utility Operating <br> Company | $2022-00432$ | Return on Equity |
| Bluegrass Water Utility Operating <br> Company | $02 / 23$ | PRP Rider Rate |  |  |
| Atmos Energy Corporation | $07 / 22$ | Atmos Energy Corporation | $2022-00222$ | Rate of Return |
| Water Service Corporation of KY | $06 / 22$ | Water Service Corporation of KY | $2022-00147$ | PRP Rider Rate |
| Atmos Energy Corporation | $07 / 21$ | Atmos Energy Corporation | $2021-00304$ | Rate of Return |
| Atmos Energy Corporation | $06 / 21$ | Atmos Energy Corporation | $2021-00214$ | Return on Equity |
| Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. | $06 / 21$ | Duke Energy Kentucky, Inc. | $2021-00190$ | Return on Equity |
| Bluegrass Water Utility Operating <br> Company | $10 / 20$ | Bluegrass Water Utility Operating <br> Company | $2020-00290$ | Rate of Return |
| Louisiana Public Service Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Utilities, Inc. of Louisiana | $05 / 21$ | Utilities, Inc. of Louisiana | Docket No. U-36003 | 72 |
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| Sponsor | Date | Case/Applicant | Docket No. | Subject |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southwestern Electric Power Company | 12/20 | Southwestern Electric Power Company | Docket No. U-35441 | Return on Equity |
| Atmos Energy | 04/20 | Atmos Energy | Docket No. U-35535 | Rate of Return |
| Louisiana Water Service, Inc. | 06/13 | Louisiana Water Service, Inc. | Docket No. U-32848 | Rate of Return |
| Maine Public Utilities Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Northern Utilities, Inc. d/b/a Unitil | 05/23 | Northern Utilities, Inc. d/b/a Unitil | Docket No. 2023-00051 | Return on Equity |
| Summit Natural Gas of Maine, Inc. | 03/22 | Summit Natural Gas of Maine, Inc. | Docket No. 2022-00025 | Rate of Return |
| The Maine Water Company | 09/21 | The Maine Water Company | Docket No. 2021-00053 | Rate of Return |
| Maryland Public Service Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Washington Gas Light Company | 05/23 | Washington Gas Light Company | Case No. 9704 | Rate of Return |
| FirstEnergy, Inc. | 03/23 | Potomac Edison Company | Case No. 9695 | Rate of Return |
| Washington Gas Light Company | 08/20 | Washington Gas Light Company | Case No. 9651 | Rate of Return |
| FirstEnergy, Inc. | 08/18 | Potomac Edison Company | Case No. 9490 | Rate of Return |
| Massachusettis Department of Public Utilities |  |  |  |  |
| Unitil Corporation | 12/19 | Fitchburg Gas \& Electric Co. (Elec.) | D.P.U. 19-130 | Rate of Return |
| Unitil Corporation | 12/19 | Fitchburg Gas \& Electric Co. (Gas) | D.P.U. 19-131 | Rate of Return |
| Liberty Utilities | 07/15 | Liberty Utilities d/b/a New England Natural Gas Company | D.P.U. 15-75 | Rate of Return |
| Minnesota Public Utilities Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Northern States Power Company | 11/01 | Northern States Power Company | Docket No. G002/GR-21-678 | Return on Equity |
| Northern States Power Company | $10 / 21$ | Northern States Power Company | Docket No. E002/GR-21-630 | Return on Equity |
| Northern States Power Company | 11/20 | Northern States Power Company | Docket No. E002/GR-20-723 | Return on Equity |
| Mississippi Public Service Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Great River Utility Operating Co. | 07/22 | Great River Utility Operating Co. | Docket No. 2022-UN-86 | Rate of Return |
| Atmos Energy | 03/19 | Atmos Energy | Docket No. 2015-UN-049 | Capital Structure |
| Atmos Energy | 07/18 | Atmos Energy | Docket No. 2015-UN-049 | Capital Structure |
| Missouri Public Service Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company, Inc. | 01/23 | Confluence Rivers Utility Operating Company, Inc. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Case No. WR-2023-0006/SR- } \\ & \text { 2023-0007 } \end{aligned}$ | Rate of Return |
| Spire Missouri, Inc. | 12/20 | Spire Missouri, Inc. | Case No. GR-2021-0108 | Return on Equity |
| Indian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. | 10/17 | Indian Hills Utility Operating Company, Inc. | Case No. SR-2017-0259 | Rate of Return |
| Raccoon Creek Utility Operating Company, Inc. | 09/16 | Raccoon Creek Utility Operating Company, Inc. | Case No. SR-2016-0202 | Rate of Return |
| Public Utilities Commission of Nevada |  |  |  |  |
| Southwest Gas Corporation | 09/21 | Southwest Gas Corporation | Docket No. 21-09001 | Return on Equity |
| Southwest Gas Corporation | 08/20 | Southwest Gas Corporation | Docket No. 20-02023 | Return on Equity |
| New Hampshire Public Uutilities Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire, Inc. | 12/20 | Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire, Inc. | Docket No. DW 20-184 | Rate of Return |
| New Jersey Board of Public Uutilities |  |  |  |  |
| Middlesex Water Company | 05/23 | Middlesex Water Company | Docket No. WR23050292 | Rate of Return |
| FirstEnergy | 03/23 | Jersey Central Power \& Light Co. | Docket No. ER23030144 | Rate of Return |
| Atlantic City Electric Company | 02/23 | Atlantic City Electric Company | Docket No. ER20120746 | Return on Equity |
| Middlesex Water Company | 05/21 | Middlesex Water Company | Docket No. WR21050813 | Rate of Return |
| Atlantic City Electric Company | 12/20 | Atlantic City Electric Company | Docket No. ER20120746 | Return on Equity |
| FirstEnergy | 02/20 | Jersey Central Power \& Light Co. | Docket No. ER20020146 | Rate of Return |
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| Sponsor | Date | Case/Applicant | Docket No. | Subject |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aqua New Jersey, Inc. | 12/18 | Aqua New Jersey, Inc. | Docket No. WR18121351 | Rate of Return |
| Middlesex Water Company | 10/17 | Middlesex Water Company | Docket No. WR17101049 | Rate of Return |
| Middlesex Water Company | 03/15 | Middlesex Water Company | Docket No. WR15030391 | Rate of Return |
| The Atlantic City Sewerage Company | 10/14 | The Atlantic City Sewerage Company | Docket No. WR14101263 | Cost of Service / Rate Design |
| Middlesex Water Company | 11/13 | Middlesex Water Company | Docket No. WR1311059 | Capital Structure |
| New Mexico Public Regulation Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Southwestern Public Service Co. | 11/22 | Southwestern Public Service Co. | Case No. 22-00286-UT | Return on Equity |
| Southwestern Public Service Co. | 01/21 | Southwestern Public Service Co. | Case No. 20-00238-UT | Return on Equity |
| North Carolina Utilities Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Carolina Water Service, Inc. | 07/22 | Carolina Water Service, Inc. | Docket No. W-354 Sub 400 | Rate of Return |
| Aqua North Carolina, Inc. | 06/22 | Aqua North Carolina, Inc. | Docket No. W-218 Sub 573 | Rate of Return |
| Carolina Water Service, Inc. | 07/21 | Carolina Water Service, Inc. | Docket No. W-354 Sub 384 | Rate of Return |
| Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc. | 03/21 | Piedmont Natural Gas Co., Inc. | Docket No. G-9, Sub 781 | Return on Equity |
| Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC | 07/20 | Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC | Docket No. E-7, Sub 1214 | Return on Equity |
| Duke Energy Progress, LLC | 07/20 | Duke Energy Progress, LLC | Docket No. E-2, Sub 1219 | Return on Equity |
| Aqua North Carolina, Inc. | 12/19 | Aqua North Carolina, Inc. | Docket No. W-218 Sub 526 | Rate of Return |
| Carolina Water Service, Inc. | 06/19 | Carolina Water Service, Inc. | Docket No. W-354 Sub 364 | Rate of Return |
| Carolina Water Service, Inc. | 09/18 | Carolina Water Service, Inc. | Docket No. W-354 Sub 360 | Rate of Return |
| Aqua North Carolina, Inc. | 07/18 | Aqua North Carolina, Inc. | Docket No. W-218 Sub 497 | Rate of Return |
| North Dakota Public Service Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Northern States Power Company | 09/21 | Northern States Power Company | Case No. PU-21-381 | Rate of Return |
| Northern States Power Company | 11/20 | Northern States Power Company | Case No. PU-20-441 | Rate of Return |
| Public Utilities Commission of Ohio |  |  |  |  |
| Aqua Ohio, Inc. | 11/22 | Aqua Ohio, Inc. | Case No. 22-1094-WW-AIR | Rate of Return |
| Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. | 10/21 | Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. | Case No. 21-887-EL-AIR | Return on Equity |
| Aqua Ohio, Inc. | 07/21 | Aqua Ohio, Inc. | Case No. 21-0595-WW-AIR | Rate of Return |
| Aqua Ohio, Inc. | 05/16 | Aqua Ohio, Inc. | Case No. 16-0907-WW-AIR | Rate of Return |
| Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Columbia Water Company | 05/23 | Columbia Water Company | Docket No. R-2023-3040258 | Rate of Return |
| Borough of Ambler | 06/22 | Borough of Ambler - Bureau of Water | Docket No. R-2022-3031704 | Rate of Return |
| Citizens' Electric Company of Lewisburg | 05/22 | C\&T Enterprises | Docket No. R-2022-3032369 | Rate of Return |
| Valley Energy Company | 05/22 | C\&T Enterprises | Docket No. R-2022-3032300 | Rate of Return |
| Community Utilities of Pennsylvania, Inc. | 04/21 | Community Utilities of Pennsylvania, Inc. | Docket No. R-2021-3025207 | Rate of Return |
| Vicinity Energy Philadelphia, Inc. | 04/21 | Vicinity Energy Philadelphia, Inc. | Docket No. R-2021-3024060 | Rate of Return |
| Delaware County Regional Water Control Authority | 02/20 | Delaware County Regional Water Control Authority | Docket No. A-2019-3015173 | Valuation |
| Valley Energy, Inc. | 07/19 | C\&T Enterprises | Docket No. R-2019-3008209 | Rate of Return |
| Wellsboro Electric Company | 07/19 | C\&T Enterprises | Docket No. R-2019-3008208 | Rate of Return |
| Citizens' Electric Company of Lewisburg | 07/19 | C\&T Enterprises | Docket No. R-2019-3008212 | Rate of Return |
| Steelton Borough Authority | 01/19 | Steelton Borough Authority | Docket No. A-2019-3006880 | Valuation |
| Mahoning Township, PA | 08/18 | Mahoning Township, PA | Docket No. A-2018-3003519 | Valuation |
| SUEZ Water Pennsylvania Inc. | 04/18 | SUEZ Water Pennsylvania Inc. | Docket No. R-2018-000834 | Rate of Return |


| Sponsor | Date | Case/Applicant | Docket No. | Subject |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columbia Water Company | 09/17 | Columbia Water Company | Docket No. R-2017-2598203 | Rate of Return |
| Veolia Energy Philadelphia, Inc. | 06/17 | Veolia Energy Philadelphia, Inc. | Docket No. R-2017-2593142 | Rate of Return |
| Emporium Water Company | 07/14 | Emporium Water Company | Docket No. R-2014-2402324 | Rate of Return |
| Columbia Water Company | 07/13 | Columbia Water Company | Docket No. R-2013-2360798 | Rate of Return |
| Penn Estates Utilities, Inc. | 12/11 | Penn Estates, Utilities, Inc. | Docket No. R-2011-2255159 | Capital Structure / Long-Term Debt Cost Rate |
| South Carolina Public Service Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Granite Water Co. | 12/19 | Blue Granite Water Company | Docket No. 2019-292-WS | Rate of Return |
| Carolina Water Service, Inc. | 02/18 | Carolina Water Service, Inc. | Docket No. 2017-292-WS | Rate of Return |
| Carolina Water Service, Inc. | 06/15 | Carolina Water Service, Inc. | Docket No. 2015-199-WS | Rate of Return |
| Carolina Water Service, Inc. | 11/13 | Carolina Water Service, Inc. | Docket No. 2013-275-WS | Rate of Return |
| United Utility Companies, Inc. | 09/13 | United Utility Companies, Inc. | Docket No. 2013-199-WS | Rate of Return |
| Utility Services of South Carolina, Inc. | 09/13 | Utility Services of South Carolina, Inc. | Docket No. 2013-201-WS | Rate of Return |
| Tega Cay Water Services, Inc. | 11/12 | Tega Cay Water Services, Inc. | Docket No. 2012-177-WS | Capital Structure |
| South Dakota Public Service Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Northern States Power Company | 06/22 | Northern States Power Company | Docket No. EL22-017 | Rate of Return |
| Tennessee Public Utility Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Piedmont Natural Gas Company | 07/20 | Piedmont Natural Gas Company | Docket No. 20-00086 | Return on Equity |
| Public Utility Commission of Texas |  |  |  |  |
| Southwestern Public Service Co. | 02/23 | Southwestern Public Service Co. | Docket No. 54634 | Return on Equity |
| CSWR - Texas Utility Operating Company, LLC | 02/23 | CSWR - Texas Utility Operating Company, LLC | Docket No. 54565 | Rate of Return |
| Oncor Electric Delivery Co. LLC | 05/22 | Oncor Electric Delivery Co. LLC | Docket No. 53601 | Return on Equity |
| Southwestern Public Service Co. | 02/21 | Southwestern Public Service Co. | Docket No. 51802 | Return on Equity |
| Southwestern Electric Power Co. | 10/20 | Southwestern Electric Power Co. | Docket No. 51415 | Rate of Return |
| Texas Railroad Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Atmos Pipeline - Texas, a Division of Atmos Energy Corporation | 05/23 | Atmos Pipeline - Texas, a Division of Atmos Energy Corporation | Docket No. OS-23-00013758 | Return on Equity |
| Virginia State Corporation Commission |  |  |  |  |
| Washington Gas Light Company | 06/22 | Washington Gas Light Company | PUR-2022-00054 | Return on Equity |
| Virginia Natural Gas, Inc. | 04/21 | Virginia Natural Gas, Inc. | PUR-2020-00095 | Return on Equity |
| Massanutten Public Service Corporation | 12/20 | Massanutten Public Service Corporation | PUE-2020-00039 | Return on Equity |
| Aqua Virginia, Inc. | 07/20 | Aqua Virginia, Inc. | PUR-2020-00106 | Rate of Return |
| WGL Holdings, Inc. | 07/18 | Washington Gas Light Company | PUR-2018-00080 | Rate of Return |
| Atmos Energy Corporation | 05/18 | Atmos Energy Corporation | PUR-2018-00014 | Rate of Return |
| Aqua Virginia, Inc. | 07/17 | Aqua Virginia, Inc. | PUR-2017-00082 | Rate of Return |
| Massanutten Public Service Corp. | 08/14 | Massanutten Public Service Corp. | PUE-2014-00035 | Rate of Return / Rate Design |
| Public Service Commission of West Virginia |  |  |  |  |
| Monongahela Power Company and The Potomac Edison Company | 05/23 | Monongahela Power Company and The Potomac Edison Company | Case No. 23-0460-E-42T | Return on Equity |
| Monongahela Power Company and The Potomac Edison Company | 12/21 | Monongahela Power Company and The Potomac Edison Company | Case No. 21-0857-E-CN (ELG) | Return on Equity |
| Monongahela Power Company and The Potomac Edison Company | 11/21 | Monongahela Power Company and The Potomac Edison Company | Case No. 21-0813-E-P (Solar) | Return on Equity |

Resume and Testimony Listing of: Dylan W. D'Ascendis, CRRA, CVA

## Southwest Gas Corporation

Recommended Capital Structure and Cost Rates
for Ratemaking Purposes

Southern Nevada Rate Jurisdiction

| Type Of Capital | Ratios (1) | Cost Rate |  | Weighted Cost Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-Term Debt | 50.00\% | 4.53\% | (1) | 2.27\% |
| Common Equity | 50.00\% | 10.00\% | (2) | 5.00\% |
| Total | 100.00\% |  |  | 7.27\% |

Northern Nevada Rate Jurisdiction

| Type Of Capital | Ratios (1) | Cost Rate |  | Weighted Cost Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-Term Debt | 50.00\% | 4.55\% | (1) | 2.27\% |
| Common Equity | 50.00\% | 10.00\% | (2) | 5.00\% |
| Total | 100.00\% |  |  | 7.27\% |

Notes:
(1) See page 1 of Statement $F$ for the respective rate jurisdictions.
(2) The $10.00 \%$ requested ROE by the Company is discussed in the Direct Testimony of Amy L. Timperley.

## Southwest Gas Corporation <br> Brief Summary of Common Equity Cost Rate

| Line No. | Principal Methods | Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Discounted Cash Flow Model (DCF) (1) | 9.65\% |
| 2. | Risk Premium Model (RPM) (2) | 10.85\% |
| 3. | Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) (3) | 11.69\% |
| 4. | Market Models Applied to Comparable Risk, Non-Price Regulated Companies (4) | 12.15\% |
| 5. | Indicated Range of Common Equity Cost Rates before Adjustment for Company-Specific Risk | 9.65\%-12.15\% |
| 6. | Business Risk Adjustment (5) | 0.10\% |
| 7. | Credit Risk Adjustment (6) | 0.23\% |
| 8. | Flotation Cost Adjustment (7) | 0.10\% |
| 9. | Indicated Range of Common Equity Cost Rates after Adjustment | 10.08\%-12.58\% |

Notes: (1) From page 1 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-4).
(2) From page 1 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-5).
(3) From page 1 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-6).
(4) From page 1 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-8).
(5) Adjustment to reflect the Company's greater business risk relative to the Utility Proxy Group as detailed in Mr. D'Ascendis' direct testimony.
(6) Company-specific risk adjustment to reflect Southwest Gas' greater risk due to a lower long-term issuer rating relative to the proxy group as detailed in Mr. D'Ascendis' direct testimony.
(7) From page 1 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-10).

## Southwest Gas Corporation

Range of Capital Structures for the Past Five Quarters for the Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies

## Common Equity Ratio

| Company | 2023Q1 | 2022Q4 | 2022Q3 | 2022Q2 | 2022Q1 | FY 2022 | $5 Q$ average ending Q1 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atmos Energy Corporation | 70.04\% | 59.97\% | 61.03\% | 61.24\% | 60.50\% | 61.03\% | 62.56\% |
| New Jersey Resources Corporation | 39.95\% | 36.98\% | 37.59\% | 37.55\% | 40.09\% | 37.59\% | 38.43\% |
| NiSource Inc. | 31.46\% | 30.25\% | 29.62\% | 31.17\% | 31.78\% | 29.62\% | 30.86\% |
| Northwest Natural Holding Company | 43.71\% | 42.43\% | 43.12\% | 47.30\% | 41.77\% | 43.12\% | 43.66\% |
| ONE Gas, Inc. | 69.95\% | 64.66\% | 56.75\% | 51.79\% | 51.57\% | 56.75\% | 58.94\% |
| Spire Inc. | 36.39\% | 34.73\% | 36.07\% | 38.12\% | 38.66\% | 36.07\% | 36.80\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | imum <br> ximum | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29.62\% } \\ & \text { 61.03\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.86 \% \\ & 62.56 \% \end{aligned}$ |

Total Debt Ratio

| Company | 2023Q1 | 2022Q4 | 2022Q3 | 2022Q2 | 2022Q1 | FY 2022 | 5Q average ending Q1 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atmos Energy Corporation | 29.96\% | 40.03\% | 38.97\% | 38.76\% | 39.50\% | 38.97\% | 37.44\% |
| New Jersey Resources Corporation | 60.05\% | 63.02\% | 62.41\% | 62.45\% | 59.91\% | 62.41\% | 61.57\% |
| NiSource Inc. | 60.60\% | 60.58\% | 60.76\% | 58.77\% | 57.92\% | 60.76\% | 59.72\% |
| Northwest Natural Holding Company | 56.29\% | 57.57\% | 56.88\% | 52.70\% | 58.23\% | 56.88\% | 56.34\% |
| ONE Gas, Inc. | 30.05\% | 35.34\% | 43.25\% | 48.21\% | 48.43\% | 43.25\% | 41.06\% |
| Spire Inc. | 60.40\% | 62.06\% | 60.54\% | 58.33\% | 57.74\% | 60.54\% | 59.81\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | imum <br> ximum | $\begin{aligned} & 38.97 \% \\ & 62.41 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37.44 \% \\ & 61.57 \% \end{aligned}$ |

Source: S\&P Global Market Intelligence; S\&P Capital IQ; Company Filings

## Southwest Gas Corporation

Range of Capital Structures for the Past Five Quarters for the Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies at the Operating Company Level

Common Equity Ratio

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Company | 2023Q1 | 2022Q4 | 2022Q3 | 2022Q2 | 2022Q1 | FY 2022 | 5Q average <br> ending Q1 <br> 2023 |
| Atmos Energy Corporation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Jersey Natural Gas Company | $50.89 \%$ | $52.91 \%$ | $60.66 \%$ | $61.24 \%$ | $60.50 \%$ | $60.66 \%$ | $59.24 \%$ |
| NiSource Inc. | $54.56 \%$ | $51.00 \%$ | $53.10 \%$ | $54.09 \%$ | $55.98 \%$ | $53.10 \%$ | $53.75 \%$ |
| Northwest Natural Gas Company | $31.46 \%$ | $30.25 \%$ | $29.62 \%$ | $31.17 \%$ | $31.78 \%$ | $29.62 \%$ | $30.86 \%$ |
| ONE Gas, Inc. | $43.71 \%$ | $42.43 \%$ | $43.12 \%$ | $47.30 \%$ | $41.77 \%$ | $43.12 \%$ | $43.66 \%$ |
| Spire Alabama Inc. | $46.76 \%$ | $43.98 \%$ | $49.31 \%$ | $52.34 \%$ | $52.12 \%$ | $49.31 \%$ | $48.90 \%$ |
| Spire Missouri Inc. | $51.18 \%$ | $49.45 \%$ | $51.26 \%$ | $54.00 \%$ | $55.39 \%$ | $51.26 \%$ | $52.26 \%$ |
|  | $44.90 \%$ | $43.79 \%$ | $45.43 \%$ | $47.76 \%$ | $47.59 \%$ | $45.43 \%$ | $45.89 \%$ |

## Total Debt Ratio

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5Q average |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ending Q1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: S\&P Global Market Intelligence; S\&P Capital IQ; Company Filings

## SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION <br> SOUTHERN NEVADA <br> VARIABLE INTEREST EXPENSE RECOVERY MECHANISM WEIGHTED AVERAGE VARIABLE INTEREST RATE ("AVIR") CALCULATION

| LineNo. Description |  |  | Line |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | No. |
|  | (a) | (b) |  |

AVIR(new) - Certification Period Ended November 30, 2023

4 Average Effective Cost Variable Interest IDRBs[3] $4.6400 \% \quad 4$

2 Requested Rate Base[2]
$5 \quad$ Average Variable Interest Rate(new)
Average Net Proceeds Variable Interest IDRBs[1]
\$ 145,688,767
1
\$ 1,751,758,348 2
Percent Variable Interest IDRBs $\quad 8.3167 \% \quad 3$
0.3859\%

5

AVIR = Net Proceeds Variable Rate IDRB Debt / Rate Base X Effective Cost
Variable Rate IDRB Debt
[1] From page 2 of this Exhibit, Ln 14, Col (d)
[2] Rate Base for Southern Nevada at end of certification period, November 30, 2023.
[3] From page 2 of this Exhibit, Ln 14, Col (e)
Annual Authorized
6,764,919.00
Monthly Authorized
563,743.25

| Line <br> No. | Year | Month |  | Monthly Interest[1] |  | Net Proceeds[2] | Effective Rate[3] |  | Rate Base | AVIR[4] | Line <br> No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) | (b) |  | (c) |  | (d) | (e) |  | (f) | (g) |  |
| 1 | 2022 | December | \$ | 535,203 | \$ | 145,485,388 | 4.41\% | \$ | 1,751,758,348 | 0.3666\% | 1 |
| 2 | 2023 | January |  | 464,377 | \$ | 145,525,635 | 3.83\% | \$ | 1,751,758,348 | 0.3181\% | 2 |
| 3 | 2023 | February |  | 522,213 | \$ | 145,565,883 | 4.30\% | \$ | 1,751,758,348 | 0.3577\% | 3 |
| 4 | 2023 | March |  | 546,459 | \$ | 145,606,131 | 4.50\% | \$ | 1,751,758,348 | 0.3743\% | 4 |
| 5 | 2023 | April |  | 522,592 | \$ | 145,646,379 | 4.31\% | \$ | 1,751,758,348 | 0.3580\% | 5 |
| 6 | 2023 | May |  | 578,938 | \$ | 145,686,627 | 4.77\% | \$ | 1,751,758,348 | 0.3966\% | 6 |
| 7 | 2023 | June |  | 588,523 | \$ | 145,683,715 | 4.85\% | \$ | 1,751,758,348 | 0.4032\% | 7 |
| 8 | 2023 | July |  | 567,866 | \$ | 145,726,840 | 4.68\% | \$ | 1,751,758,348 | 0.3890\% | 8 |
| 9 | 2023 | August |  | 603,050 | \$ | 145,769,965 | 4.96\% | \$ | 1,751,758,348 | 0.4131\% | 9 |
| 10 | 2023 | September |  | 584,988 | \$ | 145,813,090 | 4.81\% | \$ | 1,751,758,348 | 0.4007\% | 10 |
| 11 | 2023 | October |  | 634,899 | \$ | 145,856,215 | 5.22\% | \$ | 1,751,758,348 | 0.4349\% | 11 |
| 12 | 2023 | November |  | 615,810 | \$ | 145,899,341 | 5.06\% | \$ | 1,751,758,348 | 0.4218\% | 12 |
| 13 | 12-Month |  | \$ | 6,764,919 |  |  |  | \$ | 1,751,758,348 | 0.3859\% | 13 |
| 14 | 12-Month | age |  |  | \$ | 145,688,767 | 4.64\% |  |  |  | 14 |
| 1] Certification Workpaper No. 1, Sheet 5 of 10, Col (o) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [2] Certification Workpaper No. 1, Sheet 7 of 10, Col (I) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| [3] Effective Rate = ( Column (c) X 12 ) / Column (d) = (Monthly Interest X 12 ) / Net Proceeds. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |









| CURRENT POSITION (SMILLL.) |  |  | 2021 | 2022 | 3/31/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 16.7 | 51.6 | 95.2 |
| Cash Assets |  | Other | 22.0 | 996.1 | 977.9 |
| Current Assets |  |  | 338.7 | 047.7 | 1073.1 |
| Accts Payable |  |  | 423.2 | 496.0 | 365.0 |
| Debt Due |  |  | 00.5 | 386.4 | 1.5 |
|  |  |  | 86.7 | 720.2 | 746.5 |
| Current Liab. |  |  | 10.4 | 602.6 | 1113.0 |
| Fix. Chg. Cov. |  |  | 57\% 12 | 238\% | 1245\% |
| ANNUAL RATES |  | S Past |  | Past Est'd '20-'22 |  |
|  |  | 10 Yrs. |  | 5 Yrs. | '26-28 |
| of change (per sh)Revenues |  | -5.5 |  | -4.5\% | 11.5\% |
| Revenues |  | 6.5 |  | 7.0\% | 6.5\% |
| Earnings |  | 9.0 |  | 9.0\% | 7.0\% |
| DividendsBook Valu |  | 6.5 |  | $\begin{array}{r} 8.5 \% \\ 12.0 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.5 \% \\ & 5.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 9.0 |  |  |  |
| Fiscal Year Ends | QUARTERLY REVENUES (\$ mill.) ${ }^{\text {A }}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Full } \\ \text { Fiscal } \\ \text { Year } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Dec. 31 | Mar. 31 | Jun. 30 | Sep. 30 |  |
| 2020 | 875.6 | 977.6 | 493.0 | 474.9 | 2821.1 |
| 2021 | 914.5 | 1319.1 | 605.6 | 568.3 | 3407.5 |
| 2022 | 1012.8 | 1649.8 | 816.4 | 722.7 | 4201.7 |
| 2023 | 1484.0 | 1541.0 | 930 | 845 | 4800 |
| 2024 | 1675 | 1860 | 1065 | 1000 | 5600 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fiscal } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \text { Ends } \end{aligned}$ | EARNINGS PER SHARE A B E |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Full } \\ \text { Fiscal } \\ \text { Year } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\text { Dec. } 31$ | $\text { Mar. } 31$ | Jun. 30 | Sep. 30 |  |
| 2020 | 1.47 | 1.95 | . 79 | . 53 | 4.72 |
| 2021 | 1.71 | 2.30 | . 78 | . 37 | 5.12 |
| 2022 | 1.86 | 2.37 | . 92 | . 51 | 5.60 |
| 2023 | 1.91 | 2.48 | 1.03 | . 58 | 6.00 |
| 2024 | 2.12 | 2.53 | 1.11 | . 64 | 6.40 |
| Calendar | QUARTERLY DIVIDENDS PAID ${ }^{\text {■ }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mar. 31 | Jun. 30 | Sep. 30 | Dec. 31 | Year |
| 2019 | . 525 | . 525 | . 525 | . 575 | 2.15 |
| 2020 | . 575 | . 575 | . 575 | . 625 | 2.35 |
| 2021 | . 625 | . 625 | . 625 | . 68 | 2.56 |
| 2022 | . 68 | 68 | 68 | . 74 | 2.78 |
| 2023 | 74 | 74 |  |  |  |

BUSINESS: Atmos Energy Corporation is engaged primarily in the
distribution and sale of natural gas to over three million customers through six regulated natural gas utility operations: Louisiana Division, West Texas Division, Mid-Tex Division, Mississippi Division, Colorado-Kansas Division, and Kentucky/Mid-States Division. Gas sales breakdown for fiscal 2022: $63.7 \%$, residential; $28.8 \%$, com-
Earnings for Atmos Energy showed some improvement through the first half of fiscal 2023 (ended March 31st). Share net of $\$ 4.39$ was nearly $4 \%$ higher than last year's $\$ 4.23$ tally. This was brought about partly by the distribution unit, helped largely by higher rates, especially in the Mid-Tex division. Furthermore, the performance of the pipeline and storage business benefited nicely from a rise in revenue from a Gas Reliability Infrastructure Program filing approved in fiscal 2022. Operating expenses did increase significantly during the period, but that's to be expected as the company expands. So, it seems that full-year profits will advance around $7 \%$, to $\$ 6.00$ a share, versus fiscal 2022's $\$ 5.60$ total. Concerning next year, share net may grow at a similar percentage rate, to $\$ 6.40$, assuming that operating margins widen further.
Corporate finances are in strong condition. When the second quarter concluded, cash and equivalents resided at $\$ 95.2$ million. Moreover, long-term debt was quite manageable (almost $40 \%$ of total capital) and short-term borrowings were just $\$ 1.5$ million. Too, $\$ 4$ billion in com-
mercial; $5.8 \%$, industrial; and $1.7 \%$ other. The company sold Atmos Energy Marketing, 1/17. Officers and directors own approximately $.5 \%$ of common stock (12/22 Proxy). President and Chief Executive Officer: Kevin Akers. Incorporated: Texas. Address: Three Lincoln Centre, Suite 1800, 5430 LBJ Freeway, Dallas, Texas 75240. Telephone: 972-934-9227. Internet: www.atmosenergy.com.
mon stock and/or debt securities remained available for issuance (out of $\$ 5$ billion) under a shelf registration statement expiring in March, 2026. Lastly, Atmos can access four revolving credit facilities aggregating $\$ 2.5$ billion plus a $\$ 1.5$ billion commercial paper program. All told, there's sufficient liquidity to satisfy various obligations for quite a while.
We believe good things are in store for the company over the 2026-2028 span. It ranks as one of the nation's biggest natural gas-only distributors, with more than three million customers across several states, including Texas, Louisiana, and Mississippi. Also, the pipeline and storage segment appears to have promising overall expansion opportunities, given that it operates in one of the most-active drilling regions in the world. The sound balance sheet is another plus.
The high-quality stock holds unspectacular long-term total return potential. Capital gains possibilities are unenticing. Also, the dividend yield is below the average of Value Line's Natural Gas Utility Industry group.
Frederick L. Harris, III
May 26, 2023

[^27] ued operations: '11, 10¢; '12, 27¢; '13, 14¢; | Direct stock purchase plan avail.
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| Other | 629.6 | 755.0 | 588.9 | BUSINESS: New Jersey Resources Corp. is a holding company |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 634.3 | 756.1 | 616.0 |  |  |


| Current Assets | 634.3 | 756.1 | 1616.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Accts Payable | 429.6 | 156.6 | 6121.8 |
| Debt Due | 450.1 | 499.1 | 1339.8 |
| Other | 171.7 | 448.5 | $5 \quad 249.9$ |
| Current Liab. | 1051.4 | 1104.2 | 2711.5 |
| Fix. Chg. Cov. | 545\% | 545\% | \% 650\% |
| ANNUAL RATES | Past | Past Est | Est'd '20-'22 |
| of change (per sh) | 10 Yrs. | 5 Yrs. | to '26-28 |
| Revenues | -3.0\% | -6.0\% | 2.5\% |
| "Cash Flow" | 7.0\% | 4.5\% | 5.0\% |
| Earnings | 5.0\% | 2.5\% | 5.0\% |
| Dividends | 6.5\% | 6.5\% | 5.0\% |
| Book Value | 7.5\% | 7.0\% | 4.5\% |

Fiscal $\quad$ QUARTERLY REVENUES (\$ mill.) A $\begin{gathered}\text { Full } \\ \text { Fiscal }\end{gathered}$ | Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ends | Dec. 31 Mar. 31 Jun. 30 Sep. \(30 \begin{gathered}Fiscal <br>

Year\end{gathered}\) | 2020 | 615.0 | 639.6 | 299.0 | 400.1 | 1953.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2021 | 454.3 | 802.2 | 367.6 | 532.5 | 2156.6 | | 2022 | 675.8 | 912.3 | 552.3 | 765.5 | 2906.0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2023 | 723.6 | 644.0 | 550 | 682.4 | 2600 |
| 2024 | 725 | 875 | 550 | 700 | 2850 |


| Fiscal Year Ends | EARNINGS PER SHARE A b |  |  |  | Full Fiscal Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dec. 31 | Mar. 31 | Jun. 30 | Sep. 30 |  |
| 2020 | . 44 | 1.12 | d. 06 | . 57 | 2.07 |
| 2021 | . 46 | 1.77 | d. 15 | . 07 | 2.16 |
| 2022 | . 69 | 1.36 | d. 04 | . 50 | 2.50 |
| 2023 | 1.14 | 1.16 | d. 05 | . 45 | 2.70 |
| 2024 | 1.00 | 1.25 | . 05 | . 50 | 2.80 |
| Calendar | QUART <br> Mar. 31 | ERLY DIV Jun. 30 | DENDS PA | $\text { Dec. } 31$ | Full Year |
| 2019 | . 2925 | . 2925 | . 2925 | . 3125 | 1.19 |
| 2020 | . 3125 | . 3125 | . 3125 | . 3325 | 1.27 |
| 2021 | . 3325 | . 3325 | . 3325 | . 3625 | 1.36 |
| 2022 | . 3625 | . 3625 | . 3625 | . 3625 | 1.45 |
| 2023 | . 39 | . 39 |  |  |  |

(A) Fiscal year ends Sept. 30th.
(B) Diluted earnings. Qtly. revenues and egs. may not sum to total due to rounding and change in shares outstanding. Next earnings
() 2023 Value Line, Inc. All rights reserved. Factual material is obtained from sources believed to be reliable and is provided without warranties of any kind. of it may be reproduced, resold, stored or transmitted in any printed, electronic or other form, or used for generating or marketing any printed or electronic publication, service or product providing retail/wholesale energy svcs. to customers in NJ, and in states from the Gulf Coast to New England, and Canada. New Jersey Natural Gas had 569,300 cust. at $9 / 30 / 22$. Fiscal 2022 volume: 144 bill. cu. ft. ( $23 \%$ interruptible, $47 \%$ residential, commercial \& firm transportation, $30 \%$ other). N.J. Natural Energy subsidiary pro-
New Jersey Resources reported slight weakness in its fiscal second quarter. Historically warm weather conditions in the company's operating region during the March period, along with a significant reduction in the price of natural gas, resulted in a sharp decline in revenues. Despite the top line falling $40 \%$ below our estimate for the quarter, the company's net financial earnings per share (NFEPS) held its ground reasonably well. The quarter's profits per share of $\$ 1.16$ ended just four cents lower than our estimate, signaling a strong showing in terms of margin resiliency, thanks in large part to the cost pass-through mechanism of the regulated utilities business. However, March-period earnings have declined for the second consecutive year running. In the quarter, each operating segment declined from the year-ago period, but on a fiscal year-to-date basis, the comparable profit figure is much more positive, showing double-digit growth, owing to a strong December period result.
We look for the company's earnings After a very strong first quarter, the rest

[^28]vides unregulated retail/wholesale natural gas and related energy svcs. 2021 dep. rate: $2.7 \%$. Has 1,288 empls. Off./dir. own less than 1\% of common; BlackRock, 14.0\%; Vanguard, 11.0\% (12/22 Proxy). CEO, President \& Director: Steven D. Westhoven. Incorporated: New Jersey. Address: 1415 Wyckoff Road, Wall, NJ 07719. Telephone: 732-938-1480. Web: www.njresources.com.
of fiscal 2023 should be in for challenging comparisons. We expect NFEPS to fall below the prior-year levels in each of the remaining two quarters. Still, full-year earnings should manage to eke out an improvement of about $8 \%$ to reach $\$ 2.70$, driven by strong customer growth trends and a diversified operating segmentation strategy that differentiates NJR from other highly-regulated pure-play utilities. In turn, we have left our fiscal 2024 earnings call unchanged at $\$ 2.80$.

## Long-term earnings growth potential

 is a bit uncertain at this juncture. We expect the growth of the Clean Energy Ventures (CEV) segment to be a harbinger of the company's future earnings potential. New Jersey Resources has the opportunity through exclusive rights agreements to triple its clean energy portfolio. However, this notion is being challenged in Washington where debt-limit negotiations put at risk the clean energy incentives introduced in the Inflation Reduction Act.To wit, long-term total capital appreciation potential appears limited, regardless of CEV's political risk.

## Earl B. Humes



ANNUAL RATES Past Past Est'd '19,' 21 $\begin{array}{lll}\text { Past } & \text { Past } & \text { Est'd '19-'21 } \\ 10 \text { Yrs. } & 5 \text { Yrs. } & \text { to '26-'28 }\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}-5.0 \% & \\ 0.5 \%\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{rr}0.0 \% & -3.5 \% \\ 1.5 \% & 15.0 \% \\ -0.5 \% & 3.5 \%\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}-0.5 \% & 3.5 \% \\ -3.0 \% & 0.5 \%\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lll}1.5 \% & 15.0 \% & 5.5 \% \\ -3.0 \% & 0.5 \% & 4.5 \%\end{array}$

| Calendar | QUARTERLY REVENUES (\$ mill.) |  |  |  | Full Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mar. 31 | Jun. 30 | Sep. 30 | Dec. 31 |  |
| 2020 | 1605.5 | 962.7 | 902.5 | 1211.0 | 4681.7 |
| 2021 | 1545.6 | 986.0 | 959.4 | 1408.6 | 4899.6 |
| 2022 | 1873.3 | 1183.2 | 1089.5 | 1704.6 | 5850.6 |
| 2023 | 1966.0 | 1170 | 1120 | 1619 | 5875 |
| 2024 | 2100 | 1200 | 1150 | 1550 | 6000 |
| Calendar | EARNINGS PER SHARE A |  |  |  | Full Year |
| 2020 | . 76 | . 13 | . 09 | . 34 | 1.32 |
| 2021 | . 77 | . 13 | . 11 | . 39 | 1.37 |
| 2022 | . 75 | . 12 | . 10 | . 50 | 1.47 |
| 2023 | . 77 | . 15 | . 12 | . 51 | 1.55 |
| 2024 | . 82 | . 18 | . 15 | . 55 | 1.70 |
| Cal- | QUARTERLY DIVIDENDS PAID ${ }^{\text {B }}$ |  |  |  | Full |
| endar | Mar. 31 | Jun. 30 | Sep. 30 | Dec. 31 | Year |
| 2019 | . 200 | . 200 | . 200 | . 200 | . 80 |
| 2020 | . 21 | . 21 | . 21 | . 21 | . 84 |
| 2021 | . 22 | . 22 | . 22 | . 22 | . 88 |
| 2022 | . 235 | . 235 | . 235 | . 235 | . 94 |
| 2023 | . 25 | . 25 |  |  |  |

BUSINESS: NiSource Inc. is a holding company for Northern Indiana Public Service Company (NIPSCO), which supplies electricity and gas to the northern third of Indiana. Customers: 479,185 electric in Indiana, 3,200,000 gas in Indiana, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Kentucky, Virginia, Maryland, through its Columbia subsidiaries. Revenue breakdown, 2022: electrical, $31 \%$; gas, $69 \%$; other, less than
NiSource stock gained in the three months since our February review. The shares are up a modest $3.3 \%$, compared to a slight decline in the S\&P 500 Utility Sector index. In that time, the company reported its financial results for both 2022 full year and fourth quarter, and it's 2023 first quarter. In the fourth quarter revenues exceeded our forecast by a significant margin, and the full-year top-line result landed $\$ 951$ million above the year prior. Earnings per share, however, stayed on target, and in strong form advanced just over $7 \%$ in 2022. In the first quarter, our top-line target was reached, while earnings per share of $\$ 0.77$ fell a bit below our expectation, but still increased $2.7 \%$ from the year prior.
Our full-year 2023 and 2024 outlook provides for decent earnings growth. We look for an $8 \%-10 \%$ rate base average annual growth rate over the next five years to drive performance on the bottom line. Earnings growth should be at a slightly lower level at about $5.5 \%$ in 2023 , following the earnings miss in the first quarter and a likely economic slowdown ahead due to broad inflation and increased
$1 \%$. Generating sources, coal, 69.4\%; purchased \& other, $30.6 \%$. 2022 reported depreciation rates: $3.1 \%$ electric, $2.3 \%$ gas. Has 7,304 employees. Chairman: Richard L. Thompson. President \& Chief Executive Officer: Lloyd Yates. Incorporated: Indiana. Address: 801 East 86th Avenue, Merrillville, Indiana 46410. Telephone: 877-647-5990. Internet: www.nisource.com.
interest rates. Following that, 2024 earnings will likely return to a high growth rate of nearly $10 \%$ on anticipated ratebase increases. Over the three- to five-year horizon, returns on planned clean energy projects and investments in sustainable infrastructure, along with continued regulatory support, should allow for expected annual earnings growth of around $8.5 \%$ thereafter.
The equity's upside is not without risk. Chief among them, climate change has the potential to cause significant disruption to the company's operations. While there is a potential advantage in volatile temperatures leading to increased energy demand, the risk to established equipment and plant assets is also heightened here. Intensified flooding, windstorms and heatwaves all pose threats to NiSource's infrastructure investments.
These shares do not stand out to us at this juncture. Taking into account the equity's risk premium, with the context of heightened yields on bonds, conservative accounts can likely find a better long-term investment opportunity elsewhere.
Earl B. Humes
May 26, 2023
(A) Dil. EPS. Excl. gains (losses) on disc. ops
'07, 36 ;' 08 , ( $\$ 1.14$ ); '15, (30¢); '18, ( $\$ 1.48$ ). Next egs. report due early August. Qtl'y egs. may not sum to total due to rounding.
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| CURRENT POSITION (SMILL.) |  |  | 2021 | 2022 | 3/31/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash Assets |  |  |  | 9.7 | . 8 |
| Other 2 |  |  | 215.7 | 207.9 | 780.7 |
| Current Assets 2 |  |  | 224.6 | 217.6 | 788.5 |
| Accts Payable |  |  | 258.6 | 360.5 | 197.6 |
| Debt Due |  |  | 494.0 | 572.7 | 1087.2 |
| Other |  |  | 227.9 | 256.2 | 257.5 |
| Current Liab. |  |  | 980.51 | 189.4 | 1542.3 |
| Fix. Chg. Cov. |  |  | 625\% | 540\% | 550\% |
| ANNUAL RATES |  |  | Past Est'd '20-'22 |  |  |
| of change (per sh) |  | 10 Yrs. |  |  | '26 |
| Revenues |  |  |  | \% | 11.5\% |
| "Cash Flow |  |  | 7.5 | \% | 8.0\% |
|  |  |  |  | \% | 6.5\% |
| Dividends |  |  | 10. | \% | 5.5\% |
| Book | Value |  |  |  | 6.5\% |
| Calendar | QUARTERLY REVENUES (\$ mill.) |  |  |  | Full <br> Year |
|  | Mar. 31 | Jun. 30 | Sep. 30 | Dec. 31 |  |
| 2020 | 528.2 | 273.3 | 244.6 | 484.2 | 1530.3 |
| 2021 | 625.3 | 315.6 | 273.9 | 593.8 | 1808.6 |
| 2022 | 971.5 | 428.9 | 359.4 | 818.2 | 2578.0 |
| 2023 | 1032.1 | 470 | 376 | 811.9 | 2690 |
| 2024 | 1075 | 515 | 420 | 840 | 2850 |
| Calendar | EARNINGS PER SHARE A |  |  |  | Full Year |
|  | Mar. 31 | Jun. 30 | Sep. 30 | Dec. 31 |  |
| 2020 | 1.72 | . 48 | . 39 | 1.09 | 3.68 |
| 2021 | 1.79 | . 56 | . 38 | 1.12 | 3.85 |
| 2022 | 1.83 | . 59 | . 44 | 1.23 | 4.08 |
| 2023 | 1.84 | . 64 | . 50 | 1.22 | 4.20 |
| 2024 | 1.89 | . 68 | . 57 | 1.26 | 4.40 |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Cal- } \\ \text { endar } \end{array}$ | QUARTERLY DIVIDENDS PAID ${ }^{\text {B }}$ |  |  |  | Ful |
|  | Mar. 31 | Jun. 30 | Sep. 30 | Dec. 31 | Year |
| 2019 | . 50 | . 50 | . 50 | . 50 | 2.00 |
| 2020 | . 54 | . 54 | . 54 | . 54 | 2.16 |
| 2021 | . 58 | . 58 | . 58 | . 58 | 2.32 |
| 2022 | 62 | . 62 | . 62 | . 62 | 2.48 |
| 2023 | . 65 | . 65 |  |  |  |

BUSINESS: ONE Gas, Inc. provides natural gas distribution services to more than two million customers. There are three divisions: Oklahoma Natural Gas, Kansas Gas Service, and Texas Gas Service. The company purchased 165 Bcf of natural gas supply in 2022, compared to 164 Bcf in 2021. Total volumes delivered by customer (fiscal 2022): transportation, $57.3 \%$; residential, $31.2 \%$; commercial
ONE Gas, Inc. got off to an unspectacular start in 2023. First-quarter earnings per share of $\$ 1.84$ were just a penny above last year's $\$ 1.83$ figure. That's attributable partly to higher depreciation expense, reflecting additional assets being placed into service. Employeerelated costs and bad debt expense rose, as well. But the company was aided, to some degree, by benefits from new rates. A lower effective income tax rate plus a decrease in COVID-19-related costs also helped. So, at this juncture, it appears that full-year profits will grow at a $3 \%$ rate, to $\$ 4.20$ a share, relative to 2022 's $\$ 4.08$ tally. Regarding 2024, we expect share net to advance at a somewhat stronger $5 \%$ rate, to $\$ 4.40$, assuming further widening of operating margins.
The Financial Strength rating is solid, at $\mathbf{B + +}$. When the March period concluded, cash and equivalents were $\$ 7.8$ million and cash flows were decent. Moreover, ONE Gas had $\$ 720$ million available (out of $\$ 1$ billion) under a commercial paper program. The company also possesses a $\$ 1$ billion revolving credit facility maturing in March, 2028. Lastly, at the end of the first
\& industrial, $10.8 \%$; other, . $7 \%$. ONE Gas has around 3,600 employees. BlackRock owns $12.6 \%$ of common stock; The Vanguard Group, 11.5\%; State Street Corporation, 11.5\%; officers and directors, 1.5\% (4/23 Proxy). CEO: Robert S. McAnnally. Incorporated: Oklahoma. Address: 15 East Fifth Street, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103. Telephone: 918-947-7000. Internet: www.onegas.com.
quarter, long-term debt was a manageable $41 \%$ of total capital. All told, the energy firm should continue to be able to meet its working capital requirements, capital expenditures, and other commitments with little trouble.
It's important to mention that operations are concentrated in only three states. Moreover, it seems that leadership is content with maintaining the status quo, given that some businesses are in metropolitan areas, such as Austin, Texas; Wichita, Kansas; and Tulsa, Oklahoma. Nonetheless, this lack of geographic diversification leaves the company somewhat more vulnerable to regional economic downturns and regulations.
What about the stock? It offers worthwhile capital appreciation potential over the 2026-2028 horizon. Consider, too, the 2 (Above Average) Safety rank and high Price Stability score of 90 out of 100 . But the dividend yield does not stand out from the average yield in our Natural Gas Utility group. Meanwhile, OGS shares are pegged to just approximate the year-ahead market (Timeliness rank 3: Average).
Frederick L. Harris, III
May 26, 2023
© 2023 Value Line, Inc. All rights reserved. Factual material is obtained from sources believed to be reliable and is provided without warranties of any kind. © 2023 Value Line, Inc. All rights reserved. Factual material is obtained from sources believed to be reliable and is provided without warranties of any kind.
THE PUBLISHER IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY ERRORS OR OMISSIONS HEREIN. This publication is strictly for subscriber's own, non-commercial, internal use. No part of it may be reproduced, resold, stored or transmitted in any printed, electronic or other form, or used for generating or marketing any printed or electronic publication, service or product.


| $\quad$ (\$MILL.) |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cash Assets | 4.3 | 6.5 | 6.9 |
| Other | $\frac{1312.2}{1316.5}$ | $\frac{1585.5}{1592 .}$ | $\frac{1104.7}{1111.6}$ |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Current Assets } & \frac{1312.2}{1316.5} & \frac{1585.5}{1592.0} & \frac{1104.7}{1111.6}\end{array}$

| Accts Payable | 409.9 | 617.4 | 232.3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Debt Due | 727.8 | 1318.7 | 817.6 |
| Other | 470.6 | 417.5 | 357.0 |
| Current Liab. | 1608.3 | 2353.6 | 1406.9 |
| Fix. Chg. Cov. | $448 \%$ |  | $393 \%$ |
| ANNUAL RATES | Past | Past | Est'd '20-'22 |
| of change (per sh) | 10 Yrs. | 5 Yrs. | to '26-'28 |
| Revenues | $-5.0 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| "Cash Flow" | $5.5 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |
| Earnings | $2.5 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ |
| Dividends | $5.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| Book Value | $6.5 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $6.5 \%$ |

Fiscal QUARTERLY REVENUES (\$ mill)A

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Year } \\ \text { Ends }\end{array}$ | Dec.31 | Mar.31 | Jun. 30 | Sep. 30 | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Fiscal } \\ \text { Year }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2020 | 566.9 | 715.5 | 321.1 | 251.9 | 1855.4 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2021 | 512.6 | 1104.9 | 327.8 | 290.2 | 2235.5 | | 2022 | 555.4 | 880.9 | 448.0 | 314.2 | 2198.5 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| 2023 | 814.0 | 1123.4 | 447.6 | 335 | 2720 |


| 2024 | 660 | 1070 | 430 | 340 | 2500 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Fiscal | EARNINGS PER SHARE A B F | Full |  |  |  |


| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Fiscal } \\ \text { Year } \\ \text { Ends }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { EARNINGS PER SHARE A b F } \\ \text { Dec. } 31\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Full } \\ \text { Fiscar. } 31\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 2020 | 1.24 | 2.54 | d 1.87 | d.45 | 1.44 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021 | 1.65 | 3.55 | .03 | d. 26 | 4.96 |
| 2022 | 1.01 | 3.27 | d.10 | d. 20 | 3.95 |
| 2023 | 1.66 | 3.33 | d.12 | d.22 | 4.65 |
| 2024 | 1.30 | 3.45 | d.11 | d.24 | 4.40 |
| Cal- | QUARTERLY DIVIDENDS PAID C. |  |  |  | Full |
| endar | Mar.31 | Jun.30 | Sep.30 | Dec.31 | Year |
| 2019 | .5925 | .5925 | .5925 | .5925 | 2.37 |
| 2020 | .6225 | .6225 | .6225 | .6225 | 2.49 |
| 2021 | .65 | .65 | .65 | .65 | 2.60 |
| 2022 | .685 | .685 | .685 | .685 | 2.74 |

lated operations: residential, $73 \%$; commercial and industrial, $17 \%$; transportation, $6 \%$; other, $4 \%$. Officers and directors own $2.9 \%$ of common shares; American Century Companies, 14.9\% (12/22 proxy). Chairman: Edward Glotzbach; CEO: Suzanne Sitherwood. Inc.: Missouri. Address: 700 Market Street, St. Louis, Missouri 63101. Tel.: 314-342-0500. Internet: www.spireenergy.com.

Corporate finances are sound. When the March period ended, cash and equivalents stood at nearly $\$ 7$ million. Moreover, there was $\$ 1.3$ billion available via a revolving credit facility expiring in July, 2027. Too, long-term debt was a manageable $55 \%$ of total capital, and short-term obligations were not a major problem. All told, Spire ought to be able to satisfy its commitments for a while.
Prospects out to 2026-2028 seem decent. The gas utilities boast 1.7 million customers in Mississippi, Alabama, and Missouri. Too, the other businesses, particularly pipelines, hold promise. Additional expansionary projects and technological enhancements in customer service and elsewhere should help Spire, as well. Finally, acquisitions are plausible, given the adequate balance sheet.
These good-quality shares offer decent long-term total return potential. The dividend yield compares nicely to those of other equities in Value Line's Natural Gas Utility Industry. Moreover, 3- to 5 -year capital appreciation possibilities look worthwhile.
Frederick L. Harris, III
May 26, 2023

[^30]
## Southwest Gas Corporation

Summary of Risk Premium Models for the Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies

Proxy Group of Six<br>Natural Gas<br>Distribution<br>Companies

Predictive Risk Premium Model

| (PRPM) (1) | $10.74 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Risk Premium Using an |  |  |
| Adjusted Total Market |  |  |
| Approach (2) | Average | $10.95 \%$ |
|  |  | $10.85 \%$ |

Notes:
(1) From page 2 of this Exhibit.
(2) From page 3 of this Exhibit.

|  | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] | [5] | [6] | [4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies | LT Average Predicted Variance | Spot Predicted Variance | Recommended <br> Variance (2) | GARCH <br> Coefficient | Predicted Risk Premium (3) | Risk-Free Rate (4) | Indicated ROE (5) |
| Atmos Energy Corporation | 0.34\% | 0.34\% | 0.34\% | 2.2707 | 9.61\% | 3.85\% | 13.46\% |
| New Jersey Resources Corporation | 0.39\% | 0.38\% | 0.39\% | 2.1385 | 10.37\% | 3.85\% | 14.22\% |
| NiSource Inc. | 0.48\% | 0.33\% | 0.40\% | 0.8238 | 4.08\% | 3.85\% | 7.93\% |
| Northwest Natural Holding Company | 0.33\% | 0.40\% | 0.36\% | 1.4263 | 6.43\% | 3.85\% | 10.28\% |
| ONE Gas, Inc. | 0.37\% | 0.48\% | 0.42\% | 3.0780 | 16.85\% | 3.85\% | NMF |
| Spire Inc. | 0.70\% | 0.38\% | 0.54\% | 0.9416 | 6.26\% | 3.85\% | 10.11\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | 11.20\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Median | 10.28\% |
|  |  |  |  |  | Average of Me | and Median | 10.74\% |

NMF $=$ Not Meaningful Figure
The Predictive Risk Premium Model uses historical data to generate a predicted variance and a GARCH coefficient. The historical data used are the equity risk premiums for the first available trading month as reported by Bloomberg Professional Service.
Average of Column [1] and Column [2].
$\left(1+\left(\text { Column }[3]^{*} \text { Column [4]) }\right)^{-12}\right)-1$.
From note 2 on page 2 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-6). Column [5] + Column [6].


Southwest Gas Corporation<br>Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate<br>Through Use of a Risk Premium Model<br>Using an Adjusted Total Market Approach

Proxy Group of Six
Natural Gas
Distribution
Line No.
Companies

1. Prospective Yield on Aaa Rated Corporate Bonds (1) $4.75 \%$
2. Adjustment to Reflect Yield Spread

Between Aaa Rated Corporate
Bonds and A2 Rated Public
Utility Bonds (2)
0.69
3. Adjusted Prospective Yield on A2 Rated Public Utility Bonds
4. Equity Risk Premium (3)
5.51
5. Risk Premium Derived Common
Equity Cost Rate $\underline{\underline{10.95}} \%$

Notes: (1) Consensus forecast of Moody's Aaa Rated Corporate bonds from Blue Chip Financial Forecasts (see pages 10 and 11 of this Exhibit).
(2) The average yield spread of A2 rated public utility bonds over Aaa rated corporate bonds of $0.69 \%$ from page 4 of this Exhibit.
(3) From page 7 of this Exhibit.

# Southwest Gas Corporation 

Interest Rates and Bond Spreads for Moody's Corporate and Public Utility Bonds

Selected Bond Yields - Moody's
[1]
[2]
[3]

|  | Aaa Rated Corporate Bond | A2 Rated Public Utility Bond | Baa2 Rated Public Utility Bond |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jun-2023 | 4.65 \% | 5.38 \% | 5.73 \% |
| May-2023 | 4.67 | 5.36 | 5.71 |
| Apr-2023 | 4.47 | 5.13 | 5.47 |
| Average | 4.60 \% | 5.29 \% | 5.64 \% |

## Selected Bond Spreads

A2 Rated Public Utility Bonds Over Aaa Rated Corporate Bonds:

$$
0.69 \%(1)
$$

Baa2 Rated Public Utility Bonds Over A2 Rated Public Utility Bonds:

$$
0.35 \%(2)
$$

Notes:
(1) Column [2] - Column [1].
(2) Column [3] - Column [2].

Source of Information:
Bloomberg Professional Services

Southwest Gas Corporation
Comparison of Long-Term Issuer Ratings for Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies

| Moody's |  | Standard \& Poor's |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Long-Term Issuer Rating |  | Long-Term Issuer Rating |
| July 2023 | July 2023 |  |


| Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies | Long-Term Issuer Rating (1) | Numerical <br> Weighting (2) | Long-Term Issuer Rating (1) | Numerical Weighting (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Atmos Energy Corporation | A1 | 5.0 | A- | 7.0 |
| New Jersey Resources Corporation | A1 | 5.0 | NR | -- |
| NiSource Inc. | Baa1 | 8.0 | BBB+ | 8.0 |
| Northwest Natural Holding Company | Baa1 | 8.0 | A+ | 5.0 |
| ONE Gas, Inc. | A3 | 7.0 | A- | 7.0 |
| Spire Inc. | A1/A2 | 5.5 | A- | 7.0 |
| Average | A2 | 6.4 | A- | 6.8 |
| Southwest Gas Corporation | Baa1 | 8.0 | BBB | 9.0 |

Notes:
(1) Ratings are that of the average of each company's utility operating subsidiaries.
(2) From page 6 of this Exhibit.

Source Information: Moody's Investors Service
Standard \& Poor's Global Utilities Rating Service

| Numerical Assignment for Moody's and Standard \& Poor's Bond Ratings |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moody's Bond Rating | Numerical Bond Weighting | Standard \& Poor's Bond Rating |
| Ааa | 1 | AAA |
| Aa1 | 2 | AA+ |
| Aa2 | 3 | AA |
| Aa3 | 4 | AA- |
| A1 | 5 | A+ |
| A2 | 6 | A |
| A3 | 7 | A- |
| Baa1 | 8 | BBB+ |
| Baa2 | 9 | BBB |
| Baa3 | 10 | BBB- |
| Ba1 | 11 | BB+ |
| Ba2 | 12 | BB |
| Ba3 | 13 | BB- |
| B1 | 14 | B+ |
| B2 | 15 | B |
| B3 | 16 | B- |

## Southwest Gas Corporation

Judgment of Equity Risk Premium for Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies


Notes: (1) From page 8 of this Exhibit.
(2) From page 12 of this Exhibit.
(3) From page 13 of this Exhibit.

Southwest Gas Corporation
Derivation of Equity Risk Premium Based on the Total Market Approach
Using the Beta for the
Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies

| Line No. | Equity Risk Premium Measure | Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Kroll Equity Risk Premium (1) | 5.82 \% |
| 2. | Regression on Ibbotson Risk Premium Data (2) | 7.46 |
| 3. | Ibbotson Equity Risk Premium based on PRPM (3) | 8.70 |
| 4. | Equity Risk Premium Based on Value Line Summary and Index (4) | 10.56 |
| 5. | Equity Risk Premium Based on Value Line S\&P 500 Companies (5) | 9.39 |
| 6. | Equity Risk Premium Based on Bloomberg S\&P 500 Companies (6) | 11.29 |
| 7. | Conclusion of Equity Risk Premium | 8.87 \% |
| 8. | Adjusted Beta (7) | 0.77 |
| 9. | Forecasted Equity Risk Premium | 6.83 \% |

Notes provided on page 9 of this Exhibit.

## Southwest Gas Corporation <br> Derivation of Equity Risk Premium Based on the Total Market Approach <br> Using the Beta for the <br> Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies

Notes:
(1) Based on the arithmetic mean historical monthly returns on large company common stocks from Kroll 2022 SBBI® Yearbook minus the arithmetic mean monthly yield of Moody's average Aaa and Aa corporate bonds from 1928-2022.
(2) This equity risk premium is based on a regression of the monthly equity risk premiums of large company common stocks relative to Moody's average Aaa and Aa2 rated corporate bond yields from 1928-2022 referenced in Note 1 above. Using the equation generated from the regression, an expected equity risk premium is calculated using the average consensus forecast of Aaa corporate bonds of $4.75 \%$ (from page 3 of this Exhibit).
(3) The SBBI equity risk premium based on the PRPM is derived by applying the PRPM to the monthly risk premiums between Ibbotson large company common stock monthly returns and average Aaa and Aa corporate monthly bond yields, from January 1928 through June 2023.
(4) The equity risk premium based on the Value Line Summary and Index is derived by subtracting the average consensus forecast of Aaa corporate bonds of $4.75 \%$ (from page 3 of this Exhibit) from the projected 3-5 year total annual market return of $15.31 \%$ (described fully in note 1 on page 2 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-6)).
(5) Using data from Value Line for the S\&P 500, an expected total return of $14.14 \% \%$ was derived based upon expected dividend yields as a proxy for income returns and long-term earnings growth estimates as a proxy for capital appreciation. Subtracting the average consensus forecast of Aaa corporate bonds of $4.75 \%$ results in an expected equity risk premium of $9.39 \%$.
(6) Using data from the Bloomberg Professional Services for the S\&P 500, an expected total return of $16.04 \%$ was derived based upon expected dividend yields as a proxy for income returns and longterm earnings growth estimates as a proxy for capital appreciation. Subtracting the average consensus forecast of Aaa corporate bonds of $4.75 \%$ results in an expected equity risk premium of 11.29\%.
(7) Average of mean and median beta from Exhibit No.__(DWD-6).

Sources of Information:
Stocks, Bonds, Bills, and Inflation - 2023 SBBI Yearbook, Kroll Value Line Summary and Index
Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, June 1, 2023 and June 30, 2023
Bloomberg Professional Services

## Consensus Forecasts of U.S. Interest Rates and Key Assumptions

Interest Rates
Federal Funds Rate
Prime Rate
SOFR
Commercial Paper, 1-mo.
Treasury bill, 3-mo.
Treasury bill, 6-mo.
Treasury bill, 1 yr.
Treasury note, 2 yr .
Treasury note, 5 yr .
Treasury note, 10 yr .
Treasury note, 30 yr .
Corporate Aaa bond
Corporate Baa bond
State \& Local bonds
Home mortgage rate

## Key Assumptions

Fed's AFE \$ Index
Real GDP
GDP Price Index
Consumer Price Index
PCE Price Index

| -------Average For Week Ending------ |  |  |  | ----Average For Month--- Latest Qtr |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jun 23 | Jun 16 | Jun 9 | Jun 2 | May | Apr | Mar | 2Q 2023* |
| 5.08 | 5.08 | 5.08 | 5.08 | 5.06 | 4.83 | 4.65 | 4.98 |
| 8.25 | 8.25 | 8.25 | 8.25 | 8.23 | 8.00 | 7.82 | 8.15 |
| 5.05 | 5.05 | 5.05 | 5.07 | 5.02 | 4.81 | 4.64 | 4.96 |
| 5.09 | 5.09 | 5.12 | 5.08 | 5.06 | 4.82 | 4.74 | 4.98 |
| 5.40 | 5.36 | 5.41 | 5.52 | 5.31 | 5.07 | 4.86 | 5.26 |
| 5.41 | 5.36 | 5.42 | 5.48 | 5.27 | 4.99 | 4.99 | 5.21 |
| 5.26 | 5.23 | 5.16 | 5.18 | 4.91 | 4.68 | 4.68 | 4.92 |
| 4.71 | 4.66 | 4.53 | 4.42 | 4.13 | 4.02 | 4.30 | 4.23 |
| 3.98 | 3.97 | 3.88 | 3.77 | 3.59 | 3.54 | 3.82 | 3.67 |
| 3.75 | 3.78 | 3.73 | 3.66 | 3.57 | 3.46 | 3.66 | 3.58 |
| 3.84 | 3.88 | 3.90 | 3.87 | 3.86 | 3.68 | 3.77 | 3.80 |
| 4.91 | 4.97 | 4.99 | 4.99 | 4.95 | 4.76 | 4.92 | 4.89 |
| 5.59 | 5.66 | 5.70 | 5.69 | 5.66 | 5.44 | 5.61 | 5.59 |
| 4.21 | 4.24 | 4.25 | 4.30 | 4.21 | 4.07 | 4.23 | 4.18 |
| 6.67 | 6.69 | 6.71 | 6.79 | 6.43 | 6.34 | 6.54 | 6.49 |
|  |  |  | History |  |  |  |  |
| 3Q | 4Q | 1Q | 2Q | 3Q | 4Q | 1Q | 2Q |
| 2021 | $\underline{2021}$ | $\underline{2022}$ | $\underline{2022}$ | $\underline{2022}$ | $\underline{2022}$ | $\underline{2023}$ | 2023** |
| 104.9 | 106.9 | 108.3 | 113.5 | 118.8 | 119.8 | 115.5 | 114.6 |
| 2.7 | 7.0 | -1.6 | -0.6 | 3.2 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 0.8 |
| 6.2 | 6.8 | 8.3 | 9.0 | 4.4 | 3.9 | 4.1 | 3.3 |
| 6.6 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 9.7 | 5.5 | 4.2 | 3.8 | 3.3 |
| 5.6 | 6.2 | 7.5 | 7.3 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 3.0 |


| Consensus Forecasts-Quarterly Avg. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3Q | 4Q | 1Q | 2Q | 3Q | 4Q |
| $\underline{2023}$ | $\underline{2023}$ | $\underline{2024}$ | $\underline{2024}$ | $\underline{2024}$ | $\underline{2024}$ |
| 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 3.9 |
| 8.4 | 8.4 | 8.1 | 7.7 | 7.3 | 7.0 |
| 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 3.9 |
| 5.2 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 3.9 |
| 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.0 | 4.6 | 4.2 | 3.9 |
| 5.3 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.1 | 3.8 |
| 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3.8 |
| 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.5 |
| 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| 3.7 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.5 |
| 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| 6.6 | 6.4 | 6.3 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 5.9 |
| Consensus Forecasts-Quarterly |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3Q | 4Q | 1Q | 2Q | 3Q | 4Q |
| $\underline{2023}$ | $\underline{2023}$ | $\underline{2024}$ | $\underline{2024}$ | $\underline{2024}$ | $\underline{2024}$ |
| 114.7 | 115.1 | 114.9 | 114.7 | 114.7 | 114.1 |
| 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 1.7 | 2.0 |
| 2.9 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |

Forecasts for interest rates and the Federal Reserve's Advanced Foreign Economies Index represent averages for the quarter. Forecasts for Real GDP, GDP Price Index, CPI and PCE Price Index are seasonally-adjusted annual rates of change (saar). Individual panel members' forecasts are on pages 4 through 9 . Historical data: Treasury rates from the Federal Reserve Board's H.15; AAA-AA and A-BBB corporate bond yields from Bank of America-Merrill Lynch and are 15+ years, yield to maturity; State and local bond yields from Bank of America-Merrill Lynch, A-rated, yield to maturity; Mortgage rates from Freddie Mac, 30-year, fixed; SOFR from the New York Fed.*Interest rate data for 2Q 2023 based on historical data through the week ended June 23. **Data for 2Q 2023 for the Fed's AFE \$ Index based on data through the week ended June 23. Figures for 2Q 2023 Real GDP, GDP Chained Price Index, Consumer Price Index, and PCE Price Index are consensus forecasts from the June 2023 survey.


## Long-Range Survey:

The table below contains the results of our twice-annual long-range CONSENSUS survey. There are also Top 10 and Bottom 10 averages for each variable. Shown are consensus estimates for the years 2024 through 2029 and averages for the five-year periods 2025-2029 and 2030-2034. Apply these projections cautiously. Few if any economic, demographic and political forces can be evaluated accurately over such long time spans.

|  |  | ------------------------ Average For The Year ------------------------- |  |  |  |  |  | Five-Year Averages |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2025-2029 | 2030-2034 |
| 1. Federal Funds Rate | CONSENSUS | 3.9 | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 4.6 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 3.1 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| 2. Prime Rate | CONSENSUS | 7.0 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 7.7 | 6.6 | 6.2 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.2 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 6.3 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| 3. SOFR | CONSENSUS | 3.8 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 2.6 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 4.5 | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 3.2 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| 4. Commercial Paper, 1-Mo | consensus | 3.7 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 4.3 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 3.3 | 2.6 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| 5. Treasury Bill Yield, 3-Mo | CONSENSUS | 3.8 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 4.4 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 3.1 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
| 6. Treasury Bill Yield, 6-Mo | CONSENSUS | 3.8 | 3.0 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.8 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 4.4 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.1 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 3.1 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.4 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.5 |
| 7. Treasury Bill Yield, 1-Yr | CONSENSUS | 3.6 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 2.9 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 4.3 | 3.5 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.6 |
| 8. Treasury Note Yield, 2-Yr | CONSENSUS | 3.4 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 4.0 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 2.8 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.7 |
| 9. Treasury Note Yield, 5-Yr | CONSENSUS | 3.4 | 3.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 3.3 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.8 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 2.8 |
| 10. Treasury Note Yield, 10-Yr | consensus | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.4 | 3.6 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 3.9 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.2 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
| 11. Treasury Bond Yield, 30-Yr | consensus | 3.8 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.9 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 4.2 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.5 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| 12. Corporate Aaa Bond Yield | CONSENSUS | 4.7 | 4.6 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 5.0 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 5.1 | 4.9 | 5.2 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.2 | 5.6 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| 13. Corporate Baa Bond Yield | consensus | 5.8 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.7 | 5.9 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 6.1 | 5.9 | 6.1 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.2 | 6.1 | 6.5 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.4 |
| 14. State \& Local Bonds Yield | consensus | 4.0 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 4.0 | 4.2 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.5 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| 15. Home Mortgage Rate | consensus | 5.7 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.5 | 5.4 | 5.4 | 5.5 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 6.4 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 6.1 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 5.1 | 4.9 | 4.7 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.8 | 4.9 |
| A. Fed's AFE Nominal \$ Index | consensus | 113.5 | 111.8 | 111.8 | 110.9 | 110.1 | 110.1 | 111.0 | 110.0 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 115.5 | 114.2 | 115.1 | 114.7 | 114.3 | 115.2 | 114.7 | 115.3 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 111.5 | 109.5 | 108.4 | 107.5 | 106.3 | 105.8 | 107.5 | 105.3 |
|  |  |  |  | ar-Over | \% Chan | -- |  | Five-Yea | verages |
|  |  | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2025-2029 | 2030-2034 |
| B. Real GDP | CONSENSUS | 1.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 0.4 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| C. GDP Chained Price Index | consensus | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| D. Consumer Price Index | CONSENSUS | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 3.0 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 2.1 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| E. PCE Price Index | consensus | 2.4 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
|  | Top 10 Average | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.3 |
|  | Bottom 10 Average | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |  |

Southwest Gas Corporation<br>Derivation of Mean Equity Risk Premium Based Studies<br>Using Holding Period Returns and<br>Projected Market Appreciation of the S\&P Utility Index

Line No.

1. Historical Equity Risk Premium
4.20 \%
2. 

Equity Risk Premium based on S\&P Utility Index
Implied Equity Risk
Holding Period Returns (1): Premium
2. Regression of Historical Equity Risk Premium (2)
5.16
3. Forecasted Equity Risk Premium Based on PRPM
(3)
5.24

Forecasted Equity Risk Premium based on
4. Projected Total Return on the S\&P Utilities Index (Value Line Data) (4)

Forecasted Equity Risk Premium based on
5. Projected Total Return on the S\&P Utilities Index (Bloomberg Data) (5)

NMF
6. Average Equity Risk Premium (6)
$4.79 \%$

Notes: (1) Based on S\&P Public Utility Index monthly total returns and Moody's Public Utility Bond average monthly yields from 1928-2022. Holding period returns are calculated based upon income received (dividends and interest) plus the relative change in the market value of a security over a one-year holding period.
(2) This equity risk premium is based on a regression of the monthly equity risk premiums of the S\&P Utility Index relative to Moody's A2 rated public utility bond yields from 1928-2022 referenced in note 1 above.
(3) The Predictive Risk Premium Model (PRPM) is applied to the risk premium of the monthly total returns of the S\&P Utility Index and the monthly yields on Moody's A2 rated public utility bonds from January 1928 - June 2023.
(4) Using data from Value Line for the S\&P Utilities Index, an expected return of $10.00 \%$ was derived based on expected dividend yields as a proxy for income returns and long-term growth estimates as a proxy for market appreciation. Subtracting the expected A2 rated public utility bond yield of 5.44\%, calculated on line 3 of page 3 of this Exhibit results in an equity risk premium of $4.56 \%$. ( $10.00 \%-5.44 \%=4.56 \%$ )
(5) Using data from Bloomberg Professional Services for the S\&P Utilities Index, an expected return of $4.25 \%$ was derived based on expected dividend yields as a proxy for income returns and long-term growth estimates as a proxy for market appreciation. Subtracting the expected A2 rated public utility bond yield of $5.44 \%$, calculated on line 3 of page 3 of this Exhibit results in an equity risk premium of $-1.28 \%$. ( $4.25 \%-5.44 \%=-1.19 \%$ ). Because a negative risk premium is inconsistent with financial theory, it is not included in the final average.
(6) Average of lines 1 through 5.

## Southwest Gas Corporation

Prediction of Equity Risk Premiums Relative to Moody's A2 Rated Utility Bond Yields - Gas Utilities


| Constant |  | Prospective A2 | Prospective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rated Utility | Equity Risk |
|  | Slope | Bond (1) | Premium |
| 7.5606 \% | -0.4858 | 5.44 | 4.92 |

Notes:
(1) From line 3 of page 3 of this Exhibit.

Source of Information: Regulatory Research Associates

 Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate Through Use
of the Traditional Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) and Empirical Capital Asset Pricing Model (ECAPM)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{\sim}{\sim} \quad \underset{\sim}{\sim}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { [2] }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas } \\
\text { Distribution Companies } \\
\hline \text { Atmos Energy Corporation } \\
\text { New Jersey Resources Corporation } \\
\text { NiSource Inc. } \\
\text { Northwest Natural Holding Company } \\
\text { ONE Gas, Inc. } \\
\text { Spire Inc. } \\
\text { Mean } \\
\text { Average of Mean and Median } \\
\text { Notes on page } 2 \text { of this Exhibit. }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Southwest Gas Corporation

Notes to Accompany the Application of the CAPM and ECAPM

Notes:
(1) The market risk premium (MRP) is derived by using six different measures from three sources: Ibbotson, Value Line, and Bloomberg as illustrated below:

Historical Data MRP Estimates:
Measure 1: Ibbotson Arithmetic Mean MRP (1926-2022)
Arithmetic Mean Monthly Returns for Large Stocks 1926-2022:
$\begin{array}{r}12.03 \\ 5.00 \\ \hline 7.03\end{array}$ \%
Arithmetic Mean Income Returns on Long-Term Government Bonds:
MRP based on Ibbotson Historical Data:
$8.59 \%$
Measure 2: Application of a Regression Analysis to Ibbotson Historical Data (1926-2022)

Measure 3: Application of the PRPM to Ibbotson Historical Data:
(January 1926-June 2023)
$9.69 \%$
Value Line MRP Estimates:
Measure 4: Value Line Projected MRP (Thirteen weeks ending July 14, 2023)
$\begin{array}{lrr}\text { Total projected return on the market 3-5 years hence*: } & 15.31 \% \\ \text { Projected Risk-Free Rate (see note 2): } & 3.85\end{array}$
MRP based on Value Line Summary \& Index:
*Forcasted 3-5 year capital appreciation plus expected dividend yield

Measure 5: Value Line Projected Return on the Market based on the S\&P 500
Total return on the Market based on the S\&P 500
14.14 \%

Projected Risk-Free Rate (see note 2):
MRP based on Value Line data

| 3.85 |
| ---: |
| $\underline{10.29}$ |$\%$

Measure 6: Bloomberg Projected MRP
Total return on the Market based on the S\&P 500:

| $16.04 \%$ |
| ---: |
| 3.85 |
| $\underline{\underline{12.19}} \%$ |

Projected Risk-Free Rate (see note 2):
3.85

MRP based on Bloomberg data

Average of Value Line, Ibbotson, and Bloomberg MRP:
9.87 \%
(2) For reasons explained in the direct testimony, the appropriate risk-free rate for cost of capital purposes is the average forecast of 30 year Treasury Bonds per the consensus of nearly 50 economists reported in Blue Chip Financial Forecasts. (See pages 10 and 11 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-5).) The projection of the risk-free rate is illustrated below:

| Third Quarter 2023 | $3.90 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Fourth Quarter 2023 | 3.90 |
| First Quarter 2024 | 3.90 |
| Second Quarter 2024 | 3.80 |
| Third Quarter 2024 | 3.80 |
| Fourth Quarter 2024 | 3.80 |
| $2025-2029$ | 3.80 |
| 2030-2034 | 3.90 |

(3) Average of Column 6 and Column 7.

Sources of Information:
Value Line Summary and Index
Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, June 1, 2023 and June 30, 2023
Stocks, Bonds, Bills, and Inflation - 2023 SBBI Yearbook, Kroll
Bloomberg Professional Services

Southwest Gas Corporation

Comparable in Total Risk to the Utility Proxy Group

The criteria for selection of the proxy group of forty-six non-price regulated companies was that the non-price regulated companies be domestic and reported in Value Line Investment Survey (Standard Edition).

The Non-Price Regulated Proxy Group were then selected based on the unadjusted beta range of $0.58-0.86$ and residual standard error of the regression range of 2.8160 - 3.3584 of the Utility Proxy Group.

These ranges are based upon plus or minus two standard deviations of the unadjusted beta and standard error of the regression. Plus or minus two standard deviations captures $95.50 \%$ of the distribution of unadjusted betas and residual standard errors of the regression.

The standard deviation of the Utility Proxy Group's residual standard error of the regression is 0.1356 . The standard deviation of the standard error of the regression is calculated as follows:

Standard Deviation of the Std. Err. of the Regr. = Standard Error of the Regression

$$
\sqrt{2 N}
$$

where: $\mathrm{N}=$ number of observations. Since Value Line betas are derived from weekly price change observations over a period of five years, $\mathrm{N}=259$

$$
\text { Thus, } 0.1356=\frac{3.0872}{\sqrt{518}}=\frac{3.0872}{22.7596}
$$

Source of Information: Value Line, Inc., June 2023
Value Line Investment Survey (Standard Edition)

Southwest Gas Corporation
Basis of Selection of Comparable Risk
Domestic Non-Price Regulated Companies

|  | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies | Value Line <br> Adjusted Beta | Unadjusted Beta | Residual <br> Standard <br> Error of the Regression | Standard Deviation of Beta |
| Atmos Energy Corporation | 0.85 | 0.70 | 2.9159 | 0.0641 |
| New Jersey Resources Corporation | 0.95 | 0.87 | 3.1807 | 0.0699 |
| NiSource Inc. | 0.85 | 0.76 | 2.6599 | 0.0585 |
| Northwest Natural Holding Company | 0.80 | 0.66 | 3.4174 | 0.0751 |
| ONE Gas, Inc. | 0.80 | 0.66 | 3.1969 | 0.0703 |
| Spire Inc. | 0.80 | 0.69 | 3.1526 | 0.0693 |
| Average | 0.84 | 0.72 | 3.0872 | 0.0679 |
| Beta Range ( $+/-2$ std. Devs. of Beta) | 0.58 | 0.86 |  |  |
| 2 std. Devs. of Beta | 0.14 |  |  |  |
| Residual Std. Err. Range (+/-2 std. |  |  |  |  |
| Devs. of the Residual Std. Err.) | 2.8160 | 3.3584 |  |  |
| Std. dev. of the Res. Std. Err. | 0.1356 |  |  |  |
| 2 std. devs. of the Res. Std. Err. | 0.2712 | 0.87 | 2.6599 |  |

Source of Information: Valueline Proprietary Database, June 2023

## Southwest Gas Corporation <br> Proxy Group of 46 Non-Price Regulated Companies <br> Comparable in Total Risk to the <br> Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies

|  | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proxy Group of Forty-Six Non-Price <br> Regulated Companies | Value Line Adjusted Beta | Unadjusted $\qquad$ | Residual <br> Standard <br> Error of the <br> Regression | Standard Deviation of Beta |
| Agilent Technologies | 0.95 | 0.86 | 2.8174 | 0.0620 |
| AbbVie Inc. | 0.85 | 0.73 | 3.2239 | 0.0709 |
| AmerisourceBergen | 0.80 | 0.69 | 3.0890 | 0.0679 |
| Abbott Labs. | 0.90 | 0.81 | 2.9376 | 0.0646 |
| Assurant Inc. | 0.90 | 0.81 | 3.0042 | 0.0661 |
| Smith (A.O.) | 0.90 | 0.79 | 3.1089 | 0.0684 |
| Air Products \& Chem. | 0.90 | 0.83 | 2.9876 | 0.0657 |
| AutoZone Inc. | 0.95 | 0.85 | 3.3239 | 0.0731 |
| Booz Allen Hamilton | 0.85 | 0.73 | 3.2262 | 0.0709 |
| Becton, Dickinson | 0.75 | 0.60 | 2.9735 | 0.0654 |
| Broadridge Fin'l | 0.90 | 0.80 | 2.9041 | 0.0639 |
| CACI Int'l | 0.90 | 0.79 | 3.0776 | 0.0677 |
| Casey's Gen'l Stores | 0.90 | 0.79 | 3.0735 | 0.0676 |
| Chemed Corp. | 0.80 | 0.62 | 2.8651 | 0.0630 |
| Check Point Software | 0.75 | 0.61 | 2.9399 | 0.0646 |
| CSG Systems Int'l | 0.75 | 0.60 | 3.0717 | 0.0675 |
| CSW Industrials | 0.90 | 0.78 | 3.2678 | 0.0719 |
| Quest Diagnostics | 0.80 | 0.63 | 3.3323 | 0.0733 |
| Exponent, Inc. | 0.95 | 0.85 | 3.2135 | 0.0707 |
| Fastenal Co. | 0.90 | 0.83 | 3.0532 | 0.0671 |
| Franklin Electric | 0.90 | 0.83 | 3.0031 | 0.0660 |
| Alphabet Inc. | 0.90 | 0.81 | 3.0446 | 0.0669 |
| Henry (Jack) \& Assoc | 0.85 | 0.72 | 3.1768 | 0.0699 |
| L3Harris Technologie | 0.90 | 0.81 | 3.2934 | 0.0761 |
| Lockheed Martin | 0.90 | 0.81 | 2.9531 | 0.0649 |
| Landstar System | 0.80 | 0.64 | 2.9536 | 0.0649 |
| McKesson Corp. | 0.85 | 0.76 | 3.1802 | 0.0699 |
| McCormick \& Co. | 0.80 | 0.63 | 3.1425 | 0.0691 |
| Monster Beverage | 0.85 | 0.72 | 2.8765 | 0.0633 |
| Altria Group | 0.85 | 0.76 | 3.0113 | 0.0662 |
| MSC Industrial Direc | 0.95 | 0.85 | 2.9590 | 0.0651 |
| NewMarket Corp. | 0.75 | 0.60 | 2.9107 | 0.0640 |
| Oracle Corp. | 0.85 | 0.72 | 2.8385 | 0.0624 |
| O'Reilly Automotive | 0.90 | 0.84 | 3.0143 | 0.0663 |
| OSI Systems | 0.90 | 0.80 | 2.9498 | 0.0649 |
| Pfizer, Inc. | 0.80 | 0.67 | 3.0166 | 0.0663 |
| Progressive Corp. | 0.75 | 0.59 | 3.1020 | 0.0682 |
| Service Corp. Int'l | 0.90 | 0.84 | 3.1595 | 0.0695 |
| Stepan Company | 0.80 | 0.64 | 3.2411 | 0.0713 |
| Selective Ins. Group | 0.85 | 0.76 | 3.0646 | 0.0674 |
| Sirius XM Holdings | 0.95 | 0.85 | 3.2201 | 0.0708 |
| UniFirst Corp. | 0.90 | 0.82 | 2.9485 | 0.0648 |
| VeriSign Inc. | 0.95 | 0.86 | 2.9893 | 0.0657 |
| Waters Corp. | 0.95 | 0.85 | 3.0725 | 0.0676 |
| Watsco, Inc. | 0.90 | 0.77 | 3.1149 | 0.0685 |
| Western Union | 0.85 | 0.72 | 3.1544 | 0.0694 |
| Average | 0.87 | 0.75 | 3.0626 | 0.0674 |
| Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas |  |  |  |  |
| Distribution Companies | 0.84 | 0.72 | 3.0872 | 0.0679 |

Source of Information:
Valueline Proprietary Database, June 2023

## Southwest Gas Corporation

Summary of Cost of Equity Models Applied to Proxy Group of Forty-Six Non-Price Regulated Companies

Comparable in Total Risk to the Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies

| Principal Methods | Proxy Group of <br> Forty-Six Non- <br> Price Regulated <br> Companies |
| :--- | :--- |
| Discounted Cash Flow Model (DCF) (1) |  |
| Risk Premium Model (RPM) (2) |  |
| Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) (3) | $10.60 \%$ |
| Mean | 13.10 |

Notes:
(1) From page 2 of this Exhibit.
(2) From page 3 of this Exhibit.
(3) From page 6 of this Exhibit.

$\mathrm{NA}=$ Not Available
NMF $=$ Not Meaningful Figure
(1) The application of the DCF model to the domestic, non-price regulated comparable risk companies is identical to the application of the DCF to the Utility Proxy Group. The dividend yield is derived by using the 60 day average price and the spot indicated dividend as of July 14,2023 . The dividend yield is then adjusted by $1 / 2$ the average projected growth rate in EPS, which is calculated by averaging the 5 year projected growth in EPS provided by Value Line, www.zacks.com, and www.yahoo.com (excluding any negative growth rates) and then adding that growth rate to the adjusted dividend yield.

## Southwest Gas Corporation

Indicated Common Equity Cost Rate
Through Use of a Risk Premium Model
Using an Adjusted Total Market Approach

| Line No. |  | Proxy Group of Forty- <br> Six Non-Price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regulated Companies |  |  |

Notes: (1) Average forecast of Baa2 corporate bonds based upon the consensus of nearly 50 economists reported in Blue Chip Financial Forecasts dated June 1, 2023 and June 30, 2023 (see pages 10 and 11 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-5). The estimates are detailed below.

| Third Quarter 2023 | $5.90 \%$ |
| ---: | :---: |
| Fourth Quarter 2023 | 5.90 |
| First Quarter 2024 | 5.70 |
| Second Quarter 2024 | 5.60 |
| Third Quarter 2024 | 5.60 |
| Fourth Quarter 2024 | 5.50 |
| $2025-2029$ | 5.90 |
|  | 5.73 |

(2) The average yield spread of Baa rated corporate bonds over A corporate bonds for the three months ending June 2023. To reflect the Baa1 average rating of the non-utility proxy group, the prosepctive yield on Baa corporate bonds must be adjusted by $1 / 3$ of the spread between $A$ and Baa corporate bond yields as shown below:

|  | A Corp. Bond Yield | Baa Corp. <br> Bond Yield | Spread |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jun-23 | 5.24 \% | 5.75 | \% | 0.51 |
| May-23 | 5.24 | 5.77 |  | 0.53 |
| Apr-23 | 5.02 | 5.53 |  | 0.51 |
| Average yield spread$1 / 3$ of spread |  |  |  | 0.52 |
|  |  |  |  | 0.17 |

(3) From page 5 of this Exhibit.

## Southwest Gas Corporation

Comparison of Long-Term Issuer Ratings for the Proxy Group of Forty-Six Non-Price Regulated Companies of Comparable risk to the Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies

| Moody's | Standard \& Poor's |
| :---: | :---: |
| Long-Term Issuer Rating |  |
| July 2023 | Long-Term Issuer Rating |
|  | July 2023 |


| Proxy Group of Forty-Six Non-Price Regulated Companies | Long-Term Issuer Rating | Numerical <br> Weighting (1) | Long-Term Issuer Rating | Numerical <br> Weighting (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agilent Technologies | Baa1 | 8.0 | BBB+ | 8.0 |
| AbbVie Inc. | Baa1 | 8.0 | BBB+ | 8.0 |
| AmerisourceBergen | Baa2 | 9.0 | BBB+ | 8.0 |
| Abbott Labs. | Aa3 | 4.0 | AA- | 4.0 |
| Assurant Inc. | Baa2 | 9.0 | BBB | 9.0 |
| Smith (A.O.) | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| Air Products \& Chem. | A2 | 6.0 | A | 6.0 |
| AutoZone Inc. | Baa1 | 8.0 | BBB | 9.0 |
| Booz Allen Hamilton | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| Becton, Dickinson | Baa2 | 9.0 | BBB | 9.0 |
| Broadridge Fin'l | Baa2 | 9.0 | BBB | 9.0 |
| CACI Int'l | NA | -- | BB+ | 11.0 |
| Casey's Gen'l Stores | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| Chemed Corp. | WR | -- | NR | -- |
| Check Point Software | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| CSG Systems Int'l | NA | -- | BB+ | 11.0 |
| CSW Industrials | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| Quest Diagnostics | Baa2 | 9.0 | BBB+ | 8.0 |
| Exponent, Inc. | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| Fastenal Co. | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| Franklin Electric | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| Alphabet Inc. | Aa2 | 3.0 | AA+ | 2.0 |
| Henry (Jack) \& Assoc | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| L3Harris Technologie | Baa2 | 9.0 | BBB | 9.0 |
| Lockheed Martin | A3 | 7.0 | A- | 7.0 |
| Landstar System | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| McKesson Corp. | Baa1 | 8.0 | BBB+ | 8.0 |
| McCormick \& Co. | Baa2 | 9.0 | BBB | 9.0 |
| Monster Beverage | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| Altria Group | A3 | 7.0 | BBB | 9.0 |
| MSC Industrial Direc | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| NewMarket Corp. | Baa2 | 9.0 | BBB+ | 8.0 |
| Oracle Corp. | Baa2 | 9.0 | BBB | 9.0 |
| O'Reilly Automotive | Baa1 | 8.0 | BBB | 9.0 |
| OSI Systems | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| Pfizer, Inc. | A1 | 5.0 | A+ | 5.0 |
| Progressive Corp. | A2 | 6.0 | A | 6.0 |
| Service Corp. Int'l | Ba3 | 13.0 | $\mathrm{BB}+$ | 11.0 |
| Stepan Company | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| Selective Ins. Group | Baa2 | 9.0 | BBB | 9.0 |
| Sirius XM Holdings | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| UniFirst Corp. | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| VeriSign Inc. | Baa3 | 10.0 | BBB | 9.0 |
| Waters Corp. | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| Watsco, Inc. | NA | -- | NA | -- |
| Western Union | Baa2 | 9.0 | BBB | 9.0 |
| Average | Baa1 | 8.0 | BBB+ | 8.1 |

Notes:
(1) From page 6 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-5).

Source of Information:
Bloomberg Professional Services

Southwest Gas Corporation
Derivation of Equity Risk Premium Based on the Total Market Approach
Using the Beta for
Proxy Group of Forty-Six Non-Price Regulated Companies of Comparable risk to the Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies

| Line No. | Equity Risk Premium Measure | Proxy Group of Forty Six Non-Price Regulated Companies |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Ibbotson Equity Risk Premium (1) | 5.82 \% |
| 2. | Regression on Ibbotson Risk Premium Data (2) | 7.46 |
| 3. | Ibbotson Equity Risk Premium based on PRPM (3) | 8.70 |
| 4. | Equity Risk Premium Based on Value Line Summary and Index (4) | 10.56 |
| 5 | Equity Risk Premium Based on Value Line S\&P 500 Companies (5) | 9.39 |
| 6. | Equity Risk Premium Based on Bloomberg S\&P 500 Companies (6) | 11.29 |
| 7. | Conclusion of Equity Risk Premium | 8.87 \% |
| 8. | Adjusted Beta (7) | 0.85 |
| 9. | Forecasted Equity Risk Premium | 7.54 \% |

Notes:
(1) From note 1 of page 9 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-5).
(2) From note 2 of page 9 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-5).
(3) From note 3 of page 9 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-5).
(4) From note 4 of page 9 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-5).
(5) From note 5 of page 9 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-5).
(6) From note 6 of page 9 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-5).
(7) Average of mean and median beta from page 6 of this Exhibit.

Sources of Information:
Stocks, Bonds, Bills, and Inflation - 2023 SBBI Yearbook, Kroll
Value Line Summary and Index
Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, June 1, 2023 and June 30, 2023
Bloomberg Professional Services

## Southwest Gas Corporation

Traditional CAPM and ECAPM Results for the Proxy Group of Non-Price-Regulated Companies Comparable in Total Risk to the Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies

|  | [1] | [2] | [3] | [4] |  | [5] |  | [6] |  | [7] |  | [8] |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proxy Group of Forty-Six <br> Non-Price Regulated <br> Companies | Value Line Adjusted Beta | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bloomberg } \\ \text { Beta } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Average Beta | Market Risk <br> Premium (1) |  | Risk-Free R $\qquad$ |  | Traditio CAPM $\qquad$ Rate |  | ECAPM Cost |  | Indicated Common Eq Cost Rate |  |
| Agilent Technologies | 0.95 | 1.06 | 1.01 | 9.87 | \% | 3.85 | \% | 13.82 | \% | 13.80 | \% | 13.81 | \% |
| AbbVie Inc. | 0.85 | 0.63 | 0.74 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.16 |  | 11.80 |  | 11.48 |  |
| AmerisourceBergen | 0.80 | 0.74 | 0.77 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.45 |  | 12.02 |  | 11.74 |  |
| Abbott Labs. | 0.90 | 0.84 | 0.87 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 12.44 |  | 12.76 |  | 12.60 |  |
| Assurant Inc. | 0.90 | 0.77 | 0.83 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 12.05 |  | 12.47 |  | 12.26 |  |
| Smith (A.O.) | 0.90 | 1.04 | 0.97 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 13.43 |  | 13.50 |  | 13.47 |  |
| Air Products \& Chem. | 0.90 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 12.54 |  | 12.84 |  | 12.69 |  |
| AutoZone Inc. | 0.95 | 0.85 | 0.90 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 12.74 |  | 12.98 |  | 12.86 |  |
| Booz Allen Hamilton | 0.85 | 0.78 | 0.82 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.95 |  | 12.39 |  | 12.17 |  |
| Becton, Dickinson | 0.75 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.16 |  | 11.80 |  | 11.48 |  |
| Broadridge Fin'l | 0.90 | 1.01 | 0.96 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 13.33 |  | 13.43 |  | 13.38 |  |
| CACI Int'l | 0.90 | 0.75 | 0.83 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 12.05 |  | 12.47 |  | 12.26 |  |
| Casey's Gen'I Stores | 0.90 | 0.79 | 0.84 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 12.14 |  | 12.54 |  | 12.34 |  |
| Chemed Corp. | 0.80 | 0.67 | 0.73 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.06 |  | 11.73 |  | 11.39 |  |
| Check Point Software | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.26 |  | 11.87 |  | 11.56 |  |
| CSG Systems Int'l | 0.75 | 0.84 | 0.79 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.65 |  | 12.17 |  | 11.91 |  |
| CSW Industrials | 0.90 | 0.78 | 0.84 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 12.14 |  | 12.54 |  | 12.34 |  |
| Quest Diagnostics | 0.80 | 0.72 | 0.76 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.35 |  | 11.95 |  | 11.65 |  |
| Exponent, Inc. | 0.95 | 0.99 | 0.97 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 13.43 |  | 13.50 |  | 13.47 |  |
| Fastenal Co. | 0.90 | 0.99 | 0.94 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 13.13 |  | 13.28 |  | 13.21 |  |
| Franklin Electric | 0.90 | 0.96 | 0.93 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 13.03 |  | 13.21 |  | 13.12 |  |
| Alphabet Inc. | 0.95 | 1.13 | 1.04 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 14.12 |  | 14.02 |  | NMF |  |
| Henry (Jack) \& Assoc | 0.85 | 0.78 | 0.81 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.85 |  | 12.32 |  | 12.08 |  |
| L3Harris Technologie | 0.90 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 12.34 |  | 12.69 |  | 12.52 |  |
| Lockheed Martin | 0.90 | 0.66 | 0.78 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.55 |  | 12.10 |  | 11.82 |  |
| Landstar System | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.81 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.85 |  | 12.32 |  | 12.08 |  |
| McKesson Corp. | 0.85 | 0.69 | 0.77 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.45 |  | 12.02 |  | 11.74 |  |
| McCormick \& Co. | 0.80 | 0.73 | 0.76 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.35 |  | 11.95 |  | 11.65 |  |
| Monster Beverage | 0.85 | 0.73 | 0.79 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.65 |  | 12.17 |  | 11.91 |  |
| Altria Group | 0.85 | 0.59 | 0.72 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 10.96 |  | 11.65 |  | 11.31 |  |
| MSC Industrial Direc | 0.95 | 0.86 | 0.90 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 12.74 |  | 12.98 |  | 12.86 |  |
| NewMarket Corp. | 0.75 | 0.63 | 0.69 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 10.66 |  | 11.43 |  | 11.05 |  |
| Oracle Corp. | 0.85 | 1.05 | 0.95 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 13.23 |  | 13.35 |  | 13.29 |  |
| O'Reilly Automotive | 0.90 | 0.83 | 0.86 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 12.34 |  | 12.69 |  | 12.52 |  |
| OSI Systems | 0.90 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 12.54 |  | 12.84 |  | 12.69 |  |
| Pfizer, Inc. | 0.80 | 0.71 | 0.76 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.35 |  | 11.95 |  | 11.65 |  |
| Progressive Corp. | 0.75 | 0.72 | 0.74 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.16 |  | 11.80 |  | 11.48 |  |
| Service Corp. Int'l | 0.90 | 0.76 | 0.83 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 12.05 |  | 12.47 |  | 12.26 |  |
| Stepan Company | 0.80 | 0.89 | 0.85 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 12.24 |  | 12.61 |  | 12.43 |  |
| Selective Ins. Group | 0.85 | 0.69 | 0.77 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.45 |  | 12.02 |  | 11.74 |  |
| Sirius XM Holdings | 0.90 | 0.84 | 0.87 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 12.44 |  | 12.76 |  | 12.60 |  |
| UniFirst Corp. | 0.90 | 0.79 | 0.84 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 12.14 |  | 12.54 |  | 12.34 |  |
| VeriSign Inc. | 0.95 | 1.11 | 1.03 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 14.02 |  | 13.95 |  | NMF |  |
| Waters Corp. | 0.95 | 0.98 | 0.96 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 13.33 |  | 13.43 |  | 13.38 |  |
| Watsco, Inc. | 0.90 | 1.08 | 0.99 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 13.63 |  | 13.65 |  | 13.64 |  |
| Western Union | 0.80 | 0.83 | 0.82 | 9.87 |  | 3.85 |  | 11.95 |  | 12.39 |  | 12.17 |  |
|  |  | Mean | 0.85 |  |  |  |  | 12.21 | \% | 12.59 | \% | 12.33 | \% |
|  |  | Median | 0.84 |  |  |  |  | 12.10 | \% | 12.50 | \% | 12.26 | \% |
|  | Average of Mean and Median |  | 0.85 |  |  |  |  | 12.16 | \% | 12.55 | \% | 12.30 | \% |

Notes:
(1) From note 1 of page 2 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-6).
(2) From note 2 of page 2 of Exhibit No.__(DWD-6)
(3) Average of CAPM and ECAPM cost rates.
Southwest Gas Corporation
Derivation of Investment Risk Adjustment

uopenodioj sejtsamynos
Market Capitalization of Southwest Gas Corporation and the
Proxy Group of Six Natural Gas Distribution Companies
[1]


## AFFIRMATION OF DYLAN W. D'ASCENDIS

Pursuant to NAC 703.710, Dylan W. D'Ascendis affirms and declares the following:

1. I am over 18 years of age and am competent to testify to facts stated below which are based upon my personal knowledge.
2. That I am the person identified in the foregoing prepared testimony, including, where applicable, any exhibits.
3. That such testimony and exhibits were prepared by me or under my direction.
4. That the information appearing in my testimony and exhibits are true to the best of my knowledge and belief and that if I were asked the questions stated therein under oath, my answers would be the same.
5. Pursuant to NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED and DATED this $\underline{29}^{\text {th }}$ day of August, 2023
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of
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Q. 1 Please state your name and business address.
A. 1 My name is Byron C. Williams. My business address is 8360 S. Durango Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113.
Q. 2 By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
A. 2 I am employed by Southwest Gas Corporation (Southwest Gas or Company) in the Tax Department. My title is Director/Tax.
Q. 3 Please summarize your educational background and relevant business experience.
A. 3 My educational background and relevant business experience are summarized in Appendix A to this testimony.
Q. 4 Have you previously testified before any regulatory commission?
A. 4 Yes. I have previously provided testimony to the Arizona Corporation Commission, the California Public Utilities Commission, the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada (Commission).
Q. 5 What is the purpose of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?
A. 5 The purpose of my testimony is to provide information concerning Southwest Gas' federal income tax, and state and local taxes.
Q. 6 Are you sponsoring any statements or schedules in support of your prepared direct testimony?
A. 6 Yes. I am sponsoring Statement $M$ and Schedules $M-1$ through $M-5$ for the Company's Northern and Southern Nevada rate jurisdictions. I also support the Property Tax Annualization test year adjustment and Nevada Annual Regulatory Assessment (Nevada Mill Assessment) certification adjustment, included on Schedule No. H-17 and Schedule H-C8, respectively.
Q. 7 Are these statements and schedules required by the Commission's regulations?
A. 7 Yes. Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 703.2265 sets forth filing requirements for utilities with annual gross operating revenues of $\$ 250 \mathrm{~K}$ or more, which includes the filing of Statement M , and its respective schedules, with a general rate case application.
Q. 8 Please summarize your prepared direct testimony.
A. 8 My prepared direct testimony consists of the following key issues:

- The Company's calculation of the provision of federal income taxes;
- The Company's calculation and treatment of Excess Accumulated Deferred Income Taxes (EADIT);
- The impact of the Company's collection of contributions in aid of construction (CIAC) on deferred taxes;
- The Company's calculation of its property, franchise, business, mill assessments and miscellaneous taxes, in addition to its proposed tax adjustments; and
- Support for the Company's Tax Remediation Project.


## II. TAX STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES

Q. 9 Please describe Statement M.
A. 9 Pursuant to NAC 703.2411, Statement M must include the following information: Statement $M$ must contain a statement that shows the computation of allowances for federal income taxes for the period of testing. To indicate the accounting classification applicable to the amount claimed, the computation of the allowance for federal income tax must show separately the amounts designated as current tax and deferred tax.
Q. 10 Has the Company provided Statement M consistent with NAC 703.2411? A. 10 Yes. Statement M, Sheets 1 through 3 provides the computation of the provision for federal income tax for the twelve (12) months ended May 31, 2023, for the certification period, and after rate relief. Supporting the computation, Sheet 2 shows the book/tax temporary differences and the resulting calculation of the deferred income tax provision. The calculation of the provision for federal income tax on Sheets 1 and 2 reflects a reduction for the amortization of EADIT, which is supported on Sheet 3.
Q. 11 Please describe Schedule M-1.
A. 11 Pursuant to NAC 703.2415:

Schedule M-1 must contain a complete reconciliation of the book net income with taxable net income as reported to the United States Internal Revenue Service for the most recent year for which a tax return was filed and the 3 preceding years. A complete explanation of all items in the reconciliation must be submitted. If the tax allowances claimed give effect to omission of items appearing in the reconciliations for the most recent tax
return or to inclusion of items not appearing therein, the reasons for the omissions or inclusions must be submitted.
Q. 12

Has the Company provided Schedules M-1 consistent with NAC 703.2415 ? Yes. Schedule M-1 reconciles financial accounting (book) income with taxable income as reported on Southwest Gas' federal income tax returns for the years 2018 through 2021. Generally, the reconciling amounts represent timing items because of different periods in which an item may be reported as income or claimed as a deduction for federal income tax purposes as compared to financial accounting purposes.
Q. 13 Please describe Schedule M-2. Pursuant to NAC 703.2421:

1. If tax deprecation differs from book depreciation, the applicant must file schedule M-2 showing the computation of the tax depreciation indicating:
a. Differences between book and tax depreciation on a straightline basis; and
b. The excess of any accelerated depreciation and amortization used for tax purposes over straight-line depreciation.
2. The schedule must pertain to the most recent year for which a tax return was filed and for the 3 previous years.
Q. 14 Has the Company provided Schedule M-2 consistent with NAC 703.2421?
A. 14 Yes. Schedule M-2 provides the depreciation expense calculation for financial accounting and for federal income tax purposes for Southwest Gas' most recent year for which an income tax return was filed (2021) and the three previous years
(2018 through 2020). Depreciation is shown for plant assets by significant category.
Q. 15 Please describe Schedule M-3.
A. 15 Pursuant to NAC 703.2425:
3. If the applicant joins in the filing of a consolidated federal income tax return, the applicant must file schedule M-3 showing the net taxable income or loss for each company or regulated entity in the consolidation, including an adjustment of the excess of accelerated depreciation and amortization of emergency facilities over straight-line deprecation for each company involved.
4. The applicant must also submit the details of consolidation adjustment and a computation of the system tax liability based on the consolidated net income for the last tax year ending within the period of testing, or immediately prior thereto, for which a tax return was filed. In addition, the applicant must include a computation showing the percentage of tax savings arising from consolidation for the taxable year covered by such a period.
Q. 16 Has the Company provided Schedule M-3 consistent with NAC 703.2425?
A. 16 Yes. Schedule M-3 provides net taxable income or loss for each entity included in the Southwest Gas Holdings, Inc. 2021 consolidated federal income tax return (which the Company is a member of), as well as the other required information.
Q. 17 Please describe Schedule M-4.
A. 17 Pursuant to NAC 703.2431: of accumulated deferred income taxes for each of the 12 months during the period of testing.
Q. 18 Has the Company provided Schedule M-4 consistent with NAC 703.2431?
A. 18 Yes. Schedule M-4 provides, by month, deferred tax balances by Nevada rate jurisdiction. The deferred taxes are recorded in the 282 and 283 series accounts as prescribed by the FERC Uniform System of Accounts.
Q. 19 Please describe Schedule M-5.
A. 19 Pursuant to NAC 703.2435:
5. Schedule M-5 must contain a schedule that shows the taxes paid by the applicant, other than income taxes in separate columns, as follows:
a. Tax expense per books for the period of testing;
b. Any adjustments to the amounts booked; and
c. The total adjusted taxes claimed.
6. The taxes must be shown by states and by kind of taxes.
Q. 20 Has the Company provided Schedule M-5 consistent with NAC 703.2435?
A. 20 Yes. Schedule M-5, Sheet 1, shows taxes other than income taxes for the 12 months ended May 31, 2023. Sheets 2 and 3 provided the detail of taxes other than income taxes by state and type for each month of the test year.
Q. 21 Are there any post-test year adjustments for the Nevada Mill Assessment? A. 21 Yes. The Company is proposing to continue a certification adjustment on Schedule H-C8 to update the Nevada Mill Assessment with the most recent Annual Regulatory Assessment received from the Commission. This is consistent with the treatment in Docket 21-09001.

## III. EXCESS ACCUMULATED DEFERRED INCOME TAXES (EADIT)

Q. 22 What is EADIT?
A. 22 EADIT is the portion of the accumulated deferred income tax liability that existed at the end of 2017 (calculated at the 35 percent federal income tax) that, barring any other rate changes, would not be paid to the federal government because the federal income tax rate was reduced to 21 percent. At the end of 2017, as a result, the deferred income tax liability accounts were revalued at a 21 percent federal tax rate. The EADIT was reclassified from the deferred income tax liability account to a regulatory liability account, to be refunded to customers of Southwest Gas.
Q. 23 How will the Company's EADIT be returned to customers?
A. 23 The Company proposes to continue to adjust the revenue requirement by the maximum amount of plant-related EADIT amortization using the Average Rate Assumption Method (ARAM) as defined in the Internal Revenue Code and the associated Treasury Regulations. Based on the approved amortization period in Docket No. 21-09001, the Company's non-plant EADIT balance will be fully amortized and returned to customers before new rates go into effect in this proceeding.
Q. 24 What is the ARAM?
A. 24 Under federal income tax law provisions, the ARAM is the methodology used to calculate the maximum amount of protected EADIT returned to customers without triggering penalties for a normalization violation.
Q. 25 How does the ARAM calculate the amortization of EADIT?
A. 25 The ARAM calculation consists of two parts: (1) the calculation of the ratio of aggregate deferred taxes for the property to the aggregate timing differences for the property; and (2) the multiplication of that resulting percentage ratio by the amount of timing differences turning around during the year.
Q. 26 Why must Southwest Gas return EADIT to customers over time, rather than immediately?
A. 26 The Internal Revenue Code penalizes the return of protected EADIT to customers more rapidly, or to a greater extent, than the amount computed using the ARAM. A refund faster than the ARAM limitations is a normalization violation according to the Internal Revenue Code and Treasury Regulations. The estimated turnaround required by the ARAM for the Company's plant-related EADIT is approximately 40 years (i.e., the book life of the underlying plant).
Q. 27 What are the penalties for a normalization violation if the EADIT is returned to customers too quickly?
A. 27 The penalties for a normalization violation are severe and include the following: (1) a current tax penalty equal to the amount by which the entity returned the EADIT to customers more rapidly than permitted under the ARAM; and (2) the entity will no longer be able to claim current or future accelerated depreciation for income tax purposes. These penalties would increase cash tax payments, potentially leading to increased borrowing costs and future customer rate increases.
Q. 28 Has the Commission adopted the ARAM method for returning EADIT to customers?
A. 28 Yes. In 1989, the Commission required the use of the ARAM, as provided in Section 203(e) of the Tax Reform Act of 1986, for utilities maintaining vintage accounts. This requirement was codified in NAC 704.6534. In addition, in Docket Nos. 18-05031, 20-02023 and 21-09001, the Commission authorized the use of the ARAM for the Company's EADIT resulting from a change in federal income tax rates.
Q. 29 Please explain the ARAM computations shown on Statement M, Sheet 3.
A. 29 Line 7, column (c) of Sheet 3 shows the annual change in the balance of EADIT caused by the application of the ARAM calculation described in Q\&A 25 above. This calculation is performed within utility-specific property accounting software (PowerTax) at the vintage and FERC account level. Numerous calculations are required to determine the amortization amount. The last annual ARAM amortization amount known (based on the 2021 calendar year tax filing) was used to reflect a 12-month period of amortization. This amortization amount reduces the federal income tax component of cost of service, thus passing these savings to our customers.

## IV. CONTRIBUTIONS IN AID OF CONSTRUCTION

Q. 30 Was there an effect on deferred taxes from the Company's collection of a tax liability tax factor with respect to taxable CIAC?
A. 30 Yes. Taxable CIAC are reported as taxable income upon receipt, then amortized for tax over the property life. Therefore, deferred taxes are recorded on the tax liability factor, in accordance with NAC 704.6532(5), to reflect the timing difference of the tax treatment versus the book treatment of the taxable CIAC.

The related deferred taxes are considered in computing rate base.
Q. 31 Are there any other accounts that relate to these contributions that have a ratemaking implication?
A. 31 Yes. Consistent with NAC 704.6532(5), the deferred income taxes associated with the CIAC income tax gross-up and customer advance income tax gross-up collected from customers and recorded in regulatory liability accounts 253001473 and 254001472 are recorded in the 282 series accounts. Since regulatory liability accounts 253001473 and 254001472 are not included in rate base, the deferred income tax portion of rate base is adjusted to eliminate the associated deferred income taxes.

## V. PROPERTY TAXES

A. Centrally Assessed Property
Q. 32 How are Nevada property taxes assessed on Southwest Gas' utility property?
A. 32 Nevada's public utilities are included in a group of large companies comprised of utilities, railroads, airlines, mining operations, etc., which are assessed property taxes directly by the state of Nevada. The term "centrally assessed" is used to describe this method of taxation and can be contrasted with assessments made by county governments on property owners which are termed "locally assessed."
Q. 33 What procedures are used to establish the property tax liabilities of centrally assessed taxpayers?
A. 33 Centrally assessed taxpayers provide several annual information reports to the Nevada Department of Taxation (Department), which are used by that agency to determine unitary property values. The reports also include information by geographic location that is used by the Department to identify the portion of a
company's assessed value within Nevada by specific tax areas. The first report, due in April each year, requires centrally assessed taxpayers to provide the book value of net plant-in-service and audited financial statements. The data provided is for the 12 months ended, or as of, December 31 of the previous calendar year.
Q. 34 What other reports are prepared by Southwest Gas for the Department?
A. 34 Southwest Gas also provides an annual report detailing the monthly additions to construction work-in-progress (CWIP Report) for the 12-month period beginning July of the previous calendar year and ending with June of the current year. The CWIP Report is filed with the Department each August/September.
Q. 35 What does the Department do with the information provided by centrally assessed taxpayers?

Based on the information provided by centrally assessed taxpayers, the Department appraises the property using the cost and income value indicators. The Department reports the final appraised value to centrally assessed taxpayers in or around October. Assuming no disagreement, the taxpayer is billed property taxes in the subsequent fiscal year based on the appraised value.
Q. 36 Please provide an example of the timing of the valuation and the related property tax bills.

Southwest Gas received a valuation report from the Department in October 2021, based on financial information at December 31, 2020 and adjusted for CWIP additions through June 30, 2021. Southwest Gas then received a property tax bill in July 2022, nine months after receiving the valuation report, which was paid quarterly during the 12 months beginning July 1, 2022 and ended June 30, 2023.
Q. 37 Does the CWIP Report result in additional property tax billed to centrally assessed taxpayers?
A. 37 Yes. In addition to the annual property tax bill, which includes CWIP additions through the previous June, centrally assessed taxpayers also receive an annual property tax bill in and around October/November for CWIP monthly additions through June of that year. Continuing with the property tax example above, Southwest Gas received a bill in October 2022, which was paid in December 2022 for CWIP additions from July 2021 through June 2022.
Q. 38 Is property tax expense shown on Schedule M-5?
A. 38

Yes. As previously stated, Schedule M-5 shows taxes other than income taxes for the 12 months ended May 31, 2023. Column (g) of this schedule identifies the amount of the adjustment for property taxes proposed in this rate case.
B. Proposed Property Tax Adjustments
Q. 39 Are you proposing within test year adjustments to cost of service to annualize Nevada property tax expense in this rate case?
A. 39 Yes. Test year adjustments are proposed for both the Northern Nevada and Southern Nevada rate jurisdictions. The calculation of these adjustments is shown on Schedule H-17.
Q. 40 Are you utilizing the same property tax rate in the adjustment computation on Schedule H-17 as was determined and utilized for the test period?
A. 40 Yes.
Q. 41 How were these rates determined?
A. 41 The rates were determined by dividing the amounts on the property tax bills received in July and October 2022 for the tax year ended June 30, 2023 by the related plant in service at June 30, 2022. This assures that the most recent rates were applied to current property balances.
Q. 42 Please describe the within test year adjustment.
A. 42 Property tax expense recorded by the Company for the June 1, 2022 to May 31, 2023 test year in this rate case is based substantially on property in service at June 30, 2022. Utility plant placed in service from July 1, 2022 through May 31, 2023 is not yet reflected in property tax expense.
Q. 43 Does the proposed adjustment represent a known and measurable expense?
A. 43 Yes. The proposed adjustment is based on known and measurable amounts for plant in service at the end of the test year. In addition, the property tax rate used in calculating the proposed adjustment is the rate used by the Department in its 2022/2023 tax bills. The plant in service on May 31, 2023 combined with the property tax rate derived from the most recent property tax bills, provides a known and measurable basis for determining the property tax adjustment.
Q. 44 Are there any post-test year adjustments to property tax expense?
A. 44 Yes. The Company is proposing a certification adjustment in Schedule H-C6 to update its rate base through November 30, 2023. The change in property taxes is calculated within that adjustment, using the property tax rate developed for the test period.
Q. 45 Why are these adjustments to property tax expense necessary?
A. 45 The adjustment for property tax expense in the cost of service is necessary primarily due to the requirement to synchronize property tax expense with the amount of plant in rate base at the end of the test period and certification period. This requirement is provided in NAC 704.6528.

## VI. THE TAX REMEDIATION PROJECT

Q. 46 Please provide an overview of the Tax Remediation Project.
A. 46 The purpose of this project was to enhance the PowerPlan PowerTax and PowerTax Provision modules to fully comply with recent tax law changes and to prepare for potential future tax law changes. Specifically, this allows Southwest Gas to comply with future changes to federal and state income tax rates.
Q. 47 Why was the Tax Remediation Project undertaken at this time?
A. 47 The federal income tax rate decreased in 2017 as part of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (TCJA), which exposed limitations and system challenges related to tax law changes. Future tax law changes would require significant modifications to PowerPlan's PowerTax and PowerTax Provision modules.

An increase in the federal income tax rate would likely create a deferred income tax deficiency (DITD) that would offset the excess accumulated deferred income tax (EADIT) amount produced by the 2017 tax rate reduction related to the TCJA. The 2017 decrease required the remeasurement of the Company's deferred income tax liabilities at a $21 \%$ income tax rate versus the prior income tax rate of $35 \%$ and resulted in a reduction of deferred tax liabilities and a corresponding increase in regulatory liabilities of approximately $\$ 400$ million. Any future rate changes will complicate the Average Rate Assumption Method (ARAM) calculations currently performed by the PowerTax module. As a result, as discussed in the Prepared Direct Testimony of Raied N. Stanley, PowerTax needed configuration changes to provide the level of detail needed to support regulatory scrutiny of the ARAM calculations.
Q. 48 Did Southwest Gas perform an assessment of its existing tax systems to evaluate its limited functionality?
A. 48 Yes. The Tax Department conducted a third-party assessment to review the existing tax systems and data. The assessment analyzed the impact of a change in federal income tax rates on the existing PowerTax and PowerTax Provision configurations, data, and processes. In consultation with Regulated Capital Consultants, LLC (RCC), the Tax Department determined that the PowerTax and PowerTax Provision modules and the related processes lacked the level of detail and transparency needed to (1) respond to regulatory scrutiny of Excess or Deficient ADIT balances and ARAM calculations, and (2) recommended implementing a cloud solution (ASI) to enhance controls over tax data integrity and system validations. It was also noted that the PowerTax and PowerTax Provision modules configurations did not reflect industry best practices.
Q. 49 What was the benefit of the Tax Remediation Project to Southwest Gas?
A. 49 This project enhanced controls over the accuracy and reliability of tax data and calculations that were not historically available in the PowerTax and PowerTax Provision modules. It also increased efficiency by automating reconciliations that were historically performed manually in spreadsheets.

## VII. CONCLUSION

Q. 50 Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony? A. 50 Yes.

## SUMMARY OF QUALIFICATIONS BYRON C. WILLIAMS

I am a graduate of Brigham Young University having received a Bachelor of Science in Accounting in 2001. In 2003, I earned a Master's in Business Taxation from the University of Southern California.

In 2002, I joined the tax department of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in Los Angeles. In 2010, I joined the Las Vegas office, and was promoted to Director in 2011. In 2013, I joined Southwest Gas Corporation as Director/Tax. I am responsible for all phases of the Company's taxes, including preparation of all federal, state and local tax returns and tax provisions, researching tax matters, and preparation of tax-related testimony and exhibits for rate proceedings, including rate cases.

I have been licensed as a Certified Public Accountant by the State of California since 2007. In 2011, I also became licensed as a Certified Public Accountant by the State of Nevada. I am also a member of the American Institute of Public Accountants, as well as the Nevada Society of CPAs.

## AFFIRMATION OF BYRON C. WILLIAMS

Pursuant to NAC 703.710, Byron C. Williams affirms and declares the following:

1. I am over 18 years of age and am competent to testify to facts stated below which are based upon my personal knowledge.
2. That I am the person identified in the foregoing prepared testimony, including, where applicable, any exhibits.
3. That such testimony and exhibits were prepared by me or under my direction.
4. That the information appearing in my testimony and exhibits are true to the best of my knowledge and belief and that if I were asked the questions stated therein under oath, my answers would be the same.
5. Pursuant to NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED and DATED this 14th day of August, 2023
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Q. 1 Please state your name and business address.
A. 1 My name is Lisa McRae. My business address is 17875 Von Karman Avenue Suite 300, Irvine, California 92614.
Q. 2 By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
A. 2 I am employed by Aon. My title is Senior Partner.
Q. 3 Please summarize your educational background and relevant business experience.
A. 3 My educational background and relevant business experience are summarized in Appendix A to this testimony.
Q. 4 Have you previously testified before any regulatory commission?
A. 4 Yes. I testified before the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada (Commission) on behalf of Southwest Gas in 2020 and 2021.
Q. 5 What is the purpose of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding? A. 5 Aon is the actuary for Southwest Gas Corporation (Southwest Gas or Company) and I have been personally involved in preparing the Company's annual actuarial report since 2010. I therefore support the Company's pension expense for years 2021 through 2023 as presented in the annual Actuarial Reports (Reports), primarily the reasonableness of the discount rate used to determine each year's pension expense and compliance with generally accepted actuarial principles
and practices and established accounting standards. Copies of the Reports for each year are attached as Exhibit Nos.__(LM-1) through (LM-3). Company witness, Randi L. Cunningham, supports the Company's pension expense from a ratemaking perspective.
Q. 6

Please summarize your prepared direct testimony.
A. 6

My prepared direct testimony consists of the following key issues:

- An overview of the 2021, 2022 and 2023 Reports;
- The process used to determine the pension expense; and
- The reasonableness of the discount rate used.


## II. OVERVIEW OF THE ACTUARIAL REPORTS

Q. 7 Please describe the purpose of the Reports prepared by Aon for Southwest Gas.
A. 7 The Reports document the results of the actuarial valuation of the Company's pension plan for the prior calendar year. The information provided in the Reports is intended strictly for documenting: 1) pension cost for the fiscal year; and 2) information relating to Company, and plan disclosure and reporting requirements.
Q. 8 Is the actuarial valuation conducted in accordance with established standards and requirements?
A. 8 Yes. The valuation completed each year is conducted in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices, including the applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice as issued by the Actuarial Standards Board. The valuation results are also based on Aon's understanding of the financial accounting and reporting requirements under U.S Generally Accepted

Accounting Principles as set forth in Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 715 (ASC 715), including any guidance or interpretation provided by the Company and reviewed by its auditors prior to the issuance of this report.
Q. 9 How are the Reports relevant to the instant docket?
A. 9 The Reports provide the reasonably incurred pension expense that is used to develop the 3-year average expense amount ${ }^{1}$ proposed by the Company for cost recovery, as further discussed by Company witness, Randi Cunningham.

## III. DETERMINATION OF THE PENSION EXPENSE

## Q. 10 How is pension expense determined?

A. 10 Generally speaking, pension expense is determined by evaluating two key variables - plan liabilities and plan assets. Plan liabilities are driven by plan design, plan demographics, and actuarial assumptions. The market-related value of plan assets is used to determine the component of net periodic pension cost that reflects the expected return on plan assets. This process, including the relevance of each of these variables is discussed in detail in the Reports provided in Exhibit Nos.__(LM-1) through (LM-3).

## Q. 11 Please describe the discount rate.

A. 11 One of the key actuarial assumptions within the plan liabilities variable is the discount rate. The pension discount rate is used to determine the present value of future benefits anticipated to be paid from the plan. ASC 715 requires the discount rate to be updated each year to reflect yields on high-quality, corporate bonds as of the measurement date.

[^31]ASC 715-30-35-43 requires the discount rate to reflect rates at which the pension obligation could be effectively settled. In the estimation of those rates, it would be appropriate for a company to use information about rates implicit in current prices of annuity contracts that could be used to settle the obligation. Alternatively, a company may look to rates of return on high-quality fixed-income investments that are currently available and expected to be available during the plan's pension benefit payment period to maturity.

## Q. 12 How is the discount rate determined?

A. 12 As the actuary for the Company's pension plan, Aon determines the appropriate pension discount rate for the plan using one of its proprietary yield curves. Aon publishes three standard yield curves - the "AA-AAA Bond Universe Curve"; the "AA Only Bond Universe Curve; and the "AA Above Median Yield Curve". The derivation of these curves is transparent as Aon provides detailed documentation for each of the curves it publishes and makes a full listing of the bonds included available upon request. Southwest Gas selected the "AA Above Median Yield Curve" (Curve), to derive the pension expense for Southwest Gas. The Curve is based on above median yielding AA-rated bonds which produces a higher discount rate (i.e., lower pension liability and expense) than yield curves based on the full universe of AA and AAA-rated bonds. Had Southwest Gas selected either of the other two curves, they would have produced lower discount rates and higher pension expense. The Curve is updated each measurement date and is widely used across Aon's client base - it is not unique to Southwest Gas. In fact, it is used by over 59\% of Aon's clients based on its most recent client survey (data from 214 clients as of fiscal year-end 2022).
Q. 13 Does Southwest Gas influence the result yielded from the Curve?
A. 13 No. The Curve is proprietary to Aon and no client, including Southwest Gas, has the ability to influence or alter the result.
Q. 14 Does Aon round the results generated from the Curve?
A. 14 Yes. We simply take the unrounded result from the Curve and round to the nearest 25 basis points so as not to imply an overly precise result. This rounding does not have a material impact on pension liabilities or expense. Southwest Gas has consistently used similar rounding methodology and follows the requirements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board and the SEC for discounting pension and other postretirement obligations. Based on its most recent client survey, approximately $37 \%$ of Aon clients also round the yield curve result.
Q. 15 Do accounting standards require that the discount rate methodology be applied consistently?
A. 15 Yes. While different methodologies may be acceptable, accounting standards (namely, ASC 715) require that the discount rate methodology (including the yield curve used) be applied consistently year after year, unless the plan's circumstances have materially changed such that the methodology no longer provides management's best estimate.
Q. 16 Is the overall approach and methodology used to determine the 2023 pension expense consistent with the approach taken since at least 2018 ?
A. 16 Yes. There have been no circumstances under the plan that have materially changed that would support a change in methodology. Therefore, both the discount rate methodology and the curve used to derive the pension expense in

2023 are consistent with what was used to derive the pension expense since at least 2018.
Q. 17 Do the accounting standards allow companies to manipulate the level of pension expense?
A. 17 No. Pension accounting is highly regulated. The standards and rules governing pension accounting, along with the scrutiny from external auditors and the actuarial standards of practice governing the valuation performed by an external actuary, do not allow a company to manipulate pension expense.
IV. REASONABLENESS OF THE DISCOUNT RATES USED TO DETERMINE SOUTHWEST GAS' PENSION EXPENSE
Q. 18 Are the discount rates that have been used in determining Southwest Gas' pension expense since 2021 reasonable ${ }^{2}$ ?
A. 18 Yes. The Curve is based on above median yielding AA-rated bonds which are representative of the bonds that Southwest Gas would use to settle its pension obligations. The chart below shows a comparison of the discount rate yielded from the Curve used to derive the Southwest Gas pension expense (solid line) and the Merrill Lynch Corp AA-AAA Index (15+) curve. This demonstrates that the year-to-year changes in the Southwest Gas discount rate have matched

[^32] Nevada) at Certification in its last fully-litigated general rate case filing (Docket No. 20-02023), which was based on the 2018, 2019, 2020 3-year average.
overall movements in the high-quality bond market.

Q. 19 Why is it appropriate for Southwest Gas to use the Curve rather than an index such as the Merrill Lynch Corp AA-AAA Index (15+) curve?

As I previously indicated, Southwest Gas' selection of the discount rate based on the Curve is a conservative and reasonable approach because the Curve is based on above median yielding AA-rated bonds, it produces a higher discount rate (i.e., lower pension liability and expense). Further, using a yield curve approach is preferable to an index because the yield curve methodology reflects the anticipated cash flows for Southwest Gas' pension plan.
Q. 20 What was Southwest Gas' annual pension expense for 2021 through 2023?
A. 20 The Company's net periodic pension expense, along with the discount rates used to derive the expense, are shown in the table below.

Table 1 Southwest Gas Pension Expense Years 2021 Through 2023

| As of 12/31 <br> Measurement <br> Date | Pension <br> Expense <br> Year | Aon Above <br> Median Yield <br> Curve Result | Actual <br> Discount <br> Rate Used | Net Periodic <br> Pension <br> Expense |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2020 | 2021 |  |  |  |
| 2021 | 2022 | $2.81 \%$ | $2.75 \%$ | $\$ 51,194,227$ |
| 2022 | 2023 | $5.25 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $\$ 41,671,514$ |
|  |  | $5.25 \%$ | $\$ 1,278,532$ |  |

Q. 21 What is your conclusion regarding the Company's proposed recovery of pension expense in this proceeding?
A. 21 The annual pension expense reflected in the Reports for years 2021-2023 and summarized in the table above are reasonable and are appropriately used to calculate the 3-year average pension expense discussed in the prepared direct testimony of Company witness, Randi L. Cunningham. These amounts were derived by Aon using the objective and consistently applied methodology described above and reflect appropriate discount rates that comply with the requirements of ASC 715.
Q. 22 Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?
A. 22 Yes.

## SUMMARY OF QUALIFICATIONS LISA MCRAE

I have over 33 years of experience performing actuarial valuations and consulting with clients on pension-related topics including assumption setting, plan design strategy, financial modeling and pension risk. I am a Fellow of the Society of Actuaries and an Enrolled Actuary. I am a Senior Partner at Aon where I lead Aon's West Region retirement practice. In my role, I lead several client relationships, including Southwest Gas.

I have served as the Enrolled Actuary for the Southwest Gas Retirement Plan since 2010.

I graduated magna cum laude and Phi Beta Kappa from St. Olaf College with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Mathematics and Economics. I joined Aon in 1990.


## 2020 ASC 715 Disclosure

Southwest Gas Corporation
Retirement Plan for Employees of Southwest Gas Corporation
2021 Pension Cost and 2020 Year-end Disclosure
February 2021

## Introduction

This report documents the results of the December 31, 2020 actuarial valuation of the pension plan for Southwest Gas Corporation. The information provided in this report is intended strictly for documenting:

- Pension cost for the 2020 fiscal year
- Information relating to company and plan disclosure and reporting requirements

Determinations for purposes other than the financial accounting requirements may be significantly different from the results in this report. Thus, the use of this report for purposes other than those expressed here may not be appropriate.

This valuation has been conducted in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices, including the applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice as issued by the Actuarial Standards Board. In addition, the valuation results are based on our understanding of the financial accounting and reporting requirements under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles as set forth in Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 715, including any guidance or interpretations provided by Southwest Gas and reviewed by its auditors prior to the issuance of this report. The information in this report is not intended to supersede or supplant the advice and interpretations of Southwest Gas Corporation's auditors.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due (but not limited to) to such factors as the following:

- Plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions
- Changes in actuarial methods or in economic or demographic assumptions
- Increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status)
- Changes in plan provisions or applicable law

Due to the limited scope of our assignment, we did not perform an analysis of the potential range of such future measurements.

Funded status measurements shown in this report are determined based on various measures of plan assets and liabilities. For company and plan disclosure and reporting purposes, funded status is determined using plan assets measured at market value. Plan liabilities are measured based on the interest rates and other assumptions summarized in the Actuarial Assumptions and Methods section of this report.

These funded status measurements may not be appropriate for assessing the sufficiency of plan assets to cover the estimated cost of settling the plan's benefit obligations, and funded status measurements for company and plan disclosure and reporting purposes may not be appropriate for assessing the need for or the amount of future contributions.

In determining information relating to plan disclosure and reporting requirements, Anon may be assisting the appropriate plan fiduciary as it performs tasks that are required for the administration of an employee benefit plan. Anon also may be consulting with the employer/plan sponsor Southwest Gas as it considers alternative strategies for funding the plan, or as it evaluates information relating to employer reporting requirements. Thus, Aon potentially will be providing assistance to Southwest Gas (and/or certain of its employees) acting in a fiduciary capacity (for the benefit of plan participants and beneficiaries) and to Southwest Gas (and/or its executives) acting in a settlor capacity (for the benefit of the employer sponsoring the Retirement Plan for the Southwest Gas Corporation).

In conducting the valuation, we have relied on personnel, plan design, and asset information supplied by Southwest Gas as of the valuation date. While we cannot verify the accuracy of all the information, the supplied information was reviewed for consistency and reasonableness. As a result of this review, we have no reason to doubt the substantial accuracy or completeness of the information and believe that it has produced appropriate results.

The actuarial assumptions and methods used in this valuation are described in the Actuarial Assumptions and Methods section of this report. Each significant assumption used in this actuarial valuation represents, in our opinion, a reasonable expectation of anticipated experience under the plan.

The undersigned are familiar with the near-term and long-term aspects of pension valuations and collectively meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries necessary to render the actuarial opinions contained herein. The information provided in this report is dependent upon various factors as documented throughout this report, which may be subject to change. Each section of this report is considered to be an integral part of the actuarial opinions.

To our knowledge, no colleague of Aon providing services to Southwest Gas has any material direct or indirect financial interest in Southwest Gas. Thus, we believe there is no relationship existing that might affect our capacity to prepare and certify this actuarial report for Southwest Gas.


Lisa A. McRae, FSA, EA
Anon
+949.823.7207
lisa.mcrae@aon.com
February 2021


John S. Nelson, ASA
Anon
+949.823.7616
steve.nelson@aon.com
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## Southwest Gas Corporation Retirement Plan <br> Proprietary and Confidential

ASC 715 Pension Cost/(Income)

|  | 2020 |  |  | 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current service cost | \$ | 34,298,967 | \$ | 41,158,965 |
| Interest cost |  | 45,555,186 |  | 40,431,566 |
| Expected asset return |  | $(65,296,459)$ |  | $(72,351,521)$ |
| Amortization of: |  |  |  |  |
| Unrecognized transition obligation/(asset) |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Unrecognized prior service cost |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Unrecognized net loss/(gain) |  | 36,025,290 |  | 41,955,217 |
| Net periodic pension cost | \$ | 50,582,984 | \$ | 51,194,227 |
| Expected benefit payments | \$ | 56,000,000 | \$ | 58,000,000 |
| Expected contributions | \$ | 102,000,000 | \$ | 102,000,000 |
| Key assumptions: |  |  |  |  |
| Discount rate |  | 3.50\% |  | 2.75\% |
| Expected rate of return on plan assets |  | 6.75\% |  | 6.50\% |
| Amortization period for unrecognized net loss/(gain) |  | 10.73 |  | 10.60 |

## Market-Related Value of Assets

The market-related value of assets is used to determine the component of net periodic pension cost that reflects the expected return on plan assets. This value can be either fair market value or a smoothed value that recognizes unexpected changes in fair value over a period not exceeding five years. The following presents the development of the plan's market-related value of assets as January 1, 2021.

Development of Market Related Value of Assets
(1) Market value of assets, January 1, 2021

Four-fifths of 2020 gain/(loss) of \$99,775,702
Three-fifths of 2019 gain/(loss) of $\$ 125,857,896$
Two-fifths of 2018 gain/(loss) of $\$(126,524,363)$
One-fifth of 2017 gain/(loss) of $\$ 88,867,570$
(2) Total

Market related value of assets, January 1, 2021, (1) - (2)
\$ 1,186,432,726
79,820,562
75,514,738
(50,609,745)
17,773,514

122,499,069
\$ 1,063,933,657
\$ 974,993,048
$(55,632,483)$
Employer contributions during 2020
Expected return to December 31, 2020 at 6.75\%
Expected market value, January 1, 2021
Actual market value, January 1, 2021
Asset gain/(loss) during 2020

102,000,000
65,296,459
\$ 1,086,657,024
1,186,432,726
\$ 99,775,702

## ASC 715 Disclosure

|  | 2019 |  | 2020 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change in Projected Benefit Obligation (PBO) |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ | 1,116,015,541 | \$ | 1,329,576,732 |
| Service cost |  | 25,863,464 |  | 34,298,967 |
| Interest cost |  | 49,005,699 |  | 45,555,186 |
| Plan amendments |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Special termination benefits |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Curtailment gain |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Actuarial (gain)/loss |  | 192,415,545 |  | 145,440,378 |
| Benefits paid |  | $(53,723,517)$ |  | $(55,632,483)$ |
| Benefit obligation at end of year | \$ | 1,329,576,732 | \$ | 1,499,238,780 |
| Accumulated Benefit Obligation, End of Year | \$ | 1,219,988,651 | \$ | 1,367,179,064 |
| Change in Plan Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | \$ | 790,615,129 | \$ | 974,993,048 |
| Actual return on plan assets |  | 186,101,436 |  | 165,072,161 |
| Company contributions |  | 52,000,000 |  | 102,000,000 |
| Benefits paid |  | $(53,723,517)$ |  | $(55,632,483)$ |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | \$ | 974,993,048 | \$ | 1,186,432,726 |
| Funded Status | \$ | $(354,583,684)$ | \$ | $(312,806,054)$ |
| Amounts Recognized in the Statement of Financial Position |  |  |  |  |
| Noncurrent assets | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| Current liabilities |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Noncurrent liabilities |  | $(354,583,684)$ |  | $(312,806,054)$ |
| Net pension asset/(liability) at year-end | \$ | $(354,583,684)$ | \$ | $(312,806,054)$ |
| Amounts Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) |  |  |  |  |
| Net actuarial loss/(gain) | \$ | 462,510,721 | \$ | 472,150,107 |
| Prior service cost/(credit) |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Net transition obligation/(asset) |  | 0 |  | 0 |
|  | \$ | 462,510,721 | \$ | 472,150,107 |
| Weighted-Average Assumptions as of December 31 |  |  |  |  |
| Discount rate |  | 3.50\% |  | 2.75\% |
| Rate of compensation increase |  | 3.25\% |  | 3.00\% |

## ASC 715 Disclosure

|  | 2019 |  |  | 2020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Components of Net Periodic Pension Cost |  |  |  |  |
| Service cost | \$ | 25,863,464 | \$ | 34,298,967 |
| Interest cost |  | 49,005,699 |  | 45,555,186 |
| Expected return on plan assets |  | $(60,243,540)$ |  | $(65,296,459)$ |
| Amortization of: |  |  |  |  |
| Unrecognized net (gain)/loss |  | 22,355,945 |  | 36,025,290 |
| Unrecognized prior service cost |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Unrecognized net (asset)/obligation |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Net periodic pension cost | \$ | 36,981,568 | \$ | 50,582,984 |
| Special termination benefits |  |  |  |  |
| Curtailment (gain)/charge |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Total net periodic pension cost | \$ | 36,981,568 | \$ | 50,582,984 |
| Other Changes in Plan Assets and PBO Recognized in AOCI |  |  |  |  |
| Net actuarial loss/(gain) | \$ | 66,557,649 | \$ | 45,664,676 |
| Amortization of net actuarial (loss)/gain |  | $(22,355,945)$ |  | $(36,025,290)$ |
| Prior service cost/(credit) |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Amortization of prior service cost |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Amortization of net transition obligation |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Total recognized in AOCl | \$ | 44,201,704 | \$ | 9,639,386 |
| Total recognized in net periodic pension cost and AOCI | \$ | 81,183,272 | \$ | 60,222,370 |
| Weighted-Average Assumptions Used to Determine Net Periodic Pension |  |  |  |  |
| Cost for Year Ended December 31 |  |  |  |  |
| Discount rate (pension cost) |  | 4.50\% |  | 3.50\% |
| Expected rate of return on plan assets |  | 7.00\% |  | 6.75\% |
| Rate of compensation increase |  | 3.25\% |  | 3.25\% |
| Estimated Future Benefit Payments |  |  |  | nsion Benefits |
| 2021 |  |  | \$ | 58,000,000 |
| 2022 |  |  | \$ | 60,000,000 |
| 2023 |  |  | \$ | 61,000,000 |
| 2024 |  |  | \$ | 62,000,000 |
| 2025 |  |  | \$ | 63,000,000 |
| Years 2026-2030 |  |  | \$ | 339,000,000 |

## Participant Data

The actuarial valuation was based on personnel information from Southwest Gas records as of August 1, 2020. Following are some of the pertinent characteristics from the personnel data as of that date. Prior year characteristics are also provided for comparison purposes. Both age and service have been determined using years and months as of the valuation date.

August 1, 2019
August 1, 2020

## Active Participants

| Number | 2,281 | 2,257 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Average present age | 44.1 | 44.3 |
| Average service since hire | 12.0 | 12.3 |
| Average | $\$ 93.139$ | $\$ 94217$ |

## Disabled Participants

Number $\quad 40$ 33
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Average age } & 57.4 & 58.3\end{array}$
Deferred Vested Participants
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Number } & 316 & 329\end{array}$
Average age $\quad 50.8 \quad 50.7$
Average monthly benefit \$ 936 \$ 918

| Retired Participants |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| $\quad$ Number | 2,064 | 2,103 |
| Average age | 69.5 | 69.9 |
| Average monthly benefit | $\$ 2,439$ | $\$ 2,486$ |

## Plan Provisions

## Effective Date <br> Plan Participation <br> Normal Retirement <br> Eligibility <br> Benefit Amount <br> Early Retirement

Eligibility
Benefit Amount

This summary reflects the plan document amended and restated effective January 1, 2016 and the fifth amendment signed April 2, 2019.

Employees participate on the first of the month following date of hire.

Age 65 and five years of vesting service.
An annual benefit amount equal to $1.75 \%$ of final average pay times benefit service up to 30 years.

Age 55 and ten years of vesting service.
Early retirement benefits are determined by reducing the normal retirement benefit as follows:
(a) No reduction for employees who retire on or after age 60.
(b) No reduction applies for employees who retire with 85 points (i.e., age plus benefit service).
(c) The following reductions apply for employees who retire prior to age 60 with at least 20 years of benefit service but less than 85 points:

| Retirement <br> Age | Early Retirement <br> Factor |
| :--- | ---: |
| 59 | 0.97 |
| 58 | 0.94 |
| 57 | 0.91 |
| 56 | 0.88 |
| 55 | 0.85 |

(d) For all early retirement eligible participants who do not satisfy (a), (b) or (c), the following reductions apply:

| Retirement <br> Age | EarlyRetirement <br> Factor <br> 59 <br> 58$\quad 0.95$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 57 | 0.90 |
| 56 | 0.85 |
| 55 | 0.80 |
|  | 0.75 |


| Disability Retirement Eligibility | Vested and total and permanent disability. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Benefit Amount | Normal or early retirement benefits described above commence as of the date long-term disability benefits cease. Benefit service accrues during the period of disability prior to commencement, and the benefit is calculated based on final average pay at time of disability. Benefits commence at age 65 or upon election to begin early retirement. |
| Deferred Vested Termination Eligibility | Five years of vesting service. |
| Benefit Amount | Accrued normal retirement benefit payable at age 65 or as early as age 55 on an actuarially reduced basis. |
| Preretirement Survivor Annuity Eligibility | Vested participants married one year or more. |
| Benefit Amount | A survivor benefit equal to $50 \%$ of the normal retirement benefit reduced for early commencement and $50 \%$ joint and survivor form of benefit is paid to the spouse of the participant. |
| Benefit <br> Commencement Date | Later of the first of the month following the participant's date of death or the first of the month following the date the participant would have attained age 55 . |
| Final Average Pay | Average annual compensation during the five highest-paid consecutive calendar years of the employee's last ten years of vesting service. |
| Compensation | Calendar year compensation from the Company, including base pay, overtime, sales incentive payments, and amounts deferred by salary reduction pursuant to Code Sections 401(k) and 125, but excluding incentive pay, commissions, car allowances, amounts deferred into non-qualified deferred compensation plans, flexible benefit dollars, moving expenses, paid-out vacation and nonrecurring payments such as (but not limited to) bonuses and performance awards. |
| Benefit Service | One year for each plan year with 1,000 hours of service. Fractional service is accrued in the year of hire and year of termination. |
| Vesting Service | One year for each plan year with 1,000 hours of service. |
| Unreduced Form of Payment | Life annuity. |


| Normal Form of Payment <br> Married | $50 \%$ joint and survivor annuity. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Unmarried | Life annuity. |
| Optional Forms of Payment | 5-year certain and life annuity, age 62 or 65 level income <br> annuity, and large amount lump sum (TEP transferred <br> employees only). |
| Lump Sums | Lump sums of up to $\$ 5,000$. Lump sums of $\$ 1,000$ or less are <br> paid automatically. |
| Actuarial Equivalence | 6.5\% interest and the RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality <br> Table weighted $50 \%$ for males and $50 \%$ for females. |
| Leneral | IRC section $417(e)$ mortality table for the applicable year and <br> interest based on the 3 -segment curve. |

## Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

For ASC 715 Requirements
Measurement Date
Actuarial Method
Market Related Value of Assets

Discount Rate
Expected Return on Assets
Salary Increases

Mortality Rates
Healthy Lives

Disabled Lives

## Termination Rates

December 31, 2020.
Projected unit credit.
Market value adjusted to smooth asset gains or losses.
Smoothing is done by reflecting gains or losses $20 \%$ per year until fully recognized.
$2.75 \%$ at December 31, 2020.
$6.50 \%$ for 2021 expense.
Sample pay increases are shown below.

| Age | Rate |
| :--- | ---: |
| 30 | $3.75 \%$ |
| 40 | $3.25 \%$ |
| 50 | $2.75 \%$ |
| 60 | $2.25 \%$ |

Pri-2012 Private Retirement Plan Mortality Table for Employees and Healthy Annuitants without collar adjustments, and with fully generational mortality projection using the Mortality Improvement Scale MP-2020 for 2020 year-end.

Pri-2012 Private Retirement Plan Mortality Table for Disabled Retirees without collar adjustments, and with fully generational mortality projection using the Mortality Improvement Scale MP2020 for 2020 year-end.

Sample termination rates are as follows:

|  | Rate by Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5 +}$ |  |
| 30 | $11.4 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ |  |
| 40 | $11.4 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |  |
| 50 | $\mathbf{1 1 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 7 \%}$ | $9.9 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |  |

Disability Rates

Retirement Age

## Marital Status

## Maximum Benefit

Maximum Pensionable Pay
Expenses

Sample disability rates are as follows:

| Age | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | $0.03 \%$ | $0.04 \%$ |
| 40 | $0.08 \%$ | $0.13 \%$ |
| 50 | $0.33 \%$ | $0.40 \%$ |
| 60 | $1.15 \%$ | $0.90 \%$ |

Rates of retirement as shown below:

|  | Rate by Service |  |  | Rate by Service |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Age | Under 20 | 20+ | Age | Under 20 | $\mathbf{2 0 +}$ |
| 55 | $3 \%$ | $15 \%$ | 63 | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| 56 | $3 \%$ | $12 \%$ | 64 | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| 57 | $3 \%$ | $12 \%$ | 65 | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| 58 | $3 \%$ | $12 \%$ | 66 | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| 59 | $10 \%$ | $15 \%$ | 67 | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| 60 | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | 68 | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| 61 | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | 69 | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| 62 | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | 70 | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

$85 \%$ of male participants and $65 \%$ of female participants are assumed to be married with wives two years younger than husbands.

As described in IRC 415, \$230,000 for 2020, projected 2.25\% per year.
$\$ 285,000$ for 2020 projected $2.25 \%$ per year.
Expected asset return is net of investment expenses and net of a $0.4 \%$ reduction to reflect administrative expenses paid out of the trust.
Changes in Accounting Assumptions/Methods Since the Prior Year

| Assumption Changes |
| :--- |
| Discount Rate |

Salary Increases
Changed from $3.50 \%$ to $2.75 \%$ as of December 31, 2020
Mortality-Healthy Lives

| Inflation rate reduced $0.25 \%$ for all ages as of December 31, |
| :--- |
| 2020. |


| Changed the fully generational mortality projection using the |
| :--- |
| Mortality Improvement Scale MP-2019 to the Mortality |
| Improvement Scale MP-2020 effective December 31, 2020. |

Mortality-Disabled Lives

| Changed the fully generational mortality projection using the |
| :--- |
| Mortality Improvement Scale MP-2019 to the Mortality |
| Improvement Scale MP-2020 effective December 31, 2020. |

## Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

## Discussion of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

Southwest Gas selected the economic and demographic assumptions and prescribed them for use for purposes of compliance with ASC 715. Aon provided guidance with respect to these assumptions, and it is our belief that the assumptions represent reasonable expectations of anticipated plan experience. The actuarial cost method used is prescribed by ASC 715. While the method used to value assets is prescribed by Southwest Gas, Aon provided guidance with respect to the use of this method, and it is our belief that the method is appropriate for financial accounting purposes.

## Calculation of Normal Costs and Liabilities

The method used to calculate the service cost and projected benefit obligation for determining pension expense is the projected unit credit cost method. Under this method, benefits are estimated at each decrement age by crediting future accruals based on projected pay as applicable. The liability is determined as the present value of the projected benefit based on service at the valuation date. The service cost is the amount of the present value of projected benefits attributable to the valuation year.

## Accounting Information Under ASC 715

Benefit obligations and expense/(income) are calculated under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles as set forth in Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 715.

The accumulated benefit obligation represents the actuarial present value of benefits based on service and pay earned as of the measurement date. The projected benefit obligation represents the actuarial present value of benefits based on service earned through the measurement date reflecting the effect of assumed future pay increases on ultimate benefit amounts.

The service cost represents the actuarial present value of benefits that are attributed to a fiscal year, reflecting the effect of assumed future pay increases. The service cost includes interest to the end of the measurement period at the ASC 715 discount rate.

The net periodic pension expense/(income) is the annual amount to be recognized in the income statement as the cost of pension benefits for this plan for the fiscal year.

Settlement/curtailment expense/(income) is the amount to be recognized in the income statement as the cost of special events such as settlements, curtailments, and the provision of certain termination benefits during a fiscal year.
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## Introduction

This report documents the results of the December 31, 2021 actuarial valuation of the pension plan for Southwest Gas Corporation. The information provided in this report is intended strictly for documenting:

- Pension cost for the 2021 fiscal year
- Information relating to company and plan disclosure and reporting requirements

Determinations for purposes other than the financial accounting requirements may be significantly different from the results in this report. Thus, the use of this report for purposes other than those expressed here may not be appropriate.

This valuation has been conducted in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices, including the applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice as issued by the Actuarial Standards Board. In addition, the valuation results are based on our understanding of the financial accounting and reporting requirements under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles as set forth in Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 715, including any guidance or interpretations provided by Southwest Gas and reviewed by its auditors prior to the issuance of this report. The information in this report is not intended to supersede or supplant the advice and interpretations of Southwest Gas Corporation's auditors.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due (but not limited to) to such factors as the following:

- Plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions
- Changes in actuarial methods or in economic or demographic assumptions
- Increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status)
- Changes in plan provisions or applicable law

Due to the limited scope of our assignment, we did not perform an analysis of the potential range of such future measurements.

Funded status measurements shown in this report are determined based on various measures of plan assets and liabilities. For company and plan disclosure and reporting purposes, funded status is determined using plan assets measured at market value. Plan liabilities are measured based on the interest rates and other assumptions summarized in the Actuarial Assumptions and Methods section of this report.

These funded status measurements may not be appropriate for assessing the sufficiency of plan assets to cover the estimated cost of settling the plan's benefit obligations, and funded status measurements for company and plan disclosure and reporting purposes may not be appropriate for assessing the need for or the amount of future contributions.

Southwest Gas Corporation Retirement Plan<br>Proprietary and Confidential

In determining information relating to plan disclosure and reporting requirements, Hon may be assisting the appropriate plan fiduciary as it performs tasks that are required for the administration of an employee benefit plan. Aon also may be consulting with the employer/plan sponsor Southwest Gas as it considers alternative strategies for funding the plan, or as it evaluates information relating to employer reporting requirements. Thus, Ain potentially will be providing assistance to Southwest Gas (and/or certain of its employees) acting in a fiduciary capacity (for the benefit of plan participants and beneficiaries) and to Southwest Gas (and/or its executives) acting in a settlor capacity (for the benefit of the employer sponsoring the Retirement Plan for the Southwest Gas Corporation).

In conducting the valuation, we have relied on personnel, plan design, and asset information supplied by Southwest Gas as of the valuation date. While we cannot verify the accuracy of all the information, the supplied information was reviewed for consistency and reasonableness. As a result of this review, we have no reason to doubt the substantial accuracy or completeness of the information and believe that it has produced appropriate results.

The actuarial assumptions and methods used in this valuation are described in the Actuarial Assumptions and Methods section of this report. Each significant assumption used in this actuarial valuation represents, in our opinion, a reasonable expectation of anticipated experience under the plan.

The undersigned are familiar with the near-term and long-term aspects of pension valuations and collectively meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries necessary to render the actuarial opinions contained herein. The information provided in this report is dependent upon various factors as documented throughout this report, which may be subject to change. Each section of this report is considered to be an integral part of the actuarial opinions.

To our knowledge, no colleague of Anon providing services to Southwest Gas has any material direct or indirect financial interest in Southwest Gas. Thus, we believe there is no relationship existing that might affect our capacity to prepare and certify this actuarial report for Southwest Gas.


Lisa A. McRae, FSA, EA
Anon
+949.823.7207
lisa.mcrae@aon.com


John S. Nelson, ASA<br>Anon<br>+949.823.7616<br>steve.nelson@aon.com

February 2022
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## ASC 715 Pension Cost/(Income)

|  | 2021 |  |  | 2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current service cost | \$ | 41,158,965 | \$ | 44,110,145 |
| Interest cost |  | 40,431,566 |  | 45,005,914 |
| Expected asset return |  | $(72,351,521)$ |  | $(79,913,104)$ |
| Amortization of: |  |  |  |  |
| Unrecognized transition obligation/(asset) |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Unrecognized prior service cost |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Unrecognized net loss/(gain) |  | 41,955,217 |  | 32,468,559 |
| Net periodic pension cost | \$ | $51,194,227$ | \$ | 41,671,514 |
| Expected benefit payments | \$ | 58,000,000 | \$ | 62,000,000 |
| Expected contributions | \$ | 102,000,000 | \$ | 56,000,000 |
| Key assumptions: |  |  |  |  |
| Discount rate |  | 2.75\% |  | 3.00\% |
| Expected rate of return on plan assets |  | 6.50\% |  | 6.50\% |
| Amortization period for unrecognized net loss/(gain) |  | 10.60 |  | 11.03 |

## Market-Related Value of Assets

The market-related value of assets is used to determine the component of net periodic pension cost that reflects the expected return on plan assets. This value can be either fair market value or a smoothed value that recognizes unexpected changes in fair value over a period not exceeding five years. The following presents the development of the plan's market-related value of assets as January 1, 2022.

## Development of Market Related Value of Assets

(1) Market value of assets, January 1, 2022

Four-fifths of 2021 gain/(loss) of $\$ 63,799,981$
Three-fifths of 2020 gain/(loss) of \$99,775,702
Two-fifths of 2019 gain/(loss) of $\$ 125,857,896$
One-fifth of 2018 gain/(loss) of $\$(126,524,363)$

50,343,158
$(25,304,873)$
\$ 1,366,042,730
51,039,985
59,865,421

Market related value of assets, January 1, 2022, (1) - (2)

## Development of Asset (Gain)/Loss

Market value, January 1, 2021
Benefit payments during 2021
Employer contributions during 2021
Expected return to December 31, 2021 at $6.50 \%$
Expected market value, January 1, 2022
Actual market value, January 1, 2022
Asset gain/(loss) during 2021
(2) Total

135,943,691
\$ 1,230,099,039
\$ 1,186,432,726
$(58,541,498)$
102,000,000
72,351,521
\$ 1,302,242,749
1,366,042,730
\$ 63,799,981

Proprietary and Confidential

## ASC 715 Disclosure

|  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Change in Projected Benefit Obligation (PBO) |  |  |  |  |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ | 1,329,576,732 | \$ | 1,499,238,780 |
| Service cost |  | 34,298,967 |  | 41,158,965 |
| Interest cost |  | 45,555,186 |  | 40,431,566 |
| Plan amendments |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Special termination benefits |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Curtailment gain |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Actuarial (gain)/loss |  | 145,440,378 |  | 8,909,316 |
| Benefits paid |  | $(55,632,483)$ |  | $(58,541,498)$ |
| Benefit obligation at end of year | \$ | 1,499,238,780 | \$ | 1,531,197,129 |
| Accumulated Benefit Obligation, End of Year | \$ | 1,367,179,064 | \$ | 1,395,773,032 |
| Change in Plan Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | \$ | 973,084,082 | \$ | 1,186,432,726 |
| Actual return on plan assets |  | 166,981,127 |  | 136,151,502 |
| Company contributions |  | 102,000,000 |  | 102,000,000 |
| Benefits paid |  | $(55,632,483)$ |  | $(58,541,498)$ |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | \$ | 1,186,432,726 | \$ | 1,366,042,730 |
| Funded Status | \$ | $(312,806,054)$ | \$ | $(165,154,399)$ |
| Amounts Recognized in the Statement of Financial Position |  |  |  |  |
| Noncurrent assets | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| Current liabilities |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Noncurrent liabilities |  | $(312,806,054)$ |  | $(165,154,399)$ |
| Net pension asset/(liability) at year-end | \$ | $(312,806,054)$ | \$ | $(165,154,399)$ |
| Amounts Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI) |  |  |  |  |
| Net actuarial loss/(gain) | \$ | 472,150,107 | \$ | 375,304,225 |
| Prior service cost/(credit) |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Net transition obligation/(asset) |  | 0 |  | 0 |
|  | \$ | 472,150,107 | \$ | 375,304,225 |
| Weighted-Average Assumptions as of December 31 |  |  |  |  |
| Discount rate |  | 2.75\% |  | 3.00\% |
| Rate of compensation increase |  | 3.00\% |  | 3.25\% |


| Components of Net Periodic Pension Cost |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service cost | \$ | 34,298,967 | \$ | 41,158,965 |
| Interest cost |  | 45,555,186 |  | 40,431,566 |
| Expected return on plan assets |  | $(65,296,459)$ |  | (72,351,521) |
| Amortization of: |  |  |  |  |
| Unrecognized net (gain)/loss |  | 36,025,290 |  | 41,955,217 |
| Unrecognized prior service cost |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Unrecognized net (asset)/obligation |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Net periodic pension cost | \$ | 50,582,984 | \$ | 51,194,227 |
| Special termination benefits |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Curtailment (gain)/charge |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Total net periodic pension cost | \$ | 50,582,984 | \$ | 51,194,227 |
| Other Changes in Plan Assets and PBO Recognized in AOCl |  |  |  |  |
| Net actuarial loss/(gain) | \$ | 45,664,676 | \$ | $(54,890,665)$ |
| Amortization of net actuarial (loss)/gain |  | $(36,025,290)$ |  | $(41,955,217)$ |
| Prior service cost/(credit) |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Amortization of prior service cost |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Amortization of net transition obligation |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Total recognized in AOCl | \$ | 9,639,386 | \$ | $(96,845,882)$ |
| Total recognized in net periodic pension cost and AOCl | \$ | 60,222,370 | \$ | $(45,651,655)$ |
| Weighted-Average Assumptions Used to Determine Net Periodic Pension |  |  |  |  |
| Cost for Year Ended December 31 |  |  |  |  |
| Discount rate (pension cost) |  | 3.50\% |  | 2.75\% |
| Expected rate of return on plan assets |  | 6.75\% |  | 6.50\% |
| Rate of compensation increase |  | 3.25\% |  | 3.00\% |
| Estimated Future Benefit Payments |  |  |  | sion Benefits |
| 2022 |  |  | \$ | 62,000,000 |
| 2023 |  |  | \$ | 64,000,000 |
| 2024 |  |  | \$ | 65,000,000 |
| 2025 |  |  | \$ | 67,000,000 |
| 2026 |  |  | \$ | 68,000,000 |
| Years 2027-2031 |  |  | \$ | 365,000,000 |

## Participant Data

The actuarial valuation was based on personnel information from Southwest Gas records as of August 1, 2021. Following are some of the pertinent characteristics from the personnel data as of that date. Prior year characteristics are also provided for comparison purposes. Both age and service have been determined using years and months as of the valuation date.

|  | August 1, 2020 | August 1, 2021 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active Participants |  |  |
| Number | 2,257 | 2,250 |
| Average present age | 44.3 | 44.2 |
| Average service since hire | 12.3 | 12.1 |
| Average compensation | \$ 94,217 | \$ 96,203 |
| Disabled Participants |  |  |
| Number | 33 | 34 |
| Average age | 58.3 | 58.4 |
| Deferred Vested Participants |  |  |
| Number | 329 | 340 |
| Average age | 50.7 | 50.6 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$ 918 | \$ 919 |
| Retired Participants |  |  |
| Number | 2,103 | 2,168 |
| Average age | 69.9 | 70.3 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$ 2,486 | \$ 2,561 |

## Plan Provisions

| Effective Date | This summary reflects the plan document amended and restated effective January 1, 2015 and the seventh amendment signed December 31, 2021. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Plan Participation | Employees hired prior to or on December 31, 2021, participate on the first of the month following date of hire. |
| Normal Retirement |  |
| Benefit Amount | An annual benefit amount equal to $1.75 \%$ of final average pay times benefit service up to 30 years. |
| Early Retirement |  |
| Benefit Amount | Early retirement benefits are determined by reducing the normal retirement benefit as follows: |
|  | (a) No reduction for employees who retire on or after age 60. |
|  | (b) No reduction applies for employees who retire with 85 points (i.e., age plus benefit service). |
|  | (c) The following reductions apply for employees who retire prior to age 60 with at least 20 years of benefit service but less than 85 points: |
|  | Retirement Early Retirement <br> Age Factor |
|  | 59 0.97 |
|  | 58 ( 0.94 |
|  | 57 0.91 |
|  | 56 ( 0.88 |
|  | 55 0.85 |
|  | (d) For all early retirement eligible participants who do not satisfy (a), (b) or (c), the following reductions apply: |
|  |  |
|  | 59 0.95 |
|  | 58 58 0.90 |
|  | 57  <br> 56 0.85 <br> 0.80  |
|  | 55 0.75 |


| Disability Retirement Eligibility | Vested and total and permanent disability. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Benefit Amount | Normal or early retirement benefits described above commence as of the date long-term disability benefits cease. Benefit service accrues during the period of disability prior to commencement, and the benefit is calculated based on final average pay at time of disability. Benefits commence at age 65 or upon election to begin early retirement. |
| Deferred Vested Termination Eligibility | Five years of vesting service. |
| Benefit Amount | Accrued normal retirement benefit payable at age 65 or as early as age 55 on an actuarially reduced basis. |
| Preretirement Survivor Annuity Eligibility | Vested participants married one year or more. |
| Benefit Amount | A survivor benefit equal to $50 \%$ of the normal retirement benefit reduced for early commencement and $50 \%$ joint and survivor form of benefit is paid to the spouse of the participant. |
| Benefit <br> Commencement Date | Later of the first of the month following the participant's date of death or the first of the month following the date the participant would have attained age 55. |
| Final Average Pay | Average annual compensation during the five highest-paid consecutive calendar years of the employee's last ten years of vesting service. |
| Compensation | Calendar year compensation from the Company, including base pay, overtime, sales incentive payments, and amounts deferred by salary reduction pursuant to Code Sections $401(\mathrm{k})$ and 125, but excluding incentive pay, commissions, car allowances, amounts deferred into non-qualified deferred compensation plans, flexible benefit dollars, moving expenses, paid-out vacation and nonrecurring payments such as (but not limited to) bonuses and performance awards. |
| Benefit Service | One year for each plan year with 1,000 hours of service. Fractional service is accrued in the year of hire and year of termination. |
| Vesting Service | One year for each plan year with 1,000 hours of service. |
| Unreduced Form of Payment | Life annuity. |


| Normal Form of Payment <br> Married | $50 \%$ joint and survivor annuity. <br> Unmarried <br> Optional Forms of Payment |
| :--- | :--- |
| Life annuity. <br> 5-year certain and life annuity, age 62 or 65 level income <br> annuity, and large amount lump sum (TEP transferred <br> employees only). |  |
| Lump Sums | Lump sums of up to $\$ 75,000$. Lump sums of $\$ 1,000$ or less are <br> paid automatically. |
| Actuarial Equivalence | $6.5 \%$ interest and the RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality <br> General |
| Table weighted $50 \%$ for males and $50 \%$ for females. |  |
| Lump Sums | IRC section $417(e)$ mortality table for the applicable year and <br> interest based on the 3-segment curve. |

## Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

For ASC 715 Requirements
Measurement Date
Actuarial Method
Market Related Value of Assets

Discount Rate
Expected Return on Assets
Salary Increases

Healthy Lives

Disabled Lives

## Termination Rates

December 31, 2021.
Projected unit credit.
Market value adjusted to smooth asset gains or losses.
Smoothing is done by reflecting gains or losses $20 \%$ per year until fully recognized.
3.00\% at December 31, 2021.
6.50\% for 2022 expense.

Sample pay increases are shown below:

| Age | 2021 Expense | Year-End |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 30 | $3.75 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ |
| 40 | $3.25 \%$ | $3.50 \%$ |
| 50 | $2.75 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ |
| 60 | $2.25 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ |

Pri-2012 Private Retirement Plan Mortality Table for Employees and Healthy Annuitants without collar adjustments, and with fully generational mortality projection using the Mortality Improvement Scale MP-2021 for 2021 year-end.

Pri-2012 Private Retirement Plan Mortality Table for Disabled Retirees without collar adjustments, and with fully generational mortality projection using the Mortality Improvement Scale MP2021 for 2021 year-end.

Sample termination rates for 2021 expense are as follows:

|  | Rate by Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Age | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5 +}$ |  |
| 30 | $11.4 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ |  |
| 40 | $11.4 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |  |
| 50 | $11.4 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |  |

Sample termination rates for year-end are as follows:
Rate by Years of Service

| Age | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5 +}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 30 | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| 40 | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| 50 | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |

Disability Rates

Retirement Age

Marital Status

Maximum Benefit

Maximum Pensionable Pay
Expenses

Sample disability rates are as follows:

| Age | Male | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30 | $0.03 \%$ | $0.04 \%$ |
| 40 | $0.08 \%$ | $0.13 \%$ |
| 50 | $0.33 \%$ | $0.40 \%$ |
| 60 | $1.15 \%$ | $0.90 \%$ |

Rates of retirement as shown below based on age and service:

| Age | 2021 Expense |  | Year-End |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Under 20 | 20+ | Under 20 | 20+ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $<85 \mathrm{pts}$ | 85+ pts |
| 55 | 3\% | 15\% | 4\% | 10\% | 30\% |
| 56 | 3\% | 12\% | 4\% | 10\% | 30\% |
| 57 | 3\% | 12\% | 4\% | 10\% | 30\% |
| 58 | 3\% | 12\% | 4\% | 20\% | 30\% |
| 59 | 10\% | 15\% | 15\% | 20\% | 30\% |
| 60 | 15\% | 15\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% |
| 61 | 15\% | 15\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% |
| 62 | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |
| 63 | 20\% | 20\% | 15\% | 15\% | 15\% |
| 64 | 40\% | 40\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |
| 65 | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |
| 66 | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% | 40\% |
| 67 | 40\% | 40\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% |
| 68 | 40\% | 40\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% |
| 69 | 40\% | 40\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% |
| 70+ | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |

$85 \%$ of male participants and $65 \%$ of female participants are assumed to be married with wives two years younger than husbands.

As described in IRC 415, \$230,000 for 2021, projected 2.25\% per year.
$\$ 290,000$ for 2021 projected $2.25 \%$ per year.
Expected asset return is net of investment expenses and net of a $0.4 \%$ reduction to reflect administrative expenses paid out of the trust.
Changes in Accounting Assumptions/Methods Since the Prior Year

| Assumption Changes |
| :--- |
| Discount Rate |


| Salary Increases | Changed from $2.75 \%$ to $3.00 \%$ as of December 31, 2021 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mortality-Healthy Lives | Changed for year-end as noted above. |
| Changed the fully generational mortality projection using the |  |
| Mortality Improvement Scale MP-2020 to the Mortality |  |
| Improvement Scale MP-2021 effective December 31, 2021. |  |

Mortality-Disabled Lives

| Changed the fully generational mortality projection using the |
| :--- |
| Mortality Improvement Scale MP-2020 to the Mortality |
| Improvement Scale MP-2021 effective December 31, 2021. |

Termination Rates
Retirement Age

## Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

## Discussion of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

Southwest Gas selected the economic and demographic assumptions and prescribed them for use for purposes of compliance with ASC 715. Aon provided guidance with respect to these assumptions, and it is our belief that the assumptions represent reasonable expectations of anticipated plan experience. The actuarial cost method used is prescribed by ASC 715. While the method used to value assets is prescribed by Southwest Gas, Aon provided guidance with respect to the use of this method, and it is our belief that the method is appropriate for financial accounting purposes.

## Calculation of Normal Costs and Liabilities

The method used to calculate the service cost and projected benefit obligation for determining pension expense is the projected unit credit cost method. Under this method, benefits are estimated at each decrement age by crediting future accruals based on projected pay as applicable. The liability is determined as the present value of the projected benefit based on service at the valuation date. The service cost is the amount of the present value of projected benefits attributable to the valuation year.

## Accounting Information Under ASC 715

Benefit obligations and expense/(income) are calculated under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles as set forth in Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 715.

The accumulated benefit obligation represents the actuarial present value of benefits based on service and pay earned as of the measurement date. The projected benefit obligation represents the actuarial present value of benefits based on service earned through the measurement date reflecting the effect of assumed future pay increases on ultimate benefit amounts.

The service cost represents the actuarial present value of benefits that are attributed to a fiscal year, reflecting the effect of assumed future pay increases. The service cost includes interest to the end of the measurement period at the ASC 715 discount rate.

The net periodic pension expense/(income) is the annual amount to be recognized in the income statement as the cost of pension benefits for this plan for the fiscal year.

Settlement/curtailment expense/(income) is the amount to be recognized in the income statement as the cost of special events such as settlements, curtailments, and the provision of certain termination benefits during a fiscal year.
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## Introduction

This report documents the results of the December 31, 2022 actuarial valuation of the pension plan for Southwest Gas Corporation. The information provided in this report is intended strictly for documenting:

- Pension cost for the 2022 fiscal year
- Information relating to company and plan disclosure and reporting requirements

Determinations for purposes other than the financial accounting requirements may be significantly different from the results in this report. Thus, the use of this report for purposes other than those expressed here may not be appropriate.

This valuation has been conducted in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices, including the applicable Actuarial Standards of Practice as issued by the Actuarial Standards Board. In addition, the valuation results are based on our understanding of the financial accounting and reporting requirements under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles as set forth in Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 715, including any guidance or interpretations provided by Southwest Gas and reviewed by its auditors prior to the issuance of this report. The information in this report is not intended to supersede or supplant the advice and interpretations of Southwest Gas Corporation's auditors.

Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due (but not limited to) to such factors as the following:

- Plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions
- Changes in actuarial methods or in economic or demographic assumptions
- Increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period or additional cost or contribution requirements based on the plan's funded status)
- Changes in plan provisions or applicable law

Due to the limited scope of our assignment, we did not perform an analysis of the potential range of such future measurements.

Funded status measurements shown in this report are determined based on various measures of plan assets and liabilities. For company and plan disclosure and reporting purposes, funded status is determined using plan assets measured at market value. Plan liabilities are measured based on the interest rates and other assumptions summarized in the Actuarial Assumptions and Methods section of this report.

These funded status measurements may not be appropriate for assessing the sufficiency of plan assets to cover the estimated cost of settling the plan's benefit obligations, and funded status measurements for company and plan disclosure and reporting purposes may not be appropriate for assessing the need for or the amount of future contributions.

In determining information relating to plan disclosure and reporting requirements, Aon may be assisting the appropriate plan fiduciary as it performs tasks that are required for the administration of an employee benefit plan. Aon also may be consulting with the employer/plan sponsor Southwest Gas as it considers alternative strategies for funding the plan, or as it evaluates information relating to employer reporting requirements. Thus, Aon potentially will be providing assistance to Southwest Gas (and/or certain of its employees) acting in a fiduciary capacity (for the benefit of plan participants and beneficiaries) and to Southwest Gas (and/or its executives) acting in a settlor capacity (for the benefit of the employer sponsoring the Retirement Plan for the Southwest Gas Corporation).

In conducting the valuation, we have relied on personnel, plan design, and asset information supplied by Southwest Gas as of the valuation date. While we cannot verify the accuracy of all the information, the supplied information was reviewed for consistency and reasonableness. As a result of this review, we have no reason to doubt the substantial accuracy or completeness of the information and believe that it has produced appropriate results.

The actuarial assumptions and methods used in this valuation are described in the Actuarial Assumptions and Methods section of this report. Each significant assumption used in this actuarial valuation represents, in our opinion, a reasonable expectation of anticipated experience under the plan.

The undersigned are familiar with the near-term and long-term aspects of pension valuations and collectively meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries necessary to render the actuarial opinions contained herein. The information provided in this report is dependent upon various factors as documented throughout this report, which may be subject to change. Each section of this report is considered to be an integral part of the actuarial opinions.

To our knowledge, no colleague of Aol providing services to Southwest Gas has any material direct or indirect financial interest in Southwest Gas. Thus, we believe there is no relationship existing that might affect our capacity to prepare and certify this actuarial report for Southwest Gas.


Lisa A. McRae, FSA, EA
Anon
+949.823.7207
lisa.mcrae@aon.com


John S. Nelson, ASA
Anon
+949.823.7616
steve.nelson@aon.com

February 2023
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## ASC 715 Pension Cost/(Income)

|  | 2022 |  |  | 2023 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current service cost | \$ | 44,110,145 | \$ | 25,839,823 |
| Interest cost |  | 45,005,914 |  | 59,164,909 |
| Expected asset return |  | $(79,913,104)$ |  | (84,061,670) |
| Amortization of: |  |  |  |  |
| Unrecognized transition obligation/(asset) |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Unrecognized prior service cost |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Unrecognized net loss/(gain) |  | 32,468,559 |  | 335,470 |
| Net periodic pension cost | \$ | 41,671,514 | \$ | 1,278,532 |
| Expected benefit payments | \$ | 62,000,000 | \$ | 65,000,000 |
| Expected contributions | \$ | 56,000,000 | \$ | 56,000,000 |
| Key assumptions: |  |  |  |  |
| Discount rate |  | 3.00\% |  | 5.25\% |
| Expected rate of return on plan assets |  | 6.50\% |  | 6.75\% |
| Amortization period for unrecognized net loss/(gain) |  | 11.03 |  | 10.96 |

## Market-Related Value of Assets

The market-related value of assets is used to determine the component of net periodic pension cost that reflects the expected return on plan assets. This value can be either fair market value or a smoothed value that recognizes unexpected changes in fair value over a period not exceeding five years. The following presents the development of the plan's market-related value of assets as January 1, 2023.

## Development of Market Related Value of Assets

(1) Market value of assets, January 1, 2023

Four-fifths of 2022 gain/(loss) of $(\$ 410,115,655)$
Three-fifths of 2021 gain/(loss) of \$63,799,981
Two-fifths of 2020 gain/(loss) of $\$ 99,775,702$
One-fifth of 2019 gain/(loss) of $\$ 125,857,896$
(2) Total

Market related value of assets, January 1, 2023, (1) - (2)
\$ 1,030,044,059
$(328,092,524)$
38,279,989
39,910,281
$25,171,579$

## Development of Asset (Gain)/Loss

Market value, January 1, 2022
Benefit payments during 2022
Employer contributions during 2022
Expected return to December 31, 2022 at 6.50\%
Expected market value, January 1, 2023

Actual market value, January 1, 2023
Asset gain/(loss) during 2022
\$ 1,366,042,730
$(61,796,120)$
$56,000,000$
79,913,104
\$ 1,440,159,714
$1,030,044,059$
\$ $(410,115,655)$

## ASC 715 Disclosure

## Change in Projected Benefit Obligation (PBO)

Benefit obligation at beginning of year
Service cost
Interest cost
Plan amendments
Special termination benefits
Curtailment gain
Actuarial (gain)/loss
Benefits paid
Benefit obligation at end of year
Accumulated Benefit Obligation, End of Year

## Change in Plan Assets

| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | \$ | 1,186,432,726 |  | 1,366,042,730 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual return on plan assets |  | 136,151,502 |  | $(330,202,551)$ |
| Company contributions |  | 102,000,000 |  | 56,000,000 |
| Benefits paid |  | $(58,541,498)$ |  | $(61,796,120)$ |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | \$ | 1,366,042,730 | \$ | 1,030,044,059 |
| Funded Status | \$ | $(165,154,399)$ | \$ | $(129,406,588)$ |
| Amounts Recognized in the Statement of Financial Position |  |  |  |  |
| Noncurrent assets | \$ | 0 | \$ | 0 |
| Current liabilities |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Noncurrent liabilities |  | $(165,154,399)$ |  | $(129,406,588)$ |
| Net pension asset/(liability) at year-end | \$ | $(165,154,399)$ | \$ | $(129,406,588)$ |

Amounts Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (AOCI)

Net actuarial loss/(gain)
Prior service cost/(credit)
Net transition obligation/(asset)


Weighted-Average Assumptions as of December 31

| Discount rate | $3.00 \%$ | $5.25 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rate of compensation increase | $3.25 \%$ | $3.25 \%$ |

3.25\%
$3.25 \%$

## Components of Net Periodic Pension Cost

| Service cost | \$ | 41,158,965 | \$ | 44,110,145 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest cost |  | 40,431,566 |  | 45,005,914 |
| Expected return on plan assets |  | $(72,351,521)$ |  | $(79,913,104)$ |
| Amortization of: |  | 0 |  |  |
| Unrecognized net (gain)/loss |  | 41,955,217 |  | 32,468,559 |
| Unrecognized prior service cost |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Unrecognized net (asset)/obligation |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Net periodic pension cost | \$ | 51,194,227 | \$ | 41,671,514 |
| Special termination benefits |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Curtailment (gain)/charge |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| Total net periodic pension cost | \$ | 51,194,227 | \$ | 41,671,514 |


| Other Changes in Plan Assets and PBO Recognized in AOCl |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net actuarial loss/(gain) | $\$$ | $(54,890,665)$ | $\$$ | $11,049,234$ |
| Amortization of net actuarial (loss)/gain |  | $(41,955,217)$ | $(32,468,559)$ |  |
| Prior service cost/(credit) |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Amortization of prior service cost |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Amortization of net transition obligation | $\$$ | $(96,845,882)$ | $\$$ | $(21,419,325)$ |
| Total recognized in AOCl |  |  | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total recognized in net periodic pension cost and AOCl | $\$$ | $(45,651,655)$ | $\$$ | $20,252,189$ |

## Weighted-Average Assumptions Used to Determine Net Periodic Pension Cost for Year Ended December 31

| Discount rate (pension cost) | $2.75 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Expected rate of return on plan assets | $6.50 \%$ | $6.50 \%$ |
| Rate of compensation increase | $3.00 \%$ | $3.25 \%$ |

## Estimated Future Benefit Payments <br> 2023

2024
2025
2026
2027
Years 2028-2032

| Pension Benefits |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| $\$$ | $65,000,000$ |
| $\$$ | $67,000,000$ |
| $\$$ | $68,000,000$ |
| $\$$ | $69,000,000$ |
| $\$$ | $71,000,000$ |
| $\$$ | $377,000,000$ |

## Participant Data

The actuarial valuation was based on personnel information from Southwest Gas records as of August 1 , 2022. Following are some of the pertinent characteristics from the personnel data as of that date. Prior year characteristics are also provided for comparison purposes. Both age and service have been determined using years and months as of the valuation date.

|  | August 1, 2021 | August 1, 2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active Participants |  |  |
| Number | 2,250 | 2,133 |
| Average present age | 44.2 | 44.3 |
| Average service since hire | 12.1 | 12.3 |
| Average compensation | \$ 96,203 | \$ 98,866 |
| Disabled Participants |  |  |
| Number | 34 | 35 |
| Average age | 58.4 | 57.2 |
| Deferred Vested Participants |  |  |
| Number | 340 | 376 |
| Average age | 50.6 | 49.7 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$ 919 | \$ 1,024 |
| Retired Participants |  |  |
| Number | 2,168 | 2,230 |
| Average age | 70.3 | 70.6 |
| Average monthly benefit | \$ 2,561 | \$ 2,634 |

## Plan Provisions

## Effective Date

## Plan Participation

Normal Retirement
Eligibility
Benefit Amount

Early Retirement
Eligibility
Benefit Amount

This summary reflects the plan document amended and restated effective January 1, 2015 and the eighth amendment signed January 22, 2022.

Employees hired prior to or on December 31, 2021, participate on the first of the month following date of hire.

Age 65 and five years of vesting service.
An annual benefit amount equal to $1.75 \%$ of final average pay times benefit service up to 30 years.

Age 55 and ten years of vesting service.
Early retirement benefits are determined by reducing the normal retirement benefit as follows:
(a) No reduction for employees who retire on or after age 60.
(b) No reduction applies for employees who retire with 85 points (i.e., age plus benefit service).
(c) The following reductions apply for employees who retire prior to age 60 with at least 20 years of benefit service but less than 85 points:

| Retirement <br> Age | Early Retirement <br> Factor |
| :--- | ---: |
| 59 | 0.97 |
| 58 | 0.94 |
| 57 | 0.91 |
| 56 | 0.88 |
| 55 | 0.85 |

(d) For all early retirement eligible participants who do not satisfy (a), (b) or (c), the following reductions apply:

| Retirement <br> Age | Early Retirement <br> Factor |
| :--- | ---: |
| 59 | 0.95 |
| 58 | 0.90 |
| 57 | 0.85 |
| 56 | 0.80 |
| 55 | 0.75 |


| Disability Retirement Eligibility | Vested and total and permanent disability. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Benefit Amount | Normal or early retirement benefits described above commence as of the date long-term disability benefits cease. Benefit service accrues during the period of disability prior to commencement, and the benefit is calculated based on final average pay at time of disability. Benefits commence at age 65 or upon election to begin early retirement. |
| Deferred Vested Termination Eligibility | Five years of vesting service. |
| Benefit Amount | Accrued normal retirement benefit payable at age 65 or as early as age 55 on an actuarially reduced basis. |
| Preretirement Survivor Annuity Eligibility | Vested participants married one year or more. |
| Benefit Amount | A survivor benefit equal to $50 \%$ of the normal retirement benefit reduced for early commencement and $50 \%$ joint and survivor form of benefit is paid to the spouse of the participant. |
| Benefit <br> Commencement Date | Later of the first of the month following the participant's date of death or the first of the month following the date the participant would have attained age 55. |
| Final Average Pay | Average annual compensation during the five highest-paid consecutive calendar years of the employee's last ten years of vesting service. |
| Compensation | Calendar year compensation from the Company, including base pay, overtime, sales incentive payments, and amounts deferred by salary reduction pursuant to Code Sections 401 (k) and 125, but excluding incentive pay, commissions, car allowances, amounts deferred into non-qualified deferred compensation plans, flexible benefit dollars, moving expenses, paid-out vacation and nonrecurring payments such as (but not limited to) bonuses and performance awards. |
| Benefit Service | One year for each plan year with 1,000 hours of service. Fractional service is accrued in the year of hire and year of termination. |
| Vesting Service | One year for each plan year with 1,000 hours of service. |
| Unreduced Form of Payment | Life annuity. |


| Normal Form of Payment <br> Married | $50 \%$ joint and survivor annuity. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Unmarried | Life annuity. |
| Optional Forms of Payment | 5-year certain and life annuity, age 62 or 65 level income <br> annuity, and large amount lump sum (TEP transferred <br> employees only). |
| Lump Sums | Lump sums of up to $\$ 75,000$. Lump sums of $\$ 1,000$ or less are <br> paid automatically. |
| Actuarial Equivalence <br> General | 6.5\% interest and the RP2000 Combined Healthy Mortality <br> Table weighted $50 \%$ for males and $50 \%$ for females. |
| Lump Sums | IRC section 417(e) mortality table for the applicable year and <br> interest based on the 3 -segment curve. |

## Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

For ASC 715 Requirements Measurement Date
Actuarial Method
Market Related Value of Assets

Discount Rate

Expected Return on Assets
Salary Increases
Mortality Rates
Healthy Lives

Disabled Lives

Termination Rates

## Disability Rates

December 31, 2022.

Projected unit credit.
Market value adjusted to smooth asset gains or losses. Smoothing is done by reflecting gains or losses $20 \%$ per year until fully recognized.
5.25\% at December 31, 2022.
$6.75 \%$ for 2023 expense.
Sample pay increases are shown below:

| Age | Rate |
| :--- | ---: |
| 30 | $4.00 \%$ |
| 40 | $3.50 \%$ |
| 50 | $3.00 \%$ |
| 60 | $2.50 \%$ |

Pri-2012 Private Retirement Plan Mortality Table for Employees and Healthy Annuitants without collar adjustments, and with fully generational mortality projection using the Mortality Improvement Scale MP-2021 for 2022 year-end.

Pri-2012 Private Retirement Plan Mortality Table for Disabled Retirees without collar adjustments, and with fully generational mortality projection using the Mortality Improvement Scale MP2021 for 2022 year-end:

Sample termination rates are as follows:

|  | Rate by Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{5 +}$ |
| 30 | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| 40 | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| 50 | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $5.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |

Sample disability rates are as follows:

| Age | Male | Female |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 30 | $0.03 \%$ | $0.04 \%$ |
| 40 | $0.08 \%$ | $0.13 \%$ |
| 50 | $0.33 \%$ | $0.40 \%$ |
| 60 | $1.15 \%$ | $0.90 \%$ |

## Retirement Age

## Marital Status <br> Maximum Benefit <br> Maximum Pensionable Pay <br> Expenses

Rates of retirement as shown below based on age and service:

|  | Service / Age \& Service Points |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Age | Under 20 | 20+ |  |
| 55 | $4 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| 56 | $4 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| 57 | $4 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| 58 | $4 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| 59 | $15 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| 60 | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| 61 | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| 62 | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| 63 | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $15 \%$ |
| 64 | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $30 \%$ |
| 65 | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| 66 | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| 67 | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| 68 | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| 69 | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| $70+$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |

85\% of male participants and 65\% of female participants are assumed to be married with wives two years younger than husbands.

As described in IRC Section 415, $\$ 245,000$ for 2022, projected 2.25\% per year.
$\$ 305,000$ for 2022 , projected $2.25 \%$ per year.
Expected asset return is net of investment expenses and net of a $0.1 \%$ reduction to reflect administrative expenses paid out of the trust.

## Changes in Accounting Assumptions/Methods Since the Prior Year

## Assumption Changes

 Discount RateExpected Return on Assets

Changed from 3.00\% to $5.25 \%$ as of December 31, 2022
The expected return on assets changed from $6.50 \%$ to $6.75 \%$, effective January 1, 2023.

## Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

## Discussion of Actuarial Assumptions and Methods

Southwest Gas selected the economic and demographic assumptions and prescribed them for use for purposes of compliance with ASC 715. Aon provided guidance with respect to these assumptions, and it is our belief that the assumptions represent reasonable expectations of anticipated plan experience. The actuarial cost method used is prescribed by ASC 715. While the method used to value assets is prescribed by Southwest Gas, Aon provided guidance with respect to the use of this method, and it is our belief that the method is appropriate for financial accounting purposes.

## Calculation of Normal Costs and Liabilities

The method used to calculate the service cost and projected benefit obligation for determining pension expense is the projected unit credit cost method. Under this method, benefits are estimated at each decrement age by crediting future accruals based on projected pay as applicable. The liability is determined as the present value of the projected benefit based on service at the valuation date. The service cost is the amount of the present value of projected benefits attributable to the valuation year.

## Accounting Information Under ASC 715

Benefit obligations and expense/(income) are calculated under U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles as set forth in Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 715.

The accumulated benefit obligation represents the actuarial present value of benefits based on service and pay earned as of the measurement date. The projected benefit obligation represents the actuarial present value of benefits based on service earned through the measurement date reflecting the effect of assumed future pay increases on ultimate benefit amounts.

The service cost represents the actuarial present value of benefits that are attributed to a fiscal year, reflecting the effect of assumed future pay increases. The service cost includes interest to the end of the measurement period at the ASC 715 discount rate.

The net periodic pension expense/(income) is the annual amount to be recognized in the income statement as the cost of pension benefits for this plan for the fiscal year.

Settlement/curtailment expense/(income) is the amount to be recognized in the income statement as the cost of special events such as settlements, curtailments, and the provision of certain termination benefits during a fiscal year.

## AFFIRMATION OF LISA A. MCRAE

Pursuant to NAC 703.710, LISA A. McRAE affirms and declares the following:

1. I am over 18 years of age and am competent to testify to facts stated below which are based upon my personal knowledge.
2. That I am the person identified in the foregoing prepared testimony, including, where applicable, any exhibits.
3. That such testimony and exhibits were prepared by me or under my direction.
4. That the information appearing in my testimony and exhibits are true to the best of my knowledge and belief and that if I were asked the questions stated therein under oath, my answers would be the same.
5. Pursuant to NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED and DATED this 29 day of August, 2023
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Q. 1 Please state your name and business address.
A. 1 My name is James L. Stein. My business address is 8360 S. Durango Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113.
Q. 2 By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
A. 2 I am employed by Southwest Gas Corporation (Southwest Gas or Company). My title is Manager/Emerging Technology \& Innovation.
Q. 3 Please summarize your educational background and relevant business experience.
A. 3 My educational background and relevant business experience are summarized in Appendix A to this testimony.
Q. 4 Have you previously testified before any regulatory commission?
A. 4 Yes. I have previously testified before the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada (Commission).
Q. 5 What is the purpose of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?
A. 5 My testimony supports the Company's construction and installation of a compressed natural gas (CNG) fueling station at the Company's North Operations Center in Las Vegas (CNG Station Project).
Q. 6 Please summarize your prepared direct testimony.
A. 6 My prepared direct testimony consists of the following key points:

- The need and benefits of the CNG Station Project; and
- The prudency of the CNG Station Project.


## II. BACKGROUND

Q. 7 What is CNG?
A. 7 CNG is natural gas that has been compressed to 3,600 pounds per square inch gauge (PSIG) and may be used to power specially equipped internal combustion engines in vehicles. It is compressed to increase the distance traveled while using CNG as a transportation fuel.
Q. 8 Are there environmental benefits associated with utilizing CNG as a vehicle fuel when compared to diesel or gasoline?
A. 8 Yes. When compared to diesel or gasoline, CNG burns cleaner and emits as much as 28 percent fewer greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.
Q. 9 How much CNG is delivered Company-wide?
A. 9 In 2022, Southwest Gas delivered 37 million therms of natural gas to be used as CNG to Arizona, California, and Nevada fleet customers.
Q. 10 What are the GHG emissions eliminated Company-wide due to CNG delivery?
A. 10 By using CNG over other vehicle fuels, Southwest Gas' CNG customers emitted 79,012 fewer metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent ("MTCO2 e") in 2022 the equivalent of 17,025 fewer gasoline-powered passenger vehicles operating on the roadway per year (4.6 metric tons per passenger vehicle). ${ }^{1}$

[^33] (https://www.epa.gov/energy/greenhouse-gas-equivalencies-calculator)
Q. 11 How much CNG is delivered in Southern Nevada?
A. 11 In 2022, Southwest Gas delivered 16.7 million therms of natural gas to be used as CNG for Southern Nevada fleet customers.
Q. 12 How much GHG emissions has been eliminated In Southern Nevada due to CNG delivery?
A. 12 By using CNG over other vehicle fuels, Southwest Gas' Southern NV CNG customers emitted 35,680 fewer MTCO2 e in 2022 - the equivalent of 7,940 fewer gasoline-powered passenger vehicles operating on the roadway per year (4.6 metric tons per passenger vehicle). ${ }^{2}$
Q. 13 What led to the Company constructing the CNG Station Project at its North Operations Center?
A. 13 In 2017, the Company committed to reducing GHG emissions by 20 percent from its fleet and facilities by 2025.3 In Docket No. 20-02023, Southwest Gas introduced, and the Commission approved ${ }^{4}$, its CNG Conversion Project which supported the Company's conversion of its fleet's vehicles from gasoline to CNG to help reduce GHG emissions for its vehicle fleet. Over the last several years, Company CNG vehicles have been filling at one of two public stations in the Las Vegas Valley - one located at 3333 Losee Road and another located at 3683 Industrial Road. These public CNG stations are not located close to the Company's North Operations Center where many of the Company's CNG vehicles are located. As more companies move to CNG vehicles, limited fueling
${ }^{2}$ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Greenhouse Gas Equivalencies Calculator (https://www.epa.gov/energy/greenhouse-gas-equivalencies-calculator)
${ }^{3}$ See Southwest Gas Holdings' 2022 Sustainability Report at pgs. 28-29. https://www.swgas.com/1409216536723/Southwest-Gas-2022-Sustainability-Report.pdf ${ }^{4}$ September 25, 2020 Order at page 123, paragraph 350.
options present inefficiencies. Also, as the Company's fleet continues to grow, an on-site station provides an efficient option to fill onsite at the North Operations Center.
Q. 14 How many CNG vehicles does the Company have in Southern Nevada?
A. 14 There are currently 71 active CNG vehicles in Southern Nevada. These vehicles support the Company's core functions and are necessary in providing safe and reliable natural gas service to the Company's customers.

## III. CNG STATION PROJECT

Q. 15 Please provide an overview of CNG Station Project.
A. 15 The Company's CNG Station Project is comprised of a 75 HP , 4-stage compressor, and onsite storage of approximately 335 gasoline gallon equivalent (GGE) that serves a single dispenser with two fueling hoses/nozzles. The onsite storage allows for multiple vehicles to fuel simultaneously as well as having the capability to fill directly from the compressor. The configuration of the CNG Station Project allows for CNG vehicles to refuel in about the same amount of time as a typical gasoline or diesel light duty vehicle would take to refuel at a gasoline or diesel refueling station.
Q. 16 How much did the CNG Station Project cost to construct?
A. 16 The total cost of the CNG Station Project (0021W0006606) was $\$ 1,252,962$.
Q. 17 Did the Company submit an RFP for the CNG Station Project?
A. 17 Yes. The Company performed an RFP process and had two bidders respond. Lancer Energy was the successful bidder submitting a lower bid.
Q. 18 When was the CNG Station Project completed and placed into service?
A. 18 The CNG Station Project was placed in service November 2022.
Q. 19 Is the CNG Station Project reasonable and prudent?
A. 19 Yes. The CNG Station Project is both reasonable and prudent. The CNG Station Project is consistent with what the Commission approved in Docket 20-02023, regularly used since being placed into service in November 2022, provides an efficient fueling option for Company CNG vehicles, and is in the public interest as it supports GHG emissions reductions in Nevada.
Q. 20 How many GGEs did the Company's CNG vehicles consume from January through July 2023?
A. 21 The Company's CNG vehicles used 13,256 GGEs (16,791 therms) across all Las Vegas stations of which approximately 10,641 GGEs (13,479 therms) were dispensed from the CNG Station Project.
Q. 22 Were GHG emissions reduced by use of the CNG Station Project and Company use of CNG vehicles?
A. 22 Yes. When comparing CNG to gasoline as a vehicle fuel, the CNG Station Project supported the reduction of approximately 23.3 metric tons of CO 2 from January through July 2023. By using CNG instead of gasoline, the Southern Nevada CNG fleet, as a whole, helped reduce GHG emissions by approximately 29 metric tons of CO 2 in the same period.

## IV. CONCLUSION

Q. 23 Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?
A. 23 Yes.

## SUMMARY OF QUALIFICATIONS <br> JAMES L. STEIN

I have over 22 years of energy industry experience, 20 of which have been with Southwest Gas. In my current position, I am responsible for emerging technology in Nevada, California and Arizona. Over the years, I have gained extensive experience in both the residential and commercial market sectors within Southwest Gas' service territories.

I began my career at Southwest Gas as an Industrial Gas Engineer. In this role, I managed large commercial, industrial and agricultural accounts in Las Vegas. My key responsibilities included sales engineering and contract negotiations.

Prior to joining Southwest Gas, I was a heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC) Sales Engineer with the Trane Company. In addition to Sales with the Trane Company, I was also responsible for technical knowledge of different HVAC systems. Preceding the Trane Company, I was a plumbing and HVAC Design Engineer for a Las Vegas based consulting firm specializing in the commercial sector.

I graduated with a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering from the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, and have received a Certified Energy Manager designation from the Association of Energy Engineers.

## AFFIRMATION OF JAMES L. STEIN

Pursuant to NAC 703.710, James L. Stein affirms and declares the following:

1. I am over 18 years of age and am competent to testify to facts stated below which are based upon my personal knowledge.
2. That I am the person identified in the foregoing prepared testimony, including, where applicable, any exhibits.
3. That such testimony and exhibits were prepared by me or under my direction.
4. That the information appearing in my testimony and exhibits are true to the best of my knowledge and belief and that if I were asked the questions stated therein under oath, my answers would be the same.
5. Pursuant to NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED and DATED this 25 day of August, 2023
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BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF NEVADA
Prepared Direct Testimony of
Jerome T. Schmitz

## I. INTRODUCTION

Q. 1 Please state your name and business address.
A. 1 My name is Jerome (Jerry) T. Schmitz. My business address is 8360 S. Durango Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113.
Q. 2 By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
A. 2 I am employed by Southwest Gas Corporation (Southwest Gas or Company) in the Engineering Staff department. My title is Vice President/Engineering Staff.
Q. 3 Please summarize your educational background and relevant business experience.
A. 3 My educational background and relevant business experience are summarized in Appendix A to this testimony.
Q. 4 Have you previously testified before any regulatory commission?
A. 4 Yes. I have previously provided testimony to the Arizona Corporation Commission.
Q. 5 What is the purpose of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?
A. 5 The purpose of my prepared direct testimony is to provide an overview of the planning process and management of capital investments for equipment within Engineering Staff to support Operations, the Company’s Integrity Management Program, and the Company's Radio Console Upgrade Project (Radio Project). I
also provide support for the Company's American Gas Association (AGA) membership dues from an operational and pipeline safety perspective.
Q. 6

## Please summarize your prepared direct testimony.

My prepared direct testimony consists of the following key objectives:

- Provide an overview of the planning process and management of capital projects for Engineering Staff-related equipment to support Operations and the Company's Integrity Management Program;
- Support the reasonableness of corporate (system allocable) and Southern Nevada Engineering Staff-related projects, including a discussion of projects in excess of $\$ 1$ million that were placed into service since the end of the certification period in Southwest Gas' 2021 general rate case (GRC); and
- Provide support for the Company's American Gas Association (AGA) membership dues from an operational and pipeline safety perspective, which benefit and support the enhancement of the Company through access to industry safety best practices and operational excellence initiatives.


## II. ENGINEERING STAFF CAPITAL INVESTMENTS

Q. 7 Please describe the scope of the Engineering Staff capital investment projects discussed in your prepared direct testimony.
A. 7 I support capital investments made by Engineering Staff at Southern Nevada and corporate locations placed into service since December 1, 2021. ${ }^{1}$ Projects represented by work orders greater than $\$ 100,000$ in total are listed in Exhibit

[^34]No.__(JTS-1). My prepared direct testimony specifically discusses one work order with incurred costs equal to $\$ 1$ million or more as of May 31, 2023.²
III. RADIO CONSOLE UPGRADE PROJECT (RADIO PROJECT)
Q. 8 Please provide a brief overview of the Radio Project.
A. 8 The Radio Console Upgrade Project (Radio Project) replaced the Company's analog radio system with modern digital technologies to enhance safety, communications, efficiency, portability, and reliability. The upgrade in radio technology extends connectivity to automate and encrypt connections to radio towers based on the best available signal strength. In addition, the Radio Project supports dedicated talk groups across large geographical areas and can handle high-traffic communications to support the dispatch of emergency communications and workload management.
Q. 9 What are the benefits of the Radio Project from an operational perspective?
A. 9 Effective emergency response is a critical function of safely operating a natural gas system. A key aspect of emergency response is the ability to communicate with company field personnel reliably and clearly.

The new digital system deployed as part of the Radio Project will enhance safety, communications, efficiency, portability, and reliability for all users from an emergency response perspective. Please see the testimony of Company witness Raied N. Stanley who discusses the three primary drivers of the Radio Project which include enhanced safety, service, and reliability of field communications with Company dispatch, Emergency Operations Center, and

[^35]Incident Command System sectors during incidents and other emergency events.

Southwest Gas operates in both urban and rural parts of Nevada. Having reliable and clear communications technologies are a crucial part of safely operating a natural gas system in Nevada. While cellular technologies have become the mainstream, the use of the Company's dedicated radio network allows for an independent and primary methodology for communicating that does not rely upon local cellular networks or face the same challenges of call drops or interruptions of service during major regional emergencies such as wildfires, extreme weather, or other extreme events.
Q. 10 Is the Company currently using the Radio Project?
A. 10 Yes. The Company is currently implementing the Radio Project and is expected to be fully implemented in Nevada by the end of 2023.

## IV. PICARRO ADVANCED MOBILE LEAK DETECTION EQUIPMENT

Q. 11 Please provide an overview of the Picarro Advanced Mobile Leak Detection (AMLD) equipment purchase.
A. 11 The Picarro AMLD equipment purchase represented in work order 0021W0007768 involves the acquisition of an advanced cavity ring down spectroscopy-based methane leak detection device for use in identifying and quantifying leaks on the Company's facilities. The Picarro AMLD device is mounted on a vehicle in combination with hardware, software, and a data analytics system used to conduct multiple leak patrols on natural gas infrastructure. Figure 1 provides a schematic of a typical Picarro AMLD set up on a vehicle.

Figure 1 - Picarro AMLD Typical Install Schematic

## Nevada?

A. 12 Safety is paramount at Southwest Gas and the Company has a long history incorporating new and innovative technologies to further the tenants of safety, quality, and excellence throughout the Company's operations. Southwest Gas routinely engages with industry peers through organizations such as the American Gas Association (AGA) and the Western Energy Institute (WEI). A key aspect of these ongoing engagements includes the sharing and benchmarking
of best practices throughout the industry. Through these interactions, the Company identified several industry peers that leverage the Picarro AMLD technology to improve leak detection efficiency and to assist in the quantification of methane emissions from natural gas facilities when leaks occur. CenterPoint Energy, Consumers Energy, DTE, National Grid, ONE Gas, PG\&E, and Southern Company are among industry peers currently using Picarro AMLD equipment.

The benefits of the Picarro AMLD include enhanced leak detection capabilities and methane detection sensitivities down to 1 part per billion (ppb), mobile leak detection at higher speeds than conventional equipment, and back-end data analytics and methane plume analysis, as well as methane emissions quantification. The latter aspect allows the Company to further its primary objective of maintaining and operating a safe system while also eliminating hazardous leaks and minimizing releases of natural gas from its facilities, a requirement under the Section 114 of the Pipelines and Enhancing Safety Act of 2020 (PIPES Act of 2020).
Q. 13 Are there any legislative or regulatory drivers the Company considered prior to purchasing the Picarro AMLD equipment?
A. 13 Yes. Congress placed explicit legislative focus on the elimination of leaks and minimization of natural gas releases with the enactment of a self-executing federal mandate under Section 114 of the PIPES Act of $2020 .{ }^{3}$ Section 114 requires operators, including Southwest Gas, to update inspection and maintenance plans required under 49 U.S.C. 60108(a) to address eliminating

[^36]hazardous leaks and minimizing releases of natural gas. Subsequently, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) published an Advisory Bulletin ADB-2021-01 to operators of natural gas facilities advising them of this self-executing federal mandate. The Advisory Bulletin also reminded operators of the requirement under 49 U.S.C. 60108(a)(2) to continue updating these plans to meet the requirements of any future regulations related to leak detection and repair that are promulgated under 49 U.S.C. 60102(q). PHMSA released the Gas Pipeline Leak Detection and Repair Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) ${ }^{4}$ on May 18, 2023, which includes draft provisions for operators to conduct engineering tests and analyses in the development of an Advanced Leak Detection Program (ALDP) and accompanying performance standards. PHMSA proposes, among other regulatory enhancements and new programs in the NPRM, a minimum equipment sensitivity requirement of 5 parts per million (ppm) through the development of a new §192.763 Advance Leak Detection Program section of the federal pipeline safety code. Advanced Leak Detection equipment such as the Picarro AMLD meets or exceeds this proposed requirement.

Q 14 Are there any operational and safety-related drivers for the timing of the Company's Picarro AMLD equipment purchase?
A. 14 Yes. In addition to the legislative and regulatory reasons provided in Q/A 13, Las Vegas is hosting several high-profile events in the coming year including the Formula 1 Las Vegas Grand Prix race on November 16-18, 2023, and Super Bowl LVIII on February 11, 2024. The Company intends to use the Picarro AMLD

[^37]to enhance its planned leak surveys and pre-event safety patrols ahead of these events, leveraging the Picarro AMLD in addition to its existing suite of leak detection technologies.
Q. 15 What was the total cost of the Picarro AMLD equipment?
A. 15 The total cost of the Picarro AMLD equipment (0021W0007768) recorded as of May 31, 2023, was $\$ 1,288,800^{5}$. The equipment was placed into service in December 2022.
Q. 16 Is the Picarro AMLD equipment being used as of May 31, 2023?
A. 16 Yes, upon receipt of the equipment, the Company began using the Picarro AMLD equipment to train its employees, develop policies and procedures, and integrate the technology into the Company's applicable systems. Moreover, as discussed in Q\&A 14 above, the Company is preparing, planning, and intending to use the Picarro ALMD to enhance its safety patrols to support upcoming highprofile events, including the Formula 1 Las Vegas Grand Prix race which takes place during the certification period of the instant application.
Q. 17 Is Picarro AMLD equipment currently being used in any of the Company's other rate jurisdictions?
A. 17 Yes. The Company purchased three Picarro AMLD units for use throughout its service territories. However, the unit described in Work Order 0021W0007768 is specifically designated for use in Nevada.

As part of the integration of the Picarro AMLD equipment, the Company discovered two notable leakage events that would not likely have been detected utilizing conventional leak detection equipment. The discovery of these two

[^38]situations, although neither occurred within Nevada, showcased the operational value of the Picarro AMLD equipment in elevating the safe operation of the Company's natural gas system. In one situation, the Company received an indication of gas and discovered an unoccupied home with gas readings in the explosive range. The Company was able to act quickly with local emergency responders to eliminate sources of ignition and address the hazardous condition. In another situation, one of the Picarro units identified indications of gas at a residential structure and subsequently discovered that the customer had a leaking Customer Owned Yard Line (COYL) that created a hazardous condition. The hazardous condition was resolved and the customer's leaking COYL isolated for repairs.

## V. AMERICAN GAS ASSOCATION MEMBERSHIP DUES

Q. 18 Please provide an overview of the American Gas Association (AGA) and the service provided to its member companies and natural gas consumers.
A. 18 The AGA, founded in 1918, is a natural gas industry trade association with more than 200 member companies (including Southwest Gas) throughout America that provide service to 180 million consumers. ${ }^{6}$ The AGA supports natural gas utilities in their efforts to make their operations safe, more efficient, and more environmentally friendly, providing state-of the art solutions for its members to safely and securely deliver reliable and affordable natural gas to homes and businesses across the nation.

From an operations and pipeline safety perspective, the AGA offers a comprehensive range of benefits to its members including access to the sharing

[^39]of industry best practices and innovations. First, the AGA provides valuable resources, programs, and committees to assist member companies in achieving operational excellence. Second, AGA recognizes the importance of safety, security, and resilience in the natural gas industry and offers a range of security programs and services to assist member companies in maintaining secure operations. Third, the AGA offers substantial technical support including ensuring that new rules are technically feasible, reasonable, cost-effective, and practicable. Fourth, the AGA fosters member discussion groups to address key areas of interest and facilitate industry collaboration.

Put simply, the Company's membership in AGA provides direct benefits to Nevada ratepayers by providing Southwest Gas robust resources to ensure Company personnel are well informed and positioned to operate the Company's natural gas facilities safely, efficiently, and effectively. Company witness Randi L. Cunningham provides the amount of AGA dues being requested in this application. She also describes the additional benefits that the Company's AGA membership provides beyond those related to pipeline safety, operational best practices, and innovative solutions for the safe and reliable delivery of natural gas.
Q. 19 Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?
A. 19 Yes.

## SUMMARY OF QUALIFICATIONS <br> JEROME T. SCHMITZ

Jerome Schmitz is the Vice President/Engineering Staff for Southwest Gas Corporation. He directs support to five operating divisions for pipeline safety code compliance; transmission and distribution integrity management; damage prevention, pipeline safety management systems, technical training and qualifications; emergency response training; pipeline security; rights-of-way; quality assurance; material specifications and approval; environmental compliance; pipeline system planning; laboratory services; operations research and development including decarbonization initiatives; measurement; pipeline cathodic protection; SCADA support; GIS support; and project design.

He holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Genetics from the University of California, Davis, and a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering from Arizona State University. He is a registered Professional Engineer in the States of Arizona, California and Nevada with a proficiency in Mechanical Engineering, and is certified as a Quality Auditor with the American Society for Quality.

Mr. Schmitz serves on the AGA Operating Section Managing Committee; he is also a member of the ASME B31 Standards Committee. He is a member of the Advisory Board of UNLV's Multicultural Program for STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering and Math) related disciplines. He also is a member of the Advisory Board of the University of Idaho's Energy Executive Course and serves on the Board of Directors for Goodwill of Southern Nevada.

## SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION <br> NEVADA <br> ENGINEERING SERVICES - RELATED WORK ORDERS GREATER THAN $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ IN TOTAL COST <br> CLOSED TO PLANT IN SERVICE DECEMBER 2021 - MAY 2023

| Line No. | Work Order Number | Work Order Description | Date First Transferred to Plant | Total Amount Excluding CIAC | CIAC | AFUDC | Line No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) |  |
| Southern Nevada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0021W0007768 | Picarro Unit-Henderson | Dec-22 | 1,288,800.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1 |
| System Allocable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 0052W0007564 | Thermal Gravimetric Analyzer-SOPS | May-23 | 208,404.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2 |
| 3 | 0052W0007406 | TA ElectroForce 3300-SOPS | Apr-23 | 197,249.88 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3 |
| 4 | 0052W0007560 | Lab Service Dynamic Mech Analyz-SOP | May-23 | 186,580.66 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4 |
| 5 | 0052W0006234 | Hitachi Tabletop Microscope - SOPS | Apr-22 | 151,977.69 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5 |

## AFFIRMATION OF JEROME T. SCHMITZ

Pursuant to NAC 703.710, Jerome T. Schmitz affirms and declares the following:

1. I am over 18 years of age and am competent to testify to facts stated below which are based upon my personal knowledge.
2. That I am the person identified in the foregoing prepared testimony, including, where applicable, any exhibits.
3. That such testimony and exhibits were prepared by me or under my direction.
4. That the information appearing in my testimony and exhibits are true to the best of my knowledge and belief and that if I were asked the questions stated therein under oath, my answers would be the same.
5. Pursuant to NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED and DATED this _28 day of August, 2023
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# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF NEVADA 

Prepared Direct Testimony
of
Raied N. Stanley

## I. INTRODUCTION

Q. 1 Please state your name and business address.
A. 1 My name is Raied N. Stanley. My business address is 8350 S. Durango Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113.
Q. 2 By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
A. 2 I am employed by Southwest Gas Corporation (Southwest Gas or Company) in the Information Services (IS) department. My title is Vice President/Chief Information Officer.
Q. 3 Please summarize your educational background and relevant business experience.
A. 3 My educational background and relevant business experience are summarized in Appendix A to this testimony.
Q. 4 Have you previously testified before any regulatory commission?
A. 4 Yes. I have previously provided written testimony to the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada (Commission) and the Arizona Corporation Commission.
Q. 5 What is the purpose of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?
A. 5 The purpose of my prepared direct testimony is to provide an overview of the project governance and oversight structure for approved technology-related
capital projects and to support the reasonableness and prudence of the Company's investment in technology-related capital projects that are included in the Company's revenue requirement.
Q. 6 Please summarize your prepared direct testimony.
A. 6 My prepared direct testimony consists of the following key objectives:

- Provide an overview of the project governance and oversight for all technology-related capital projects;
- Support the reasonableness of technology-related capital investment projects and provide support for technology-related projects equal to or exceeding \$1 million which have been placed in service since the end of the certification period in Southwest Gas' 2021 general rate case (GRC); and
- Support the reasonableness of technology-related capital investment projects that at the time of this filing are anticipated to be placed in service by November 30, 2023.
Q. 7 Please describe why you are designated to testify about the matters that are presented in your testimony.
A. 7 I am currently responsible for the Company's IS function as well as the Enterprise Project Management Office (EPMO), and portfolio governance, and, as such, I am familiar with the EPMO functions and the technology-related capital projects presented for cost recovery in this case.


## II. PROJECT GOVERNANCE AND OVERSIGHT

Q. 8 Please describe the project governance structure and oversight process at Southwest Gas for technology-related capital projects.
A. 8 Southwest Gas maintains an EPMO to support technology-related capital projects, a Portfolio Review Board (PRB), and a Portfolio Planning Committee (PPC) (previously titled the Portfolio Approval Council or PAC) to centralize the governance of processes, tools, and resources to maximize the business value and prioritization of these capital projects based on business need. Southwest Gas also maintains a staff of dedicated business analysts and project managers and has developed project management frameworks and processes to support each project. The Company promotes Project Management Professional (PMP) certifications for EPMO employees and consultants with the title of Project Manager to validate the core competencies of those managing some of the Company's largest initiatives.

The EPMO is founded on standards and practices from the Project Management Institute (PMI) as a basis for its project governance. PMI is globally recognized as a non-profit organization that creates the standards for project and portfolio management practices that are written in the Project Management Book of Knowledge (PMBOK) and certifies project management professionals. The PMBOK provides guidance on project governance and includes specified criteria to determine the appropriate project organizational structure. Some other notable features associated with the Company's EPMO project management include:

- Each project is sponsored by a minimum of one Company executive and typically operates using a governance structure consisting of a Steering Committee, an Oversight Committee, a dedicated project manager from the EPMO, and a project team.
- Each project undertakes a planning phase for purposes of identifying the key objectives, governance structure with associated stakeholders, scope, budget, duration, staffing decisions including system implementor selection (if applicable) and need to hire other potential contractors, and the identification of all project deliverables through project completion.
- Each project follows standard Southwest Gas procurement guidelines in the evaluation and selection of the system implementation partner and platform solution.


## Q. $9 \quad$ Please further describe the PRB and the PPC.

A. 9 The PRB is a resource to help improve and standardize policies, practices, and tools to facilitate project portfolio management for significant capital and O\&M projects meeting the specified criteria for review. The PRB is a committee consisting of Vice President-level company stakeholders that play an essential role in the proposal review, capacity planning, and tracking of enterprise portfolio projects necessary to support the Company's operations. The PRB serves the PPC as a technical resource specifically to provide recommendations on the initiation, planning, and maintenance of the project portfolio. PRB members are the "gate keepers" of proposed projects for the portfolio and their responsibilities include:

- Screening preliminary project proposals and documentation;
- Ensuring consistent project prioritization and ranking assessment;
- Monitoring project portfolio status;
- Validating portfolio reporting information; and
- Proposing recommendations to the PPC for improved portfolio management processes, procedures, and tools.

The PRB convenes periodically to assess project proposals, monitor the status of active projects to support the Company's financial investments, and review resource capacity to determine the appropriate timing to launch new projects and initiatives. The primary purpose of the PPC is to institute portfolio governance and sustain it with disciplined oversight. To that end, the PPC builds and maintains a portfolio based on corporate strategies/initiatives, risk profile, and capital distribution as determined by senior management. In addition, the PPC brings together influential company leaders in conversation with each other to explore and evaluate the business rationale and justification for requested projects. The PPC also evaluates project requests against Company objectives and promotes innovations in project and portfolio management. The PPC has the ultimate authority to oversee the management of major capital projects. The PPC promotes decision transparency, standardized policies, accountability, and buy-in. A copy of the EPMO and Portfolio Governance Overview and Portfolio Governance Roles are attached hereto as Exhibits Nos._(RNS-01) and _(RNS02), respectively.
Q. 10 Does Southwest Gas use contractors for certain EPMO projects?
A. 10 Yes. Southwest Gas frequently uses experienced contractors for resource flexibility based on the need of the project. As mentioned above, considerations
for system implementors and other supplemental contractors are typically identified in the planning phase of a project as enterprise projects require specialized technical and functional skills. Many enterprise technology implementations require subject matter expertise in systems integration, business process, and software configuration. In many instances, those skills are not readily available locally and may vary according to the solution selected for implementation. The amount of time that a consultant works on a project depends on the consultant's role, scope complexity, timeline, deliverables, and target completion date. Consultant invoices and timesheets are ultimately reviewed and validated by internal Company personnel responsible for the project.
Q. 11 Has the Company modified its oversight processes and procedures for technology-related capital projects since its 2021 GRC?
A. 11 Yes. In May of 2022, Southwest Gas enhanced its EPMO and Enterprise Technology Portfolio Governance to further support and advance the fundamental principles upon which the EPMO was established. ${ }^{1}$ Through continuous education, partnerships, and lessons learned, the Company recognized the necessity to add key skillsets to supplement the EPMO capabilities and expand participation of the portfolio governance committees to include key and diverse Company departments such as Regulation, Risk Management, and Legal to supplement the involvement from traditional departments. The Company recognizes the value of portfolio governance and strives for continuous improvement with an enhanced focus on quality and costs. project managers; and 3) developed project management frameworks and processes.

To ensure financial prudence, the EPMO also recruited a financial analyst to provide financial analysis of the project portfolio in support of the EPMO and portfolio governance committee goals and initiatives. The responsibility of this position is to oversee the development, tracking, and reporting of the budget and associated costs, burn rate, and total cost of ownership for enterprise technology projects.

## III. THE SOFTWARE PROJECTS/PURCHASES IN EXCESS OF \$1 MILLION THAT

 CLOSED TO PLANT SINCE THE CERTIFICATION PERIOD IN THE COMPANY'S
## LAST GRC

Q. 12 Is Southwest Gas seeking recovery for the costs incurred for technologyrelated projects that closed to plant since the certification period in the Company's last GRC?
A. 12 Yes. The Company is seeking recovery for the technology-related projects that have been placed in service since November 2021, which was the end of the certification period in the Company's 2021 GRC. Below, I provide further discussion on each of the projects or initiatives where the costs incurred were greater than $\$ 1$ million.
IV. OVERVIEW OF STRATEGIC FINANCIAL AND REGULATORY PLANNING PROJECT (SFRP) PHASE II - REGULATORY (0061W0005847 \& 0061W006138)
Q. 13 Please provide an overview of the Strategic Financial and Regulatory Planning (SFRP) Project.
A. 13 The SFRP Project includes Southwest Gas' procurement of UI Planner, defined below, from Utilities International Solutions Group (UISG) to support various Company functions including regulatory filings and analyses and long-range
financial forecasting. The provided solution will enable the Company to perform high-value tasks more quickly and efficiently based on integrated data from multiple systems and built-in logic, calculations, reports, and working model exports to Excel. The Company anticipates it will help automate certain filing procedures and processes, enhance financial and regulatory modeling and analytics, and improve response velocity for various inquiries.

Southwest Gas has historically used complex and manually intensive, individual Excel-based models for long-range financial forecasting, regulatory filings, cost recovery mechanisms, deferral calculations, and other analyses across its six state rate jurisdictions and federal rate jurisdiction to perform similar functionality that is not under any vendor or support mechanism. Furthermore, the Company's legacy long-range financial forecasting models were built on underlying user-specific formulas that I/S considers outdated and a potential security vulnerability to the Company's information as discussed further in Q\&A 15. The work orders referenced above are for Phase II of the project. The scope of Phase II was to begin building out the Regulatory portion of the project.

## Q. 14 What are the expected benefits of the SFRP Project?

A. 14 The SFRP Project - Financial and Regulatory (Ul Planner) improves the Company's efficiency performing high-value tasks by integrating data from multiple systems into a single platform that can be leveraged to produce the Company's regulatory filings and analyses, as well as long-range financial modeling. Moreover, UI Planner provides additional flexibility supporting the Company's historical and forecasted test period analyses, applicable allocations
which are used to determine rate jurisdictional revenue requirements, class cost of service, and rate design as well as reporting capability. As a result, the Company anticipates its regulatory filings and analyses filings to become more efficient for various departments with enhanced visibility of metrics that will help the Company's planning and filing processes.
Q. 15 Provide an overview of the improvements and efficiencies that UI Planner provides when compared to the Company's existing solution?
A. 15 UI Planner is a flexible, expandable system that reduces manual entries and model updates, and has robust security capabilities; therefore, is expected to reduce the time to prepare filings and analyses and mitigate security threats. Efficiency is accomplished by UI Planner's ability to map to data sources derived directly from the Company's general ledger (Oracle) and other systems such as SAP and PowerPlan, and to pre-configure reports and Excel working models.

Next, UI Planner improves system security by integrating with Company's directory authentication systems through lightweight directory access protocol (LDAP). It provides a robust security model over all objects and actions by user or role. Additionally, the data is encrypted in transfer and at rest. Moreover, UI Planner has also achieved SOC 2 Type II certification from the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) which focuses on the controls in place and the operational effectiveness of those controls for security, availability, processing integrity, confidentiality, and privacy. Please refer to the prepared direct testimony of Company witness Randi L. Cunningham for additional, detailed descriptions of the benefits of UI Planner.
Q. 16 What evaluation did Southwest Gas perform to determine if developing its own in-house model was a viable solution?
A. 16 To evaluate this option, Southwest Gas assembled a cross-functional team to investigate the possibility of developing an in-house system to automate data flow into one source and feed the data into multiple Excel-based models. This option was ultimately rejected because of the complexity of modeling required to develop a system, the risk involved due to a lack of internal expertise in developing and maintaining such a system, and the lack of resources available to devote to the project. As a result, Southwest Gas determined that developing an in-house model was not a reasonable alternative.
Q. 17 Why did Southwest Gas choose to purchase UI Planner over other software solutions that the Company evaluated?
A. 17 Southwest Gas researched software solutions and was unable to find another comparable product designed specifically for the Company's business needs. The Company consulted with peer utilities of similar size and service capabilities, the AGA (American Gas Association), and Gartner. All of the major gas utilities who joined the discussion reported using either UI Planner or an Excel-based model, with higher levels of satisfaction expressed by those using UI Planner. Southwest Gas reached out to the individual utilities who reported using UI Planner. Southwest Gas asked more detailed questions about their experiences with the software - feedback on overall satisfaction, the implementation process, how the software was used, lessons learned, and tips for Southwest Gas.

In general, the utilities' feedback with respect to UI Planner was positive, with most reporting being very pleased with the product. The positive feedback, along with the lack of other feasible alternatives, and the multi-jurisdictional regulatory structure of the Company support Southwest Gas' decision to purchase UI Planner to meet its business needs.
Q. 18 What was the cost to implement Phase II of UI Planner?
A. 18 UI Planner Phase II costs are comprised of project implementation/software and infrastructure costs. The following is a more detailed breakdown of the various implementation costs.

- Implementation/Software Costs (0061W0005847, $\$ 7.09$ million before allocation to Nevada)
- Design SOW - UII
- Reg \& Rev Imp SOW - UII
- Project closeout (7.5\% of imp costs)
- License Fees
- SWG contractor
- AFUDC costs
- Employee Labor
- Freight
- Change order 1-Labor Annualization
- Infrastructure Costs (0061W0006138, \$381,038 before allocation to Nevada)
- Server cost \$99,283
- Additional required memory cost $\$ 281,570$

The approximate cost of the UI Planner after allocation to Northern Nevada and Southern Nevada is $\$ 383,581$ and $\$ 2,026,427$, respectively.
Q. 19 Do you believe the costs associated with UI Planner were reasonably incurred?
A. 19 Yes. As stated above in Q/A 8 through Q/A 11, the Company maintains an EPMO, PRB, and PPC to centralize the governance of processes, tools, and resources to maximize the business value and prioritization of capital projects, including UI Planner. Consequently, UI Planner costs were reasonably incurred based upon the business need as described herein and Confidential Exhibit No._(RNS-4).

## v. OVERVIEW OF THE RADIO CONSOLE UPGRADE PROJECT

Q. 20 Please provide an overview of the Company's legacy system as well as the Radio Console Upgrade Project.
A. 20 Southwest Gas currently utilizes a Raytheon WAIS (Wide Area Interoperability System) for dispatch consoles, which is a computer-controlled radio system utilized to dispatch Southwest Gas technicians for emergency situations and operational activities. The current system is based on a legacy operating system that is no longer supported. The Radio Console Upgrade Project (Radio Project) replaced the existing analog radio system with modern digital technologies to enhance safety, communications, efficiency, portability, and reliability. The upgrade in radio technology extends connectivity to automate and encrypt connections to radio towers based on the best available signal strength. In addition, the Radio Project supports dedicated talk groups across large
geographical areas and can handle high-traffic communications to support the dispatch of emergency communications and workload management.
Q. 21 Provide a summary of the Company's reasons for replacing its existing radio console and related equipment as well as benefits the Radio Project provides.
A. 21 The main three drivers to replace the existing radio consoles and related equipment are the Company's commitment to safety, continuous service, and reliability. Below are benefits in each of the respective areas in which the Radio Project provides improvement.

## Safety

- Improved communication during emergencies and operational activity.
- System security capabilities through digital encryption.
- Emergency "panic" button to support employee safety.
- System capable of providing priority on the system (e.g., can be used as a "mayday" button and in the event an employee is unable to talk). Supported through GPS and is user identifiable.
- Dedicated talk groups for use during emergencies/operational activity.
- Proven/tested system used by first responders and other utilities including Arizona Public Service, Salt River Project, Sempra, and PG\&E.
- Trunking system is best practice for those involved in emergency response and is ideal for large operating groups with high traffic and the need to manage multiple incidents/communication (a must for efficient Centralized Dispatching).

Service

- Reliable communication through the trunking system.
- A trunking system provides transmission strength and clarity of the communication signal. Trunking tracks users and automatically routes the transmission. Patching requires a manual interface by the dispatcher for the intended user. A trunking system automatically finds a user much like a cellular phone system. Radios are designed to automatically connect to the site with the best signal strength. On the current system, the user must manually choose the site to stay in communication with Dispatch.
- Multiple talk groups through the trunking system.
- Trunking is common in large utility companies due to demand for multiple talk groups. It provides flexibility to automatically connect to the best/continuous communications signal.
- Remote maintenance and troubleshooting capabilities.
- Additional option for Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) communication that augments other communication types.
- Existing MDS (Microwave Data Systems) SCADA sites talk to one site, SCADA site on a trunking system would find the best available signal to communicate.
- Expandable system functionality to incorporate other users through dedicated/assigned talk groups (i.e. building services, security, etc.). Talk groups are programmed for specific users, with no crossover.
- Enhanced usage of portables.
- Optional mobile phone application to allow users to use their phone to communicate as a portable radio. This expands the company audience to support emergency response.
- Help support dispatch workload management through the utilization of talk groups.
- Caller ID support, unit number or name displayed for each caller on the system.
- GPS capable, potential backup to Telogis (business continuity).


## Reliability

- Enhanced coverage area with the ability for expansion.
- Programmable to automatically finds the best signal.
- Versatility, user permissions based on operational/support needs.
- Administrator rights, talk groups, layers of permission, etc.
- Ability to map coverage area and focus enhancement to areas in the most need. Data is captured via GPS radios and available for review (similar to Telogis).
- Clear/consistent communication.
- Alarm mode provides notification if the communication area is down or having issues.
- Automated selection of channels (as opposed to the existing manual process).
- New system will have recording and replay options to prevent talking over or cutting out communications.
- Supports the ability to supplement dispatch resources from other divisions through technology enhancements.
Q. 22 Why did Southwest Gas implement the Radio Project rather than continue maintaining its current solution?
A. 22 The Company evaluated alternative solutions, including maintaining the current system. Continuing to use the current solution requires use of workarounds in locations where the currently used radio equipment is no longer available and does not support the required coverage area. This includes using mobile phone voice, text, and email functionality. The current WAIS radio system technology is becoming more difficult to maintain and support, and eventually will cease to work as designed. Through extensive research, and the identified risk of having cell service interrupted without a backup communication device, continuing to use the existing solution with its existing workarounds was not an option. Pursuing a fully integrated, digital trunking radio system, meeting defined business requirements supports added safety, service, and reliability to the Company's employees and customers.
Q. 23 Provide an overview of the operational efficiencies the Radio Project provides.
A. 23 Southwest Gas uses radio communications networks in its operations to support the safe, secure, and reliable delivery of natural gas. Such operational communications networks facilitate utility networks and endeavor to be resilient with low latency enabling use of certain utility applications. Moreover, the Radio Project is an important alternative for the Company to reach most of its industrial sites (plants, pump stations) and the locations of its customers (urban and rural
areas). The radio upgrade utilizes communications networks with a high grade of availability and reliability to support for operational safety of the underlying gas services that the Company supports. This includes redundant routing of backbone and backhaul networks and extended backup power at every tower station. Finally, some of the key characteristics of the radio upgrade operational components included are highly ruggedized for extreme conditions within the relevant environment, so the communications network devices must last for an extended period of time. The upgrade of the radio console and related equipment will enable more efficient resilience and restore service more quickly after an outage and protect the utilities' employees and customers. Please refer to the prepared direct testimony of Company witness Jerome T. Schmitz for additional benefits of the Radio Project.


## Q. 24 What are the benefits of the Radio Project over utilizing mobile phones?

While Southwest Gas utilizes mobile phones to meet day to day or routine operational needs, mobile phones may not be the best option for communication in an emergency or on a construction job site. The better choice, based on the Company's research, was to upgrade its consoles and related equipment. The five benefits of using radios instead of mobile phones include:

- Service during emergencies - Cell service towers and landlines may fail during an emergency or disaster. However, radios will continue to work during those situations. Additionally, all workers can be contacted at once, as opposed to dialing individual phone numbers via phone.
- Lightweight durability - Radios are designed to be lightweight and longlasting. While some phones may require a protective case for use on the job
site, two-way radios are often built to military and IP specifications, so they are less likely to crack or break when dropped. They are also designed with long battery life, with many models able to continue operating for 12-26 hours.
- Cost effective. There are no monthly fees, service contracts, or calling minutes. Also, several workers can share a radio, cutting costs by avoiding the need to issue one per employee.
- Communication clarity - Unlike many cell phones, two-way radios are designed to offer clear communication in most conditions. They often include features that reduce wind noise and allow resistance to vibration, extreme temperatures, and wet conditions.
- Ease of use - Two-way radios feature touch-button talk communication, creating a simple means of communication. Some models also include cloning capabilities, which simplify the process of copying radio settings.
Q. 25 What was the cost to upgrade the radio console and related equipment?
A. 25 The total cost to upgrade the radio console and related equipment was $\$ 1,787,286.22$ (before allocation to Nevada). This cost was split between two specific work orders: $\$ 1,308,388.44$ (0061W0006834) and $\$ 478,897.78$ (0061W0006835). The approximate cost of the Radio Project after allocation to Northern Nevada and Southern Nevada is $\$ 91,764$ and $\$ 484,779$, respectively.
Q. 26 Do you believe the costs associated with the Radio Project were reasonably incurred?
A. 26 Yes. As stated above in Q/A 8 through $\mathrm{Q} / \mathrm{A} 11$, the Company maintains an EPMO, PRB, and PPC to centralize the governance of processes, tools, and resources to maximize the business value and prioritization of capital projects,
including the Radio Console Project. Consequently, Radio Console Project costs were reasonably incurred based upon the business need as described herein and Confidential Exhibit No._(RNS-5).


## VI. OVERVIEW OF THE TAX REMEDIATION PROJECT

Q. 27 Please provide an overview of the Tax Remediation Project.
A. 27 The purpose of this project was to enhance the PowerPlan PowerTax and PowerTax Provision modules to fully comply with recent tax law changes and to prepare for potential future tax law changes. Specifically, this allowed Southwest Gas to comply with future changes to federal and state income tax rates.
Q. 28 Why was the Tax Remediation Project undertaken at this time?
A. 28 The federal income tax rate decreased in 2017 as part of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (TCJA), which exposed limitations and system challenges related to tax law changes. Future tax law changes would require significant modifications to PowerPlan's PowerTax and PowerTax Provision modules. This would include the following:

- As discussed in the prepared direct testimony of Company witness Byron C. Williams, which further addresses the need for the Tax Remediation Project, PowerTax required configuration changes to provide the level of detail needed to support regulatory scrutiny of the Average Rate Assumption Method (ARAM) calculations which may be complicated by tax rate changes. Controls over tax data integrity and system reconciliations needed to be enhanced and improved to ensure reporting in PowerTax remained reliable and supportable.
- The Tax Remediation Project modified the PowerTax Provision module to conform with industry best practices by reconfiguring the company structure into independent rate jurisdiction "companies" with common components allocated automatically. The new configuration utilized the PowerTax Provision consolidation functionality to capture consolidating adjustments more efficiently.


## Q. 29 What was the total cost for the Tax Remediation Project?

A. 29 The total cost for the Tax Remediation Project (0061W0006855) was $\$ 1,001,889$ (before allocation to Nevada). The approximate cost of the Tax Remediation Project after allocation to Northern Nevada and Southern Nevada is $\$ 51,439$ and $\$ 271,750$, respectively.
Q. 30 Do you believe the costs associated with Tax Remediation Project were reasonably incurred?
A. 30 Yes. As stated above in Q/A 8 through Q/A 11, the Company maintains an EPMO, PRB, and PPC to centralize the governance of processes, tools, and resources to maximize the business value and prioritization of capital projects, including the Tax Remediation Project. Specifically, related to the Tax Remediation Project, RCC (Regulated Capital Consultants) performed configuration changes in each PowerPlan module, including training and HyperCare support. Those specific PowerPlan modules include the following:

- PowerTax Module: Cost of Removal \& Deferred Tax Module - RCC broke out the Cost of Removal (COR) from the method/life, and performed other data, process, and integration updates to allow for a more optimal functioning of the deferred tax calculations. RCC also ensured that none of the changes to
the PowerTax, PowerPlant, or Provision modules will adversely affect the Company's ability to upgrade to future versions of these modules.
- General PowerTax Updates - RCC performed updates to data and configuration to resolve various systems issues.
- Fixed Asset (Plant) Module: Cost of Removal Breakout - RCC broke out the COR from the life reserve and configured the automatic tracking of COR in the Plant and PowerTax modules.
- Provision Module: Provision Module Updates - RCC performed updates to data, configuration, and processes to resolve various Provision system issues and improve future-state processes.
- ASI Module: ASI Bridge Installation - RCC installed and deployed the ASI Bridge, which facilitates communication with the ASI application. ASI Controls - RCC documented and implemented various controls to ensure that the data in the various modules are accurate and identify out-of-balances so they can be remediated in a timely fashion. This also included implementing the Rate Change Analysis tool in ASI to help with Tax Reform Readiness.
- Training and HyperCare: Training - RCC provided no less than 4.5 days of in-person training to Company staff related to the changes to the modules described above. Training materials were provided by RCC. HyperCare RCC provided post-go-live support for the changes to the modules described above from the time the changes are moved into production through the completion of the first quarterly close.

Consequently, the Tax Remediation Project costs were reasonably incurred based upon the business need as described herein and Confidential Exhibit No._(RNS-6).
VII. CONCLUSION
Q. 31 Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?

A 31 Yes.

## SUMMARY OF QUALIFICATIONS Raied Stanley

Mr. Stanley is the Vice President/Chief Information Officer where his responsibilities include leading all aspects of information technology, information security, data, and analytics.

In his position, Mr. Stanley leads and oversees the Information Services (IS) division as well as sets IT direction, and coordinates infrastructure and service delivery across the organization. He is responsible for IS units that support enterprise applications, enterprise data, operations support, user support, infrastructure, communications, and cyber security.

Mr. Stanley joined Southwest in January of 2020. Most recently, Raied held the role of Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer for Metropolitan Utilities District located in Omaha, Nebraska. In this role, he led the Information Technology organization where he was responsible for developing and maintaining core applications, network, computing, server, storage, collaboration, and infrastructure solutions across the enterprise. Before that, he led the IT Business Systems organization where he managed the computing application systems that supported Finance, Human Resources, Corporate, and Commercial Engineering Business Units, as well as the organization's internal systems.

Raied holds a Bachelor's Degree in Business Administration and Finance from Temple University, as well as a Master's Degree in Business from Morehead State University.

## EPMO PORTFOLIO GOVERNANCE ROLES

## ENTERPRISE PROJECT MANAGEMENT OFFICE (EPMO)

1. Focuses on business-driven technology projects
2. Acts as a neutral support department
3. Drives roadmaps in collaboration with functional and technical stakeholders
4. Tracks resources and conducts capacity planning
5. Manages the project intake
6. Provides project management professional services, standardized processes, and supporting tools
7. Performs post-project evaluations for continuous improvement
8. Provides the information for the governance committees to make informed decisions
9. Reports the ongoing status of the project portfolio

## PORTFOLIO REVIEW BOARD (PRB)

1. Ensures the portfolio governance process is followed
2. Prioritizes projects in the portfolio backlog
3. Acts as stewards of the portfolio guiding principles
4. Stays informed of active projects
5. Submits a recommended portfolio to the PPC for budget approval
6. Submits portfolio updates and changes to the PPC for approval
7. Evaluates governance performance metrics for value realization
8. Prioritizes roadmaps for portfolio budgeting

## PORTFOLIO PLANNING COMMITTEE (PPC)

1. Approves the portfolio and makes changes when needed based on recommendations from the PRB
2. Provides financial guidance to the PRB to aid in the portfolio construction process
3. Authorizes project expenditures as set forth in the approved portfolio

THE ENTERPRISE PROJECT MANAGEMENT OFFICE (EPMO) MISSION
PORTFOLIO GOVERNANCE ROLES \& RESPONSIBILITIES
PORTFOLIO GUIDING PRINCIPLES
—— PROJECT INTAKE PROCESS \& WORKFLOW

- PORTFOLIO STATUS UPDATE
THEMSSON
To provide collaboration, transparency, and portfolio governance while continually aiming
for excellence.

THE GOVERNANCE

ROLES \& RESPONSIBILITIES
June 2023

Total
9 Employees
10 Contractors +
Report the status of the project portfolio


Project was submitted to EPMO for preliminary review.

Project scope is clearly defined.

1. Project estimates are within $80 \%$ or higher level of confidence.
Project resources are called out.
The business case has been reviewed by project leadership
and signed by the business sponsor(s) and CIO.

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

5-step process for managing project intake, prioritization, and portfolio approval.



INTAKE PRO ECTS


| Active Intake | On Deck - PRB/PPC Approved | On Hold / Pending |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITSM Remedy Replacement Project <br> Project Nucleus | Enterprise Landlord <br> Cloud IVR Migration | Damage Prevention <br> Digital Forms |
| Physical Security Operations | GIS Utility Network | 2 Above Ground Leak Repo |
| SCADA Upgrade | Gas Resources Optimization | Financial Close/Reporting |
| Tax Repair Module |  | GTreasury Replacement |
| Active Intake Initiatives are actively being | On Deck Initiatives have been approved by | On Hold/Pending Initiatives |
| Business Analysts and have not been submitted to the PRB and/or PCC | the PRB and PCC and are awaiting resources or contracts | addressed by another initiative. this is confirmed. |



- Implementation / Support - Risk Reporting
- Resource Allocation
THE EPMO IS HERE TO SUPPORT AND MANAGE BUSINESS-DRIVEN TECHNOLOGY
BUSINESS-DRIVEN TECHNOLOGY
IMPLEMENTATIONS.
Please contact Steve Spurlock, EPMO@swgas.com, or
Robin Pierce with any questions.
THE EPMO MISSION IS TO PROVIDE
COLLABORATION, TRANSPARENCY, AND PORTFOLIO
GOVERNANCE.
The goal is to provide the communication and tools to bring
visibility and alignment to enterprise technology efforts.



SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION

## NEVADA

TECHNOLOGY-RELATED WORK ORDERS GREATER THAN $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ IN TOTAL COST CLOSED TO PLANT IN SERVICE DECEMBER 2021 - MAY 2023

| Line No. | Work Order Number | Work Order Description | Date First Transferred to Plant | Total Amount <br> Excluding CIAC | CIAC | AFUDC | Line <br> No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) |  |
| 1 | 0061W0005847 | Strategic Financial Planning PH II | Dec-22 | 7,093,208.32 | 0.00 | 182,392.46 | 1 |
| 2 | 0061W0006834 | Radio Console Upgrade Implement-Cor | Dec-21 | 1,308,388.44 | 0.00 | 692.09 | 2 |
| 3 | 0061W0006855 | Tax Remediation System Improvements | May-22 | 1,001,889.13 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3 |
| 4 | 0061W0006560 | Durango Laptop Replacement Project | Aug-22 | 701,616.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4 |
| 5 | 0061W0006967 | Pure Storage Controller Upgrade | Feb-22 | 657,646.41 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5 |
| 6 | 0057W0006516 | 8350 Durango - AV Equipment | Jul-22 | 576,989.43 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6 |
| 7 | 0061W0006835 | Radio Console Upgrade Comm Equip | Dec-21 | 478,897.78 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7 |
| 8 | 0061W0007353 | Zero Trust-Duo MFA-Cloud based | Nov-22 | 419,143.49 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8 |
| 9 | 0061W0006953 | OpenText Extended ECM-Cloud-based | Apr-22 | 406,173.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9 |
| 10 | 0061W0006138 | Server for SFR Project-SWITCH | May-22 | 381,038.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10 |
| 11 | 0061W0007177 | C4C Development-Cloud Based | Jun-22 | 289,000.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11 |
| 12 | 0061W0006858 | HCM Phase III-Benefits Confi-cloud | Feb-22 | 285,547.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12 |
| 13 | 0061W0007031 | 4 Kodak Scanners - Replacement 2021 | Mar-22 | 232,724.51 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13 |
| 14 | 0061W0006966 | VXRail Servers for Out-of-Band Mgmt | Nov-22 | 226,126.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 14 |
| 15 | 0061W0007467 | ZScaler-Netmotion (VPN) Replc-Cloud | May-23 | 218,235.77 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 15 |
| 16 | 0061W0007203 | Audio Visual Room Upgrades | Aug-22 | 217,835.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 16 |
| 17 | 0061W0006484 | Zero Trust ID Mgmt OKTA-Cloud-Based | Dec-21 | 146,353.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 17 |
| 18 | 0061W0006708 | GasBoy CNG Management System-Cloud | Aug-22 | 138,449.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 18 |
| 19 | 0061W0006643 | Spanish Bill Development-Corp | Dec-21 | 105,763.19 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 19 |
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Southwest Gas is providing this information pursuant to the protective agreements executed with Staff and BCP in the above-referenced docket.

Pursuant to NAC 703.710, Raied N. Stanley affirms and declares the following:

1. I am over 18 years of age and am competent to testify to facts stated below which are based upon my personal knowledge.
2. That I am the person identified in the foregoing prepared testimony, including, where applicable, any exhibits.
3. That such testimony and exhibits were prepared by me or under my direction.
4. That the information appearing in my testimony and exhibits are true to the best of my knowledge and belief and that if I were asked the questions stated therein under oath, my answers would be the same.
5. Pursuant to NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.
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# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF NEVADA 

Prepared Direct Testimony
of
William Brincefield

## I. INTRODUCTION

Q. 1 Please state your name and business address.
A. 1 My name is William Brincefield. My business address is 8350 S. Durango Drive, Las Vegas, NV 89113.
Q. 2 By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
A. 2 I am employed by Southwest Gas Corporation (Southwest Gas or Company) in the Real Estate \& Facilities Department. My title is Director.
Q. 3 Please summarize your educational background and relevant business experience.
A. 3 My educational background and relevant business experience are summarized in Appendix A to this testimony.
Q. 4 Have you previously testified before any regulatory commission?
A. 4 Yes. I have previously provided testimony to the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada (Commission).
Q. 5 What is the purpose of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?
A. 5 The purpose of my prepared direct testimony is to provide an overview of the planning process for and management of capital investments and support the reasonableness and prudence of the Company's investment in corporate (system allocable) and Northern Nevada real estate and facilities-related capital projects that are included in the Company's revenue requirement.

## Q. 6 Please summarize your prepared direct testimony.

A. 6 My prepared direct testimony consists of the following key issues:

- Description of the planning process for and management of capital investments in real estate and facilities-related projects; and
- Support for the reasonableness and prudence of Northern Nevada and corporate facilities-related capital investment projects, including a discussion on projects in excess of $\$ 1$ million that were placed into service since the end of the certification period in the Company's 2021 general rate case (GRC) and those capital investment projects that at the time of this filing are anticipated to be placed in service by November 30, 2023 ¹.


## II. CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROJECT PLANNING AND OVERSIGHT PROCESS

Q. 7 Describe the process/oversight applicable to real estate and facilitiesrelated capital investments.
A. 7 The need for facilities-related capital projects is generally realized by demand signals originating from Division Operations management or data/direct observation by Real Estate \& Facilities department personnel. The planning process for capital projects is driven by and relative to the complexity, magnitude, time frame and potential impact of the project. Management of ongoing capital projects is dependent upon the same parameters. As related to financial planning for capital projects, a five-year capital budget is created to address the operational needs as articulated by Division-based operations leadership. ${ }^{2}$ The overall capital budget is then prioritized pursuant to operational

[^40] criticality, seasonal weather fluctuations and available capital resources. Once a specific project has been approved, project requirements are compiled and Vetted, contractors and/or vendors are procured for requests for proposal activities, bids are secured, and contracts are subsequently authored. The projects are managed to completion using various personnel, potentially including Real Estate \& Facilities employees, contracted personnel, and on-site owner representatives for ground-up construction activities.

## III. REAL ESTATE AND FACILITIES-RELATED CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROJECTS

Q. 8 Please describe the scope of the real estate and facilities-related capital investment projects discussed in your prepared direct testimony.
A. 8 I support all real estate and facilities-related capital investments in the Northern Nevada Division and corporate locations placed in service since December 1, 2021.3 Projects represented by work orders greater than $\$ 100,000$ in total are listed on Exhibit No._(WB-1). My prepared direct testimony specifically discusses two work orders with incurred costs equal to $\$ 1$ million or more as of May 31, $2023 .{ }^{4}$
Q. 9 Please provide an overview of the real estate and facilities-related capital investment projects of more than $\$ 1$ million that closed to plant in service between December 1, 2021 and May 31, 2023.
A. 9 The real estate and facilities-related projects with work orders of more than \$1 million include the completion of energy efficiency and space optimization work
${ }^{3}$ The certification period in the Company's most recent general rate case (Docket No. 21-09001) ended November 30, 2021.
${ }^{4}$ Real estate and facilities-related work orders presented on Master Data Request 106. Work order 0057W0005418 reflected in in MDR 106 and shows a total cost of $\$ 2,072,557$. That entire amount is recorded to FERC Account 121.00 - Nonutility Property and is not contemplated in the Company's rate base.
performed at the Company's northern Nevada Operations Center (Operations Center) and new furniture required for the Company's corporate headquarters location in Las Vegas, Nevada.

## IV. NORTHERN NEVADA OPERATIONS CENTER RENNOVATIONS

Q. 10 Please provide an overview of the work included in the Company's Northern Nevada Operations Center Renovations Project (0024W0006808, 0024W0006605 and 0024W0007776).
A. 10 The Northern Nevada Operations Center (NNVOC) Renovations Project (NNVOC Project or Project) consists of three works order. Work order 0024W0006808 included the reconfiguration of the NNVOC lobby to remove an obsolete public office and repurpose the area to include a conference room for internal and external meetings and the renovation of the main break room. Moreover, work order 0024W0006808 included replacement of antiquated ceiling tiles that were more than 25 years old and the replacement of fluorescent lights with more energy efficient LED lights. Work order 0024W0006605 included the installation of rain gutters and snow breaks at the NNVOC and work order 0024 W 0007776 included the installation of furniture.

## Q. 11 Why did Southwest Gas undertake the NNVOC Project?

A. 11 The NNVOC Project was designed and constructed to accomplish multiple objectives. First, there was a need to modernize and more efficiently utilize space in the Operations Center. The Project converted space that was previously designed as a public office area which was not frequently utilized, to a conference room that is now used for internal and external meeting purposes. The Project also improved the lighting and energy efficiency of the NNVOC by replacing antiquated fluorescent lights with more energy efficient LED lights.

Moreover, due to the existing 25 plus year old ceiling tiles' weather damage, and the fact that the lighting fixtures housed within those ceiling tiles were being replaced, the Company replaced the existing ceiling tiles in conjunction with the lighting fixtures.

Work order 0024W0007776 was necessary as it included the purchase and installation of furniture needed to accommodate the newly created conference room, lobby area guest seating, security desk seating, main break room furniture, and other pieces such as desks and chairs for various other locations and users throughout the NNVOC.

Lastly, work order 0024W0006605 included installation of rain gutters and snow breaks necessary for employee safety and vehicle protection. The rain gutters divert water from walkways providing safer conditions around the Operations Center and the snow breaks help prevent buildup of large snow and ice masses which reduce the likelihood of large falling ice from the roof that could cause personal harm or property damage.
Q. 12 What was the total cost of the renovation of the NNVOC?
A. 12 The total cost of the NNVOC was $\$ 2,156,725 .{ }^{5}$ Work order 0024 W 0006605 was placed into service March 2022 and work orders 0024 W 0006808 and 0024W0007776 were placed into service May 2023.
Q. 13 Are the renovations associated with the NNVOC used and useful?
A. 13 Yes. All work orders contemplated in the NNVOC were used and useful as of May 2023.

5 The total costs of work orders $0024 \mathrm{~W} 0006808,0024 \mathrm{~W} 0006605$ and 0024 W 0007776 were $\$ 1,863,942.24$, $\$ 180,441.18$, and $\$ 112,341.25$, respectively.


## SUMMARY OF QUALIFICATIONS WILLIAM BRINCEFIELD

I am a graduate of North Carolina State University having received a Bachelor of Sciences in Environmental Engineering in 1995.

In 1997, I joined the corporate engineering department of Qualex Inc. in North Carolina. In 2003, I joined PM Inc. in Durham, North Carolina as Director of Facilities. In 2007, I joined Johnson Controls, Inc RTP North Carolina and held positions of Director of Facilities Management, Eastern US, NW Region Facilities Director and Director of Facilities Operations. In 2015, I joined Cisco Systems, Inc. TRP and lead the delivery of integrated facilities management services for North, Central and South American real estate portfolio in 15 countries. In 2017, I joined Southwest Gas Corporation as Director/Real Estate and Facilities. I am responsible for Real Estate and Facilities Maintenance services enterprisewide.

I am also a member of cornet, Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design and the International Facility Management Association.

## SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION <br> NEVADA

REAL ESTATE AND FACILITIES - RELATED WORK ORDERS GREATER THAN \$100,000 IN TOTAL COST CLOSED TO PLANT IN SERVICE DECEMBER 2021 - MAY 2023

| Line No. | Work Order Number |  | Work Order Description | Date First Transferred to Plant | Total Amount Excluding CIAC | CIAC | AFUDC | Line No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) |  | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) |  |
| Northern Nevada |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 0024W0006808 |  | Carson City Lobby, EOC, \& Breakroom | May-23 | 1,863,942.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1 |
| 2 | 0024W0006605 |  | Rain Gutter Installation - Carson | Mar-22 | 180,441.18 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2 |
| 3 | 0024W0007776 |  | Furniture - Carson City Remodel | May-23 | 112,341.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 3 |
|  | System Allocable |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | 0057W0005418 | [1] | Land Purchase Durango | Oct-22 | 2,072,556.83 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 4 |
| 5 | 0057W0006478 | [2] | 8350 Durango Furniture - 2nd Floor | Nov-21 | 435,423.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5 |

$\overline{\text { [1] Work order } 0057 \text { W0005418 is recorded to FERC Account } 121.00 \text { - Nonutility Property and not contemplated in the Company's Rate Base. }}$
[2] $\$ 375,228$ in project costs for work order 0057W0006478 were previously contemplated in the Company's certification filing in Docket No. 21-09001. See Certification Exhibit No._(WB-1) Sheet 1 of 3 of Company witness William Brincefield's Certification Testimony. The $\$ 435,423.09$ included in the instant docket represent trailing charges incurred after November 2021 that were not included in Company's last general rate case.

## AFFIRMATION OF WILLIAM BRINCEFIELD

Pursuant to NAC 703.710, William Brincefield affirms and declares the following:

1. I am over 18 years of age and am competent to testify to facts stated below which are based upon my personal knowledge.
2. That I am the person identified in the foregoing prepared testimony, including, where applicable, any exhibits.
3. That such testimony and exhibits were prepared by me or under my direction.
4. That the information appearing in my testimony and exhibits are true to the best of my knowledge and belief and that if I were asked the questions stated therein under oath, my answers would be the same.
5. Pursuant to NRS 53.045, I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED and DATED this 14 day of August, 2023
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## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF NEVADA

Prepared Direct Testimony
of
Matthew A. Helmers

## I. INTRODUCTION

Q. 1 Please state your name and business address.
A. 1 My name is Matthew A. Helmers. My business address is 400 Eagle Station Lane, Carson City, Nevada 89701.
Q. 2 By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
A. 2 I am employed by Southwest Gas Corporation (Southwest Gas or Company) in the Northern Nevada Division. My title is Director/District Operations.
Q. 3 Please summarize your educational background and relevant business experience.
A. 3 My educational background and relevant business experience are summarized in Appendix $A$ to this testimony.
Q. 4 Have you previously testified before any regulatory commission?
A. 4 Yes. I have previously testified before the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada (Commission).
Q. 5 What is the purpose of your prepared direct testimony in this proceeding?
A. 5 The purpose of my prepared direct testimony is to provide an overview of the planning process for and management of capital investments and support the reasonableness and prudence of the Company's investment in capital projects for the Northern Nevada rate jurisdiction that are included in the Company's revenue requirement.
Q. 6 Please summarize your prepared direct testimony.
A. 6 My prepared direct testimony consists of the following key items:

- Description of the planning for and oversight of capital investments for projects in the Northern Nevada Division;
- A discussion on the incremental costs incurred and recorded to the Nevada annual leak survey regulatory asset to support the leak survey requirements adopted by the Commission in Docket No. 19-09011;
- A discussion on the incurred costs recorded to the Customer Owned Yard Line (COYL) regulatory asset approved by the Commission in Docket No. 2108033;
- Determination of prudency of capital investment projects and provide discussion on capital investment projects equal to or exceeding $\$ 1$ million which have been placed in service in the Company's Northern Nevada system since the end of the certification period in Southwest Gas' 2021 general rate case (GRC) and those capital investment projects that at the time of this filing are anticipated to be placed in service by November 30, 2023 ${ }^{1}$; and,
- Determination of prudency of Gas Infrastructure Replacement (GIR) projects to be included in rate base in accordance with Nevada Administrative Code (NAC) 704.7984.

[^41]
## PROCESS

Q. 7 Describe the planning/oversight applicable to Northern Nevada capital investments.
A. 7 The procedural framework for Northern Nevada capital investment projects consists of controls, processes, and procedures for anticipating and mitigating the variability in capital projects. The four key components are transparency of controls, accountability of responsibilities, a project evaluation program, and project risk management process.
Q. 8 Describe the four key components.
A. 8 First, transparency of control for capital projects cuts across different business units. To coordinate the process that strengthens project outcomes, Northern Nevada uses a capital procedural framework composed of six project lifecycle phases and ten project elements. Depending on the complexity and size of the project some or all of the phases and elements may be used. The project lifecycle is planning, design, construction, completion, acceptance, and operations and maintenance. The ten project elements that support the project lifecycle are project organization framework, procurement and contracts, project scope and change management, costs, schedules, systems and tools, issue management, communication and reporting, quality and safety.

Second, accountability of responsibilities supports the processes important for successful project outcomes. The processes are backed with definition of responsibilities and reporting hierarchy so there is accountability for each level in the organization. This is accomplished using policies, procedures, best
practices and guidelines, reporting dashboards and reports, and training for the correct use of policies and procedures. This clarity helps the organization manage capital projects more efficiently by avoiding gaps. Key stakeholders include: Gas Operations Support Staff, Engineering Services, System Integrity, Staff Planning, Regulation and Compliance, Supply Chain, Internal Audit, Risk Management and Safety, Purchasing, and Legal.

Third, the project evaluation program benefits capital projects in several ways: (1) improves policies, procedures and controls; (2) prevents deviations from policies, procedures, and controls; (3) identifies higher risk activities requiring management focus; (4) recommends cost reduction, avoidance, or recovery activities; and (5) provides opportunities for lessons learned and actionable recommendations for continuous improvement for existing and future projects.

Finally, the project risk management process advances an opportunity to monitor risks and identify when a mitigation plan is needed to manage the risk. The process provides the ability to recognize and respond to the early signs of project deviations, such as budgets, construction schedules, project scope changes, material delays, quality and safety concerns, design revisions, contract change orders, and other delays. These situations signal when it is necessary for management to investigate and gather key stakeholders to discuss causes and solutions.

## III. ANNUAL LEAK SURVEY

Q. 9 Please provide an overview of the Commission's Order in Docket No. 1909011.
A. 9 The Commission's Order in Docket No. 19-09011 (Order), adopts the regulations set forth in Legislative Counsel Bureau File No. R032-20 (Regulations), which require, among other things, that a person who operates or maintains any intrastate pipeline in Nevada which is used to transport natural gas, to conduct a leakage survey with leak detector equipment on any such intrastate pipeline at least once per calendar year, at an interval not to exceed 15 months. ${ }^{2}$ The Order allows for incremental costs associated with the compliance with the new Regulations to be tracked in a regulatory asset account and brought for consideration in a utility's next general rate case (Annual Leak Survey Costs). The Order issued July 14, 2021, approved the Regulations effective January 1, 2023. The Order also authorized the establishment of a regulatory asset, described below.
Q. 10 Please describe the estimated costs anticipated to be incurred to meet the new requirements of the Regulations.
A. 10 In support of Nevada's transition to an annual leak survey, the Company requested, and the Commission authorized, the establishment of a regulatory asset to allow utilities to track and defer the incremental expenses (including capital and O\&M expenditures) incurred in compliance with the Regulations. Specifically, the regulatory asset permits utilities to track and defer the revenue requirement (consisting of an amount equal to depreciation and amortization

[^42]expense, the pretax rate of return and incremental operations and maintenance expenses) associated with the implementation of the new regulation (Annual Leak Survey Costs). ${ }^{3}$ Based on the Company's evaluation as of 2020, it estimated incremental Annual Leak Survey Costs of approximately \$6M. In 2021, the Company provided its updated estimated incremental Annual Leak Survey Costs of approximately $\$ 8.8$ million. ${ }^{4}$
Q. 11 When did the Company begin incurring incremental Annual Leak Survey Costs to ensure compliance with the Regulations?
A. 11 As indicated in the Company's Comments filed in Docket 19-09011, the Company estimated that it could take anywhere between 18 and 24 months to transition to annual leak surveys ${ }^{5}$, therefore, in anticipation of the implementation of the new regulation, the Company began incurring costs in July 2022 to ensure a smooth and effective transition to the annual leak survey requirement. As of May 31, 2023, the Company has incurred both capital and O\&M-related Annual Leak Survey Costs to ensure compliance with the Regulations. Below is a summary of the incurred Annual Leak Survey Costs by cost category:

[^43]${ }^{4}$ Southwest Gas comments filed June 17, 2021, in Docket No. 19-09011 at page 2.
${ }^{5}$ Southwest Gas comments filed January 24, 2020, in Docket No. 19-09011 at page 2.

Table 1
Incremental Annual Leak Survey Costs Estimated Year 1 and Actual as of May 31, 2023

| Jurisdiction | Operation \& Maintenance <br> Expense |  | Capital <br> Expenditures |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimated | Actual | Estimated | Actual $^{6}$ |
| Northern | $\$ 1,767,900$ | $\$ 321,150$ | $\$ 232,100$ | $\$ 206,055$ |
| Nevada |  |  |  |  |
| Nouthern | $\$ 5,797,500$ | $\$ 2,871,660$ | $\$ 1,002,500$ | $\$ 586,412$ |
| Total Nevada | $\$ 7,565,400$ | $\$ 3,192,810$ | $\$ 1,234,600$ | $\$ 792,467$ |

The Company intends to update the Annual Leak Survey Costs in its certification filing.
Q. 12 Were the incremental Annual Leak Survey Costs incurred in Northern Nevada required to ensure compliance with the Regulations reasonable and prudent?
A. 12 Yes. The Company's Northern Nevada leak survey schedule prior to the Regulation effective date contemplated the leak survey of all facilities every three years, not annually. Consolidating leak survey activity historically spread over a three-year period to a twelve-month period effectively increased the mileage of facilities to be surveyed in Nevada. Consequently, as described in the Company's comments in Docket No. 19-09011, incremental resources, expenses, and capital expenditures were required to ensure compliance with the

[^44]Regulations. ${ }^{7}$ As such the incurred Annual Leak Survey Costs are reasonable and prudent.
Q. 13 Is the Company able to demonstrate that the Annual Leak Survey Costs deferred to the regulatory asset are incremental to costs it was previously incurring for leak survey activities?
A. 13 Yes. Prior to the adoption of the annual leak survey in Nevada, the Company conducted leak surveys pursuant to the requirements of the Company's Distribution Integrity Management Program (DIMP) and the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) (collectively, the Scheduled Leak Surveys). The Company conducted a leak survey once every three calendar years, and DIMP-identified facilities were surveyed more frequently, such as annual, bi-annual, and quarterly. Regulatory asset treatment, pursuant to Nevada Revised Statutes (NRS) 704.185(2), addressed incremental expenses (including capital and operations and maintenance expenditures) to meet compliance with the Regulations. The incremental leak survey addresses facilities surveyed outside of the Scheduled Leak Surveys conducted by the Company prior to implementation of the Regulations.

For example, the information listed in Exhibit No.__(MAH-1) in my prepared direct testimony identifies three areas: Leak survey region (LSR) 1, LSR 2, and LSR 3. LSR 1 was scheduled for a leak survey in 2023; therefore, LSR 1 is classified as Scheduled Leak Surveys. LSR 2 and LSR 3 were scheduled for 2024 and 2025, respectively, and, because of the Regulations, must now be surveyed in 2023, and are, therefore, classified as incremental.

[^45]Certain facilities located in LSR 2 and LSR 3, which have a more frequent survey pursuant to DIMP, are not classified as incremental and costs related to these specific facilities are not included in the regulatory asset.

Because utilities were authorized to defer only the incremental annual leak survey costs into a regulatory asset, the Company established a program reference number (PRN) to enable the tracking of incremental costs separately from the costs incurred for the Scheduled Leak Surveys.
Q. 14 Has the Company incurred a full year of incremental Annual Leak Survey Costs under the Regulations?
A. 14 No. Notwithstanding the Annual Leak Survey Costs incurred through May 2023 being in alignment with the preliminary Company estimates provided in its June 17, 2021, comments in Docket No. No. 19-09011, the Company has not yet completed the first full year of annual leak surveys required under the Regulations. As such, the Company seeks approval to include the Annual Leak Survey Costs incurred through November 30, 2023, in base rates and to continue tracking Annual Leak Survey Costs incurred thereafter in a regulatory asset as further discussed in the prepared direct testimony of Company witness Christopher M. Brown.

## IV. COYL REGULATORY ASSET

Q. 15 Please provide an overview of the COYL Program approved by the Commission in Docket No. 21-08003.
A. 15 Southwest Gas and the Regulatory Operations Staff of the Commission (Staff) jointly filed a joint petition in Docket No. 21-08003, and the Commission approved, the creation of a new, broader program for the Company's COYL replacements which allows for replacement of primarily residential and public
school COYLs in its northern and southern Nevada service territories (COYL Program). ${ }^{8}$ The COYL Program has an estimated annual investment amount of $\$ 5$ million per year (\$25 million total program cost) with approximately $\$ 2$ million/year allocated to Northern Nevada and approximately $\$ 3$ million/year allocated to Southern Nevada. ${ }^{9}$ The Commission authorized the COYL Program to include replacements of COYLs discovered at non-profit or other publicly funded facilities where private funding is limited or unavailable for COYL replacement and the COYL is believed to be a safety concern. Moreover, the Commission authorized the Company to record COYL Program capital costs in a regulatory asset account. ${ }^{10}$ The Company would then seek recovery of those deferred COYL Program capital costs in a future GRC application. ${ }^{11}$
Q. 16 Provide an overview of the Northern Nevada's COYL progress and the related capital costs incurred to date.
A. 16 The Company has replaced 150 COYLs in Northern Nevada under this program. This includes 13 at schools, 19 at the 4H Camp in Stateline, NV, and 118 residential services. Northern Nevada has replaced five public school COYLs with approximately 35 remaining throughout its service territory.

Single service COYL replacements are completed under a blanket work order which are reflected in 0024CB025120 and 0026CB025120. Specific jobs that include installation or replacement of main to facilitate the elimination of the COYL(s) include 0023W4366512 - 4H Camp COYL Replacement,
${ }^{8}$ The Commission previously approved a Northern Nevada COYL program in Docket No. 18-06004 which was granted GIR treatment. That program concluded December 31, 2021. Costs incurred under the previous COYL program are further discussed in my testimony, below.
${ }^{9}$ See the Commission's Order in Docket No. 21-08003 at page 4.
${ }^{10}$ See the Commission's Order in Docket No. 21-08003 at pages 4 and 5.
${ }^{11}$ See the Company's compliance filings in Docket No. 21-08003 dated March 15, 2022 and April 13, 2022.

0024 W4428636 - Carson Valley Middle School, and 0026W4202388 - Chukar Hills Mobile Home Park Replacement. This work represents a total capital investment of \$943,049.17 to improve safety.
Q. 17 Were the COYL Program capital costs incurred in Northern Nevada reasonable and prudent?
A. 17 Yes. In coordination with Staff, the Company identified, prioritized, and successfully completed the construction of multiple COYL projects, as contemplated by the Commission's order approving the COYL Program.

## V. CAPITAL INVESTMENT PROJECTS

Q. 18 Please describe the scope of the capital investment projects discussed in your prepared direct testimony.
A. 18 I support all capital investments for distribution projects in Northern Nevada placed in service since December 1, 2021. Projects represented by work orders greater than $\$ 100,000$ in total cost are listed in Exhibit No.__(MAH-2). My prepared direct testimony specifically discusses work orders which incurred costs equal to $\$ 1$ million or more as of May 31, 2023.

Spring Creek Expansion Area (SCEA) capital projects placed into service between December 2021 and May 2023 are not included in the Company's rate base or revenue requirement in the instant application. ${ }^{12}$ Consequently, there is no specific discussion or prudency packages included for those SCEA work orders in my testimony.

[^46]Q. 19 Please provide an overview of the capital investment projects more than \$1 million that closed to plant in service between December 1, 2021 and May 31, 2023.
A. 19 The capital investment projects more than $\$ 1$ million include four blanket work orders for meter installations, meter replacements, and random new business service installations. The blanket work order projects are more fully discussed below.

## VI. BLANKET WORK ORDERS

Q. 20 Please describe the purpose of blanket work orders.
A. 20 Blanket work orders (BWOs) were established to efficiently capture the cost of many small main, service, meter, and COYL transactions into a BWO for similar type of work. BWOs, in essence, combine what would otherwise be many different work orders for similar work (i.e., meter installations) into one BWO. The BWO structure helps Southwest Gas manage the multitude of projects and lowers the administrative burden of tracking and accounting for a large number of separate work orders. Southwest Gas has a series of BWO numbers for Northern Nevada that are used to capture the material acquisition and installation charges related to the following: 1) new meter installations; 2) regular service replacements; 3) new main installations of less than 100 feet; 4) new service installations; 5) new random service installations; (6) new service commercial installations; (7) regular replacement mains of less than 100 feet; (8) franchise related main replacement of less than 100 feet. ${ }^{13 \text { Biob] }}$.

[^47]Q. 21 Please describe the BWO's with recorded costs of at least $\$ 1$ million.
A. 21 As of May 31, 2023, the following blanket work orders had incurred costs of at least $\$ 1$ million:

- New Meters BWO 0024CB030000 - The New Meters BWO recorded costs associated with the purchase of meters for all Northern Nevada districts and installation of meter set assemblies in the Carson district. As of May 31, 2023, this BWO had incurred costs of $\$ 1,751,173$.
- Replacement Meters BWO 0024CB035000 - The Replacement Meters BWO recorded costs associated with the purchase of replacement meters for all Northern Nevada districts and replacement of meter set assemblies in the Carson district. As of May 31, 2023, this BWO had incurred costs of \$1,054,052.
- New Service Subdivision BWO 0024CB041000 - The New Service Subdivision BWO recorded costs associated with the installation of services to new residential homes within a subdivision in the Carson district. As of May 31, 2023, this BWO had incurred costs of $\$ 1,352,133$.
- New Random Services - Southwest Gas Trench BWO 0024CB043000 New Random Services - Southwest Gas Trench BWO recorded costs associated with the installation of services to random residential homes outside of a subdivision within the Carson district. As of May 31, 2023, this BWO had incurred costs of $\$ 1,676,846$ with a corresponding contribution in aid of construction (CIAC) of $(\$ 278,436)$.
Q. 22 Are the facilities installed under the New Meters BWO (0024CB030000) used and useful? Yes. Each new customer requires the installation of a meter set assembly to regulate the delivery pressure to the customer and to measure the amount of natural gas for billing purposes. The New Meter BWOs capture the costs associated with installing a meter set assembly, including labor, the meter purchase, Encoder Receiver Transmitter (ERT), regulator, nipples, tees, elbows, and any miscellaneous parts involved with the placement of the new meter set. This work happens on a daily basis across the Division. The meter set assemblies captured in the New Meters BWOs are necessary and utilized in the provision of natural gas service to the Company's customers.
Q. 23 Are the facilities installed under the Replacement Meters BWO (0024CB035000) used and useful?
A. 23 Yes. Each customer requires a meter set assembly to regulate the delivery pressure to the customer and to measure the amount of natural gas for billing purposes. At times, one or more of the parts of the meter set assembly may need replacement. The Replacement Meter BWOs capture the costs involved with the purchase and partial or full replacement of meter set assemblies. This work happens on a daily basis throughout the service territory, and the Replacement BWO captures the associated costs with that partial or full replacement. The replacement of meter set assemblies that are captured in the Replacement Meters BWO are necessary and utilized in the provision of natural gas service to the Company's customers.
Q. 24 Are the facilities installed under the New Service Subdivision BWO (0024CB041000) used and useful?
A. 24 Yes. Each customer requires a service to deliver the natural gas from the main to the meter set. While the main in a subdivision is installed at one time or in a phased format, the services are not run at the same time. For safety, the service is not installed from the main to the riser until a majority of excavation is complete on the homesite. Once the service is installed, it is gassed and placed into service.
Q. 25 Are the facilities installed under the New Random Services - Southwest Gas Trench BWO (0024CB043000) used and useful?
A. 25 Yes. Each customer requires a service to deliver the natural gas from the main to the meter set. If required, the main to a new random residential home is installed at one time, but the service is not run at the same time. For safety, the service is not installed from the main to the riser until a majority of excavation is complete on the homesite. Once the service is installed, it is gassed and placed into service.


## VII. DETERMINATION OF PRUDENCY OF GIR PROJECTS

Q. 26 Please provide an overview of the previously approved GIR projects included in this Application. Southwest Gas was authorized to defer costs associated with certain pipe replacement projects into regulatory assets for inclusion in the GIR mechanism in the following dockets:

1. Docket 17-05027: In its 2017 GIR Advance Application, Southwest Gas was authorized to establish a regulatory asset for costs related to approved VSP and EVPP Projects in Southern Nevada and VSP and COYL Projects in Northern Nevada.
2. Docket 18-06004: In its 2018 GIR Advance Application, Southwest Gas was authorized to establish a regulatory asset for costs related to approved VSP and EVPP Projects in Southern Nevada and customer-owned yard line (COYL) in Northern Nevada.

Please refer to Exhibit No.__(MAH-3) to my prepared direct testimony for a summary of the GIR plant in service for each of the above-referenced dockets, by work order, as of the test period ended May 31, 2023.
Q. 27
A. 27

27
Yes. The Company's GIR projects have already been evaluated and approved by the Commission in various dockets.
Q. 28 Please describe the requirements for seeking a determination of prudency for GIR projects.
A. 28

Pursuant to NAC 704.7984, a utility must seek a determination of prudency for GIR projects previously approved by the Commission which have been accounted for in a GIR rate and is required to submit evidence in support of the recorded cost for each GIR project completed since the last general rate application filed. This evidence should include invoices for each project, a copy of the work order, a breakout of labor costs, and any other evidence that demonstrates prudency.
Q. 29 Is the Company seeking a determination of prudency for GIR projects in

## this Application?

A. 29 Yes, the Company is seeking a determination of prudency for the costs of the previously approved GIR projects and inclusion of the projects in rate base.
Q. 30 Were the GIR projects prudent?
A. 30 Yes. Each of the GIR projects proposed for inclusion into rate base and general rates were approved by the Commission, most of which were reviewed on
multiple occasions through Commission-approved GIR Advance Applications (Docket Nos. 17-05027, 18-06004) and GIR Rate Applications. The benefits of the GIR projects were discussed at length the above referenced dockets and are incorporated herein by this reference. The plant involved in those projects is used and useful as it continues to be used to provide natural gas service to the Company's customers. Accordingly, the GIR projects are prudent and should be included in rate base and general rates.
Q. 31 Please describe the evidence the Company submitted in this Application supporting the recorded costs for the GIR projects.
A. 31 Southwest Gas compiled prudency packages for each work order related to an approved GIR project which include the following: (1) a copy of the work order with cost breakout by charge type, (2) a summary of all invoices by the six cost categories, as applicable, described in NAC 704.7984(2), and (3) a copy of each invoice. This information is included as Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (MAH-4) to my prepared direct testimony.
Q. 32 Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?
A. 32 Yes.

# SUMMARY OF QUALIFICATIONS MATTHEW A. HELMERS 

Matthew A. Helmers is the director/District Operations for the Northern Nevada Division for Southwest Gas Corporation (Southwest Gas). Mr. Helmers joined Southwest Gas in 2001 as an engineer in Carson City, NV. He was subsequently promoted to engineer II in 2003 and then transferred to Paiute Pipeline (currently known as Great Basin Gas Transmission Company), a wholly owned subsidiary of Southwest Gas, in 2004. He was promoted to transmission engineer in 2005, and then returned to Southwest Gas as supervisor/Engineering in 2006. During this period, Mr. Helmers oversaw the design of transmission and distribution facilities for new business, franchise and system reinforcements; PVC pipeline replacements, pipeline safety code compliance, pipeline pigging plans, MAOP studies; and preparation of short and long-term capital budgets.

He was promoted to manager/Operations Planning and Analysis in 2012 where he organized operational metric tracking, represented the company and became the chairperson for the American Gas Association's Best Practices program, represented operations in various projects and initiatives, budget planning; and oversaw company-wide initiatives to improve safety, quality and reliability.

Mr. Helmers was promoted director/District Operations in 2017 where he is responsible for the engineering, GIS, construction, and customer service departments throughout the Northern Nevada Division. He focuses on improving safety and quality initiatives that reduce emergency response times and pipeline damages, while improving the customer experience.

He holds a Bachelor of Science degree in Mechanical Engineering and Master of Business Administration from the University of Nevada, Reno.

MAH-1
Northern Nevada Annual Leak Survey Regions

| District | Survey Area City | Latitude | Longitude | Tile | LSR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x957y2128 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x957y2130 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x957y2132 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x957y2134 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x957y2136 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x957y2138 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x957y2140 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x957y2142 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x957y2144 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x957y2146 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x957y2148 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x957y2150 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x960y2108 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x960y2110 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x960y2112 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x960y2114 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x960y2116 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x960y2118 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x960y2120 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x960y2122 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x960y2124 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x960y2126 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x960y2128 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x960y2144 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x960y2146 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x963y2146 | LSR1 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x945y2154 | LSR2 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x948y2154 | LSR2 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x951y2150 | LSR2 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x951y2152 | LSR2 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x951y2154 | LSR2 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x954y2144 | LSR2 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x954y2146 | LSR2 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x954y2148 | LSR2 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x954y2150 | LSR2 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x954y2152 | LSR2 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x897y2096 | LSR3 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x897y2098 | LSR3 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x900y2098 | LSR3 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x900y2100 | LSR3 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x945y2144 | LSR3 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x945y2146 | LSR3 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x948y2138 | LSR3 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x948y2140 | LSR3 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x948y2142 | LSR3 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x948y2144 | LSR3 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x948y2146 | LSR3 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x948y2148 | LSR3 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x951y2138 | LSR3 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x951y2140 | LSR3 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x951y2142 | LSR3 |


| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x951y2144 | LSR3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x951y2146 | LSR3 |
| 26 | BATTLE MOUNTAIN | 40.6421 | -116.9343 | x951y2148 | LSR3 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1077y2134 | LSR1 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1077y2136 | LSR1 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1089y2138 | LSR1 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1131y2156 | LSR1 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1131y2158 | LSR1 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1182y2178 | LSR1 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1182y2180 | LSR1 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1182y2188 | LSR1 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1182y2190 | LSR1 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1182y2192 | LSR1 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1185y2188 | LSR1 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1185y2178 | LSR2 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1185y2180 | LSR2 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1188y2180 | LSR2 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1188y2182 | LSR2 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1182y2182 | LSR3 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1185y2182 | LSR3 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1185y2184 | LSR3 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1185y2186 | LSR3 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1188y2184 | LSR3 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1188y2186 | LSR3 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1191y2184 | LSR3 |
| 25 | CARLIN | 40.7138 | -116.104 | x1191y2186 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x147y1620 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x147y1622 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x150y1616 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x150y1618 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x150y1620 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x150y1622 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x150y1624 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x153y1606 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x153y1608 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x153y1610 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x153y1616 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x153y1618 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x153y1620 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x153y1622 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x153y1624 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x156y1606 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x156y1608 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x156y1610 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x156y1612 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x156y1614 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1596 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1610 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1620 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x156y1616 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x156y1618 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x156y1622 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1616 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1618 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1598 | LSR1 |


| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1600 | LSR1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1602 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1604 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1606 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1608 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1610 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1616 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1618 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1598 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1600 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1602 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1604 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1606 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1608 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1612 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1618 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1622 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x174y1602 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x174y1604 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x174y1606 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x174y1608 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x174y1610 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x174y1612 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x174y1618 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x174y1620 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x174y1622 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x177y1602 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x177y1604 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x177y1606 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x177y1608 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x177y1620 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x180y1606 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x180y1608 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x159y1594 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x159y1612 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x162y1622 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1586 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1588 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1594 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1622 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1624 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1584 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1592 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1594 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1626 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1628 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x159y1596 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x159y1606 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x159y1608 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x159y1616 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x159y1618 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x159y1620 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x159y1622 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x159y1624 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x162y1594 | LSR2 |


| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x162y1596 | LSR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x162y1598 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x162y1600 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x162y1602 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x162y1608 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x162y1610 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x162y1620 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1590 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1592 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1596 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1598 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1600 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1608 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1610 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1620 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1586 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1588 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1590 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1594 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1596 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1620 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1622 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1624 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1626 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1588 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1590 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1592 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1624 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x174y1624 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x162y1618 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x159y1614 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x162y1604 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x162y1606 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x162y1612 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x162y1614 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x162y1616 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1602 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1604 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1606 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1612 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x165y1614 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1612 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x168y1614 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1614 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x171y1616 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x174y1614 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x174y1616 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x177y1610 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x177y1612 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x177y1614 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x177y1616 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x177y1618 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x180y1614 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x180y1616 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x180y1618 | LSR3 |


| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x180y1620 | LSR3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x183y1614 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x183y1616 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x183y1618 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON CITY | 39.1638 | -119.7674 | x186y1618 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x174y1540 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x174y1564 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x156y1572 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x156y1574 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x156y1578 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x156y1580 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x156y1582 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x159y1556 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x159y1558 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x159y1560 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x159y1562 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x159y1564 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x159y1572 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x159y1574 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x159y1576 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x159y1578 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x159y1580 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x162y1546 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x162y1548 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x162y1550 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x162y1558 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x162y1560 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x162y1562 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x162y1576 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x162y1578 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x162y1580 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x165y1546 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x165y1548 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x165y1550 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x165y1552 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x165y1554 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x165y1556 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x165y1558 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x165y1560 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x165y1562 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x168y1554 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x168y1556 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x168y1558 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x168y1560 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x174y1562 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x177y1540 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x177y1562 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x177y1564 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x177y1566 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x180y1536 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x180y1562 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x180y1564 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x180y1566 | LSR1 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x156y1552 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x159y1582 | LSR2 |


| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x162y1564 | LSR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x162y1566 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x162y1582 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x162y1584 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x162y1586 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x162y1588 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x162y1590 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x165y1564 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x165y1566 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x165y1582 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x165y1584 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x168y1562 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x168y1564 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x168y1566 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x168y1568 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x171y1556 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x174y1556 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x174y1560 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x159y1552 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x162y1592 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x171y1558 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x171y1560 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x174y1558 | LSR2 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x138y1586 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x138y1588 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x141y1582 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x141y1586 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x141y1588 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x144y1580 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x144y1582 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x147y1578 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x147y1580 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x147y1582 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x147y1584 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x150y1578 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x150y1582 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x150y1584 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x153y1584 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x156y1584 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x156y1586 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x156y1588 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x159y1584 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x159y1586 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x159y1588 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x159y1590 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x168y1540 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x171y1564 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x171y1566 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x171y1568 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x171y1570 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x174y1566 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x177y1554 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x177y1556 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x180y1552 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x180y1554 | LSR3 |


| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x180y1556 | LSR3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x180y1558 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x180y1560 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x141y1584 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x144y1584 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x144y1586 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x150y1580 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x153y1582 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x171y1562 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x177y1558 | LSR3 |
| 24 | CARSON VALLEY | 38.9265 | -119.6499 | x177y1560 | LSR3 |
| 23 | CRYSTAL BAY | 39.2278 | -120.0047 | x96y1630 | LSR3 |
| 23 | CRYSTAL BAY | 39.2278 | -120.0047 | x96y1632 | LSR3 |
| 23 | CRYSTAL BAY | 39.2278 | -120.0047 | x96y1634 | LSR3 |
| 23 | CRYSTAL BAY | 39.2278 | -120.0047 | x96y1636 | LSR3 |
| 23 | CRYSTAL BAY | 39.2278 | -120.0047 | x96y1638 | LSR3 |
| 23 | CRYSTAL BAY | 39.2278 | -120.0047 | x96y1644 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x219y1636 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x222y1636 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x222y1638 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x222y1640 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x225y1638 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x225y1640 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x228y1638 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x228y1640 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x228y1642 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x231y1640 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x231y1642 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x231y1644 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x231y1646 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x231y1648 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x234y1640 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x234y1642 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x234y1644 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x234y1646 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x234y1648 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x234y1660 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x234y1662 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x234y1664 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x237y1642 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x237y1660 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x237y1662 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x240y1660 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x240y1662 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x240y1664 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x240y1666 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x243y1660 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x243y1662 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x243y1664 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x243y1666 | LSR1 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x213y1636 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x207y1628 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x210y1628 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x210y1630 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x213y1630 | LSR2 |


| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x213y1632 | LSR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x213y1634 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x213y1638 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x216y1634 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x216y1636 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x216y1638 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x219y1634 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x222y1632 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x222y1634 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x222y1646 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x225y1632 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x225y1634 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x225y1636 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x225y1648 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x225y1650 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x225y1652 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x225y1658 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x225y1660 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x228y1650 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x228y1652 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x228y1654 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x228y1656 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x228y1658 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x228y1660 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x231y1650 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x231y1652 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x231y1654 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x231y1656 | LSR2 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x213y1640 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x213y1642 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x213y1644 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x213y1646 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x213y1648 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x216y1640 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x216y1642 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x216y1644 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x216y1646 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x216y1648 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x219y1644 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x219y1646 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x219y1648 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x222y1648 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x222y1650 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x231y1658 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x231y1660 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x231y1662 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x231y1664 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x234y1652 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x234y1654 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x234y1656 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x234y1658 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x237y1654 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x237y1656 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x240y1654 | LSR3 |
| 24 | DAYTON | 39.2371 | -119.593 | x240y1656 | LSR3 |


| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1275y2226 | LSR1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1275y2228 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1275y2230 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1278y2226 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1278y2228 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1278y2230 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1281y2228 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1281y2230 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1281y2232 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1284y2240 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1287y2222 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1287y2230 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1287y2234 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1287y2236 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1287y2238 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1287y2240 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1287y2244 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1290y2226 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1290y2228 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1290y2238 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1290y2240 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1290y2242 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1290y2244 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1293y2242 | LSR1 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1260y2214 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1260y2216 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1260y2218 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1263y2216 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1263y2218 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1269y2216 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1269y2220 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1269y2222 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1269y2230 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1269y2232 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1269y2234 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1272y2208 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1272y2210 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1272y2212 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1272y2214 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1272y2216 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1272y2218 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1272y2222 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1272y2224 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1272y2232 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1272y2234 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1275y2214 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1275y2216 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1275y2218 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1275y2220 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1275y2222 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1275y2224 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1275y2232 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1275y2234 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1278y2232 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1278y2234 | LSR2 |


| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1281y2234 | LSR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1281y2236 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1284y2224 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1284y2228 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1284y2230 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1284y2232 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1284y2234 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1284y2236 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1284y2238 | LSR2 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1266y2218 | LSR3 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1266y2220 | LSR3 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1266y2224 | LSR3 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1266y2226 | LSR3 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1266y2228 | LSR3 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1266y2230 | LSR3 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1269y2224 | LSR3 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1269y2226 | LSR3 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1269y2228 | LSR3 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1272y2226 | LSR3 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1272y2228 | LSR3 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1272y2230 | LSR3 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1278y2220 | LSR3 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1278y2222 | LSR3 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1278y2224 | LSR3 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1281y2222 | LSR3 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1281y2224 | LSR3 |
| 25 | ELKO | 40.8324 | -115.7631 | x1281y2226 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x420y1718 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x420y1720 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x423y1714 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x423y1716 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x423y1718 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x423y1720 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x426y1712 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x426y1714 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x426y1716 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x426y1718 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x426y1720 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x429y1714 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x429y1716 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x429y1718 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x429y1720 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x438y1728 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x438y1730 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x441y1708 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x441y1712 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x441y1714 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x441y1716 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x441y1722 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x441y1724 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x441y1726 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x441y1728 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x444y1708 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x444y1710 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x444y1712 | LSR1 |


| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x444y1714 | LSR1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x444y1716 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x444y1722 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x444y1724 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x447y1710 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x447y1712 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x447y1714 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x399y1732 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x399y1734 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x402y1730 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x402y1732 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x405y1730 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x405y1732 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x408y1726 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x408y1728 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x408y1730 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x411y1726 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x411y1728 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x414y1726 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x414y1728 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x414y1730 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x417y1726 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x417y1728 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x417y1730 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x420y1728 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x420y1730 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x432y1716 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x432y1718 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x432y1720 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x432y1722 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x435y1714 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x435y1716 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x435y1722 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x435y1724 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x435y1726 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x435y1728 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x438y1714 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x441y1718 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x444y1718 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x447y1694 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x447y1696 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x447y1698 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x447y1700 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x447y1702 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x447y1704 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x447y1706 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x447y1708 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x447y1716 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x447y1718 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x450y1704 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x450y1708 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x450y1710 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x450y1712 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x450y1714 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x450y1716 | LSR2 |


| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x450y1718 | LSR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x414y1722 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x414y1724 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x417y1720 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x417y1722 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x417y1724 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x420y1722 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x420y1724 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x420y1726 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x423y1722 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x423y1724 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x423y1726 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x423y1728 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x426y1722 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x426y1724 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x426y1726 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x426y1728 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x429y1722 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x429y1724 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x429y1726 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x432y1724 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x432y1726 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x435y1718 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x435y1720 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x438y1716 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x438y1718 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x438y1720 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x438y1722 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x438y1724 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x438y1726 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x441y1720 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x441y1730 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x444y1720 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x444y1726 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x444y1728 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x444y1730 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x447y1720 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x447y1722 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x447y1726 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x447y1730 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x450y1720 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x450y1722 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x456y1698 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x456y1700 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x459y1692 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x459y1694 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x459y1696 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x459y1698 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x459y1700 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x459y1702 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x459y1704 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x459y1706 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x459y1708 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x462y1686 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x462y1688 | LSR3 |


| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x462y1698 | LSR3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x462y1700 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x462y1702 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x462y1708 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x465y1688 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x465y1692 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x465y1694 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x465y1698 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x465y1706 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x465y1708 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x468y1684 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FALLON | 39.4749 | -118.777 | x471y1684 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x282y1764 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x285y1764 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x285y1766 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x288y1766 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x288y1768 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x291y1768 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x29191770 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x291y1772 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x294y1768 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x294y1770 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x294y1772 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x297y1772 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x29791774 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x297y1776 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x297y1778 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x297y1780 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x297y1782 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x300y1776 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x300y1778 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x300y1780 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x300y1782 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x303y1770 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x303y1776 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x303y1778 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x306y1770 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x306y1772 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x306y1774 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x306y1776 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x312y1764 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x312y1766 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x312y1768 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x312y1770 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x315y1768 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x315y1770 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x321y1758 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x321y1760 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x321y1762 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x321y1764 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x321y1766 | LSR1 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x300y1764 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x303y1758 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x303y1764 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x303y1766 | LSR2 |


| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x303y1768 | LSR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x306y1758 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x306y1760 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x306y1762 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x306y1764 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x306y1766 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x306y1768 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x309y1764 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x309y1766 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x309y1768 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x309y1770 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x315y1764 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x318y1760 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x318y1762 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x318y1764 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x324y1758 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x324y1764 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x324y1766 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x327y1758 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x327y1760 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x327y1762 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x327y1764 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x330y1758 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x330y1762 | LSR2 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x309y1760 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x309y1762 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x312y1754 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x312y1756 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x312y1758 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x312y1760 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x312y1762 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x315y1756 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x315y1758 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x315y1760 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x315y1762 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x315y1766 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x318y1758 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x318y1766 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x318y1768 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x318y1770 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x321y1768 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x321y1770 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x321y1772 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x324y1760 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x324y1762 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x324y1768 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x324y1770 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x324y1772 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x327y1766 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x327y1768 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x327y1770 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x327y1772 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x327y1774 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x330y1760 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x333y1758 | LSR3 |


| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x333y1760 | LSR3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x333y1762 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x336y1758 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x336y1760 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x336y1762 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x339y1758 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x339y1760 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x339y1762 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x342y1758 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x342y1760 | LSR3 |
| 27 | FERNLEY | 39.608 | -119.2518 | x342y1762 | LSR3 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x138y1546 | LSR1 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x138y1548 | LSR1 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x138y1550 | LSR1 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x138y1552 | LSR1 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x141y1546 | LSR1 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x141y1552 | LSR1 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x141y1554 | LSR1 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x141y1556 | LSR1 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x141y1548 | LSR1 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x141y1550 | LSR1 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x159y1548 | LSR1 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x141y1558 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x141y1560 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x144y1558 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x144y1560 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x144y1562 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x144y1564 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x144y1566 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x144y1568 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x144y1570 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x144y1572 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x147y1562 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x147y1564 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x147y1568 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x147y1570 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x147y1572 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x150y1564 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x150y1566 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x150y1568 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x147y1566 | LSR2 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x141y1536 | LSR3 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x141y1538 | LSR3 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x141y1540 | LSR3 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x144y1540 | LSR3 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x144y1550 | LSR3 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x144y1554 | LSR3 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x144y1556 | LSR3 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x147y1538 | LSR3 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x147y1546 | LSR3 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x147y1550 | LSR3 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x144y1542 | LSR3 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x144y1544 | LSR3 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x144y1548 | LSR3 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x144y1552 | LSR3 |


| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x147y1548 | LSR3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x150y1548 | LSR3 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x153y1548 | LSR3 |
| 24 | GENOA | 39.0041 | -119.8472 | x156y1548 | LSR3 |
| 27 | HAZEN | 39.5652 | -119.0463 | x369y1728 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x108y1644 | LSR3 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x105y1642 | LSR1 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x108y1642 | LSR1 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x111y1642 | LSR1 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x111y1644 | LSR1 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x117y1632 | LSR1 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x117y1634 | LSR1 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x117y1636 | LSR1 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x117y1638 | LSR1 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x117y1640 | LSR1 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x117y1642 | LSR1 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x120y1636 | LSR1 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x120y1640 | LSR1 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x120y1642 | LSR1 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x120y1644 | LSR1 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x102y1640 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x102y1642 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x102y1644 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x102y1646 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x105y1640 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x105y1644 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x105y1646 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x105y1648 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x108y1648 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x114y1634 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x114y1636 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x114y1638 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x114y1640 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x114y1642 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x 114 y 1644 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x117y1644 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x99y1636 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x99y1638 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x99y1640 | LSR2 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x108y1638 | LSR3 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x108y1640 | LSR3 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x108y1646 | LSR3 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x111y1640 | LSR3 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x111y1646 | LSR3 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x111y1648 | LSR3 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x111y1650 | LSR3 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x111y1636 | LSR3 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x111y1638 | LSR3 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x114y1646 | LSR3 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x114y1648 | LSR3 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x 114 y 1650 | LSR3 |
| 23 | INCLINE VILLAGE | 39.2497 | -119.9527 | x117y1646 | LSR3 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x528y1976 | LSR1 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x528y1978 | LSR1 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x528y1980 | LSR1 |


| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x528y1982 | LSR1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x528y1984 | LSR1 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x528y1986 | LSR1 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x531y1978 | LSR1 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x528y1988 | LSR2 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x528y1990 | LSR2 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x531y1976 | LSR2 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x531y1986 | LSR2 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x543y2004 | LSR2 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x546y2004 | LSR2 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x549y1994 | LSR2 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x549y1996 | LSR2 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x549y1998 | LSR2 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x552y1994 | LSR2 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x552y1998 | LSR2 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x552y2000 | LSR2 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x555y1992 | LSR2 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x555y1994 | LSR2 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x591y2130 | LSR2 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x519y1976 | LSR3 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x522y1976 | LSR3 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x525y1972 | LSR3 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x525y1974 | LSR3 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x525y1976 | LSR3 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x528y1966 | LSR3 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x528y1968 | LSR3 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x528y1970 | LSR3 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x528y1972 | LSR3 |
| 27 | LOVELOCK | 40.1794 | -118.4735 | x528y1974 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x162y1526 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x171y1510 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x 171 y 1520 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x162y1530 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x162y1534 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x165y1518 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x165y1520 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x165y1522 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x165y1524 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x165y1526 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x165y1528 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x165y1530 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x165y1532 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x165y1534 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x165y1536 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x168y1518 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x168y1520 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x168y1522 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x168y1524 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x171y1512 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x171y1514 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x171y1522 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x171y1524 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x171y1540 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x174y1510 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x 174 y 1512 | LSR1 |


| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x174y1514 | LSR1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x177y1512 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x177y1514 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x177y1532 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x177y1534 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x177y1536 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x180y1508 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x180y1510 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x180y1512 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x180y1514 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x180y1516 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x180y1532 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x180y1534 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x180y1538 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x180y1540 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x183y1504 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x183y1506 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x183y1508 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x183y1510 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x183y1532 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x183y1538 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x183y1540 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x186y1504 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x186y1506 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x186y1508 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x186y1510 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x186y1512 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x189y1506 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x189y1508 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x189y1510 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x189y1512 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x162y1508 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x162y1510 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x162y1512 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x162y1514 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x162y1516 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x165y1508 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x165y1512 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x165y1514 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x165y1516 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x168y1514 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x168y1530 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x168y1534 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x171y1528 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x 171 y 1536 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x174y1528 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x 174 y 1530 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x 174 y 1532 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x165y1510 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x168y1512 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x168y1516 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x168y1526 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x168y1528 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x171y1526 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x171y1534 | LSR2 |


| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x174y1516 | LSR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x174y1534 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x174y1536 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x177y1516 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x177y1518 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x177y1520 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x180y1518 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x180y1520 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x183y1514 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x183y1516 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x183y1518 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x183y1520 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x183y1522 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x183y1524 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x186y1518 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x186y1520 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x186y1522 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x186y1524 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x189y1518 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x156y1532 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x156y1534 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x156y1536 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x156y1538 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x159y1530 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x159y1538 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x159y1540 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x162y1536 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x165y1538 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x168y1506 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x168y1508 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x171y1504 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x171y1506 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x171y1516 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x171y1518 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x174y1526 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x177y1526 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x177y1528 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x177y1530 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x180y1526 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x180y1528 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x186y1516 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x159y1532 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x159y1534 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x159y1536 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x162y1532 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x162y1540 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x165y1540 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x168y1510 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x171y1508 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x174y1506 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x174y1508 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x174y1518 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x174y1520 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x174y1522 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x174y1524 | LSR3 |


| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x180y1530 | LSR3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x183y1526 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x183y1528 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x183y1530 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x186y1526 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x186y1528 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x186y1530 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x189y1526 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MINDEN / GARDNERVILLE | 38.9541 | -119.7657 | x189y1528 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x186y1622 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x186y1624 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x189y1624 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x189y1626 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x192y1624 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x192y1626 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x195y1624 | LSR1 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x192y1628 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x192y1630 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x195y1626 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x195y1628 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x195y1630 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x198y1624 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x198y1626 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x198y1628 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x198y1630 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x198y1632 | LSR2 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x186y1630 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x186y1632 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x189y1630 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x189y1628 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x192y1632 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x192y1634 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x195y1632 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x195y1634 | LSR3 |
| 24 | MOUNDHOUSE | 39.2136 | -119.6759 | x198y1634 | LSR3 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x312y1688 | LSR1 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x312y1694 | LSR1 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x315y1688 | LSR1 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x315y1690 | LSR1 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x315y1692 | LSR1 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x315y1694 | LSR1 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x315y1696 | LSR1 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x315y1698 | LSR1 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x318y1698 | LSR1 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x318y1700 | LSR1 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x321y1698 | LSR1 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x321y1700 | LSR1 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x324y1700 | LSR1 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x324y1702 | LSR1 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x324y1704 | LSR1 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x279y1658 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x282y1658 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x294y1684 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x294y1686 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x297y1684 | LSR2 |


| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x297y1686 | LSR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x297y1688 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x297y1690 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x300y1688 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x300y1690 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x300y1692 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x303y1688 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x303y1690 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x303y1692 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x306y1688 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x306y1690 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x309y1688 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x309y1690 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x309y1692 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x312y1690 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x312y1692 | LSR2 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x318y1688 | LSR3 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x318y1690 | LSR3 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x318y1692 | LSR3 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x318y1694 | LSR3 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x318y1696 | LSR3 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x321y1692 | LSR3 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x321y1694 | LSR3 |
| 27 | SILVER SPRINGS | 39.4155 | -119.2246 | x321y1696 | LSR3 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1287y2224 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1287y2226 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1287y2228 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1290y2220 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1290y2222 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1290y2224 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1296y2210 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1296y2212 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1296y2214 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1299y2210 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1302y2210 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1305y2210 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1305y2212 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1308y2208 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1308y2210 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x 1308 y 2212 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1311y2206 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1311y2208 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1311y2210 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1314y2192 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1314y2200 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x 1314 y 2202 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x 1314 y 2204 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1314y2206 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1314y2208 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1317y2190 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1317y2192 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1317y2194 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1317y2196 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1317y2198 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1317y2200 | LSR1 |


| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1320y2192 | LSR1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1320y2194 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1320y2196 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1323y2188 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1323y2190 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1323y2192 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1323y2194 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1323y2196 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1326y2188 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1326y2196 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1326y2198 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1329y2184 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1329y2186 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1329y2188 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1329y2190 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1329y2192 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1329y2194 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1329y2196 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1329y2198 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1332y2182 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1332y2184 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1332y2188 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1332y2192 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1332y2194 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1332y2196 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1335y2180 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1335y2182 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1338y2178 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1338y2180 | LSR1 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1284y2226 | LSR2 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1287y2210 | LSR2 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1287y2212 | LSR2 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1287y2214 | LSR2 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1287y2216 | LSR2 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1287y2218 | LSR2 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1290y2210 | LSR2 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1290y2212 | LSR2 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1290y2214 | LSR2 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1290y2216 | LSR2 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1290y2218 | LSR2 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1293y2212 | LSR2 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1293y2214 | LSR2 |
| 28 | SPRING CREEK | 40.7266 | -115.5859 | x1293y2216 | LSR2 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x267y1676 | LSR1 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x267y1678 | LSR1 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x270y1676 | LSR1 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x270y1678 | LSR1 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x270y1680 | LSR1 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x270y1682 | LSR1 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x273y1676 | LSR1 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x273y1678 | LSR1 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x276y1674 | LSR1 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x276y1676 | LSR1 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x276y1678 | LSR1 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x279y1674 | LSR1 |


| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x279y1676 | LSR1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x279y1678 | LSR1 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x273y1680 | LSR2 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x273y1682 | LSR2 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x273y1684 | LSR2 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x276y1680 | LSR2 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x276y1682 | LSR2 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x276y1684 | LSR2 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x276y1686 | LSR2 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x279y1680 | LSR3 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x279y1682 | LSR3 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x279y1684 | LSR3 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x279y1686 | LSR3 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x282y1682 | LSR3 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x282y1684 | LSR3 |
| 24 | STAGECOACH | 39.3738 | -119.3741 | x282y1686 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x108y1548 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x108y1550 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x108y1556 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x111y1534 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x111y1536 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x111y1550 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x111y1552 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x111y1554 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x111y1556 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x111y1558 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x111y1560 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x111y1562 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x111y1564 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x114y1534 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x114y1536 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x114y1554 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x114y1562 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x117y1536 | LSR1 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x108y1546 | LSR2 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x111y1548 | LSR2 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x114y1548 | LSR2 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x117y1538 | LSR2 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x120y1536 | LSR2 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x120y1538 | LSR2 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x123y1538 | LSR2 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x123y1540 | LSR2 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x126y1538 | LSR2 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x126y1540 | LSR2 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x126y1542 | LSR2 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x126y1544 | LSR2 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x126y1546 | LSR2 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x129y1540 | LSR2 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x108y1542 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x108y1544 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x11191540 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x111y1542 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x111y1544 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x111y1546 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x114y1540 | LSR3 |


| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x114y1542 | LSR3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x114y1544 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x114y1546 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x114y1538 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x117y1540 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x117y1542 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x120y1540 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x120y1542 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x123y1526 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x123y1528 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x126y1528 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x126y1530 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x126y1532 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x126y1534 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x126y1536 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x129y1530 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x129y1534 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x129y1536 | LSR3 |
| 23 | STATELINE | 38.9624 | -119.9399 | x129y1538 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x132y1618 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x132y1620 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x132y1622 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x132y1624 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x132y1626 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x132y1628 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x135y1622 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x135y1624 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x141y1628 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x141y1630 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x141y1632 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x141y1634 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x141y1636 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x141y1638 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x141y1640 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x141y1642 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x141y1644 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x141y1646 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x141y1648 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x141y1650 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x144y1632 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x144y1634 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x144y1636 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x144y1638 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x144y1646 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x144y1648 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x144y1650 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x147y1632 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x147y1634 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x147y1636 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x147y1638 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x147y1640 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x150y1626 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x150y1628 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x150y1630 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x150y1632 | LSR1 |


| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x150y1634 | LSR1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x150y1636 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x150y1638 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x150y1640 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x153y1626 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x153y1628 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x153y1630 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x153y1632 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x156y1630 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x156y1632 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x159y1632 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x159y1634 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x162y1634 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x162y1636 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x165y1636 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x165y1638 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x165y1640 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x165y1642 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x168y1638 | LSR1 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x162y1648 | LSR2 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x162y1652 | LSR2 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x165y1648 | LSR2 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x165y1658 | LSR2 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x168y1652 | LSR2 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x168y1654 | LSR2 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x162y1650 | LSR2 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x162y1654 | LSR2 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x165y1650 | LSR2 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x165y1652 | LSR2 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x165y1654 | LSR2 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x165y1656 | LSR2 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x168y1642 | LSR2 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x168y1644 | LSR2 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x168y1646 | LSR2 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x168y1648 | LSR2 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x168y1650 | LSR2 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x153y1666 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x153y1668 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x156y1662 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x156y1664 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x156y1666 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x156y1668 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x156y1670 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x159y1648 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x159y1650 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x159y1652 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x159y1654 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x159y1656 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x159y1658 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x159y1660 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x159y1664 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x159y1666 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x162y1658 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x159y1662 | LSR3 |
| 24 | WASHOE VALLEY | 39.2963 | -119.7761 | x162y1656 | LSR3 |


| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x720y2248 | LSR1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x723y2248 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x723y2250 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x726y2244 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x726y2246 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x726y2248 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x726y2250 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x726y2252 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x726y2264 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x726y2266 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x729y2252 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x729y2254 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x729y2256 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x729y2258 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x729y2260 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x729y2262 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x729y2266 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x732y2260 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x738y2264 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x738y2266 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x738y2268 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x738y2270 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x738y2272 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x738y2274 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x741y2262 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x741y2264 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x741y2266 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x741y2268 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x741y2270 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x741y2274 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x744y2264 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x744y2266 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x744y2270 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x756y2368 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x786y2428 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x789y2426 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x789y2428 | LSR1 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x624y2194 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x624y2196 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x627y2194 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x630y2194 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x633y2194 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x636y2194 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x690y2262 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x690y2264 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x693y2258 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x693y2260 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x693y2262 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x696y2254 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x696y2256 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x696y2258 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x699y2254 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x711y2248 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x711y2258 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x711y2262 | LSR2 |


| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x714y2240 | LSR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x714y2242 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x714y2258 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x714y2262 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x717y2240 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x717y2242 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x717y2244 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x717y2246 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x717y2262 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x717y2264 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x720y2242 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x720y2244 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x720y2246 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x720y2262 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x720y2264 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x723y2264 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x732y2258 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x732y2262 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x735y2258 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x735y2260 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x735y2262 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x735y2264 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x738y2260 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x738y2262 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x741y2256 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x741y2258 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x741y2260 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x744y2256 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x744y2258 | LSR2 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x717y2234 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x717y2236 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x717y2238 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x720y2232 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x720y2234 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x720y2236 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x720y2238 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x720y2240 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x723y2234 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x723y2236 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x723y2238 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x723y2240 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x723y2242 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x723y2244 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x723y2246 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x726y2226 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x726y2228 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x726y2230 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x726y2232 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x726y2234 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x726y2236 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x726y2242 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x729y2230 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x729y2244 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x729y2246 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x729y2268 | LSR3 |


| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x729y2270 | LSR3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x732y2224 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x732y2226 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x732y2228 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x732y2230 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x732y2232 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x732y2264 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x732y2266 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x732y2268 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x732y2270 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x732y2272 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x732y2274 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x732y2276 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x735y2202 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x735y2204 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x735y2266 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x735y2268 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x735y2270 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x735y2272 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x738y2198 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x738y2200 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x738y2202 | LSR3 |
| 26 | WINNEMUCCA | 40.973 | -117.7357 | x738y2204 | LSR3 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x318y1574 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x318y1576 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x318y1578 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x321y1540 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x321y1542 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x321y1544 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x321y1562 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x321y1564 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x321y1570 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x324y1544 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x 324 y 1546 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x324y1564 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x327y1544 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x327y1546 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x327y1548 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x330y1544 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x330y1546 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x330y1548 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x330y1550 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x330y1600 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x333y1544 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x333y1546 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x336y1544 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x336y1546 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x336y1548 | LSR1 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x321y1572 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x321y1574 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x321y1576 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x321y1578 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x324y1522 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x324y1524 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x324y1526 | LSR2 |


| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x324y1528 | LSR2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x324y1530 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x324y1532 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x324y1572 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x324y1574 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x327y1522 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x327y1524 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x327y1526 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x327y1528 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x327y1530 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x327y1532 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x327y1534 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x327y1536 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x330y1530 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x330y1534 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x333y1528 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x333y1530 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x333y1532 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x333y1534 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x333y1536 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x333y1538 | LSR2 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x327y1538 | LSR3 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x327y1540 | LSR3 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x327y1542 | LSR3 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x330y1536 | LSR3 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x330y1538 | LSR3 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x330y1540 | LSR3 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x330y1542 | LSR3 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x333y1540 | LSR3 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x333y1542 | LSR3 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x336y1540 | LSR3 |
| 27 | YERINGTON | 38.9858 | -119.1629 | x336y1542 | LSR3 |

## SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION NORTHERN NEVADA OPERATIONS-RELATED WORK ORDERS GREATER THAN $\$ 100,000$ IN TOTAL COST CLOSED TO PLANT IN SERVICE DECEMBER 2021 - MAY 2023

| Line No. | Work Order Number |  | Work Order Description | Date First Transferred to Plant | Total Amount Excluding CIAC | CIAC | AFUDC | Line No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) |  | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) |  |
| 1 | 0028W4103285 | [1] | SPCRK - SPRING CREEK HP MAIN P | Dec-21 | 9,626,248.32 | 0.00 | 25,308.12 | 1 |
| 2 | 0028CB043000 |  | Bwo - New Random Svc-Swg Trnch |  | 2,709,162.02 | 0.00 | 4,147.27 | 2 |
| 3 | 0024CB030000 |  | Bwo - New Meters |  | 1,751,172.56 | 0.00 | 2,950.94 | 3 |
| 4 | 0024CB043000 |  | Bwo - New Random Svc-Swg Trnch |  | 1,676,845.72 | $(278,435.54)$ | 1,723.69 | 4 |
| 5 | 0024CB041000 |  | Bwo - New Service Subdivision |  | 1,352,132.36 | 0.00 | 2,217.62 | 5 |
| 6 | 0024CB035000 |  | Bwo - Replc Meters |  | 1,054,051.89 | 0.00 | 2,021.81 | 6 |
| 7 | 0024CB044000 |  | Bwo-New Random Svc-Cust Trench |  | 986,224.11 | 0.00 | 1,481.96 | 7 |
| 8 | 0028W4102121 | [1] | SPCRK 2021 - LICHT PKWY | Oct-22 | 978,020.80 | 0.00 | 3,867.90 | 8 |
| 9 | 0028W4277836 | [1] | SPCRK 2022 - PALACE PKWY PH 5 | Mar-23 | 809,158.42 | 0.00 | 11,155.47 | 9 |
| 10 | 0028 W 4102316 | [1] | SPCRK 2021 - SPRING CREEK PARK | Apr-22 | 797,431.08 | 0.00 | 3,201.24 | 10 |
| 11 | 0028 W 4102400 | [1] | SPCRK 2021 - COUNTRY CLUB PKWY | Oct-22 | 708,835.47 | 0.00 | 1,552.58 | 11 |
| 12 | 0028W4102060 | [1] | SPCRK 2021 - PALACE PARKWAY PH | Aug-22 | 680,350.04 | 0.00 | 1,079.49 | 12 |
| 13 | 0028 W 4276324 | [1] | SPCRK 2022 - SPRING CREEK PKWY | Feb-23 | 676,347.02 | 0.00 | 3,160.00 | 13 |
| 14 | 0028W4102752 | [1] | SPCRK 2021 - BOYD-KENNEDY RD P | Dec-21 | 658,043.86 | 0.00 | 443.31 | 14 |
| 15 | 0024W4487580 |  | SI WINNIE LANE VSP REPLACEMENT | May-23 | 643,250.42 | 0.00 | 2,184.30 | 15 |
| 16 | 0028 W 4277815 | [1] | SPCRK 2022 - PALACE PKWY PH 4 | Oct-22 | 583,137.93 | 0.00 | 1,199.28 | 16 |
| 17 | 0024W4387275 |  | SI - FLOWERY LN REPLACEMENT | Feb-23 | 561,906.17 | 0.00 | 769.68 | 17 |
| 18 | 0024CB025000 |  | Bwo - Replc Services |  | 560,118.07 | 0.00 | 1,042.12 | 18 |
| 19 | 0024W4332030 |  | NBMS ANDERSEN RANCH ESTATES | Sep-22 | 551,609.43 | 0.00 | 350.17 | 19 |
| 20 | 0028 W 4102200 | [1] | SPCRK 2021 - SPRING CREEK PARK | Mar-22 | 526,893.46 | 0.00 | 776.35 | 20 |
| 21 | 0028 W 4102809 | [1] | SPCRK 2021 - SPRING VALLEY CT | Dec-21 | 493,849.34 | 0.00 | 368.98 | 21 |
| 22 | 0028 W 4276403 | [1] | SPRCK 2022 - SPRING CREEK LANE | Mar-23 | 466,265.73 | 0.00 | 1,210.87 | 22 |
| 23 | 0024W4401883 |  | NBMR RIDERS AVE REINFORCEMENT | Feb-23 | 458,284.96 | 0.00 | 2,009.33 | 23 |
| 24 | 0023CB025000 |  | Bwo - Replc Services |  | 453,582.18 | 0.00 | 496.45 | 24 |
| 25 | 0027CB043000 |  | Bwo - New Random Svc-Swg Trnch |  | 451,005.47 | $(3,499.00)$ | 574.91 | 25 |
| 26 | 0028W4102788 | [1] | SPCRK 2021 - VALLEY VISTA DRIV | Dec-21 | 436,355.82 | 0.00 | 534.16 | 26 |
| 27 | 0028 W 4102499 | [1] | SPCRK 2021 -SPRING CREEK PKWY | Mar-22 | 405,601.17 | 0.00 | 553.66 | 27 |
| 28 | 0028 W 4102694 | [1] | SPCRK 2021 - PALACE PARKWAY PH | Oct-22 | 402,808.02 | 0.00 | 658.31 | 28 |
| 29 | 0028 W 4102456 | [1] | SPCRK 2021 - COUNTRY CLUB PKWY | Oct-22 | 401,849.61 | 0.00 | 225.48 | 29 |
| 30 | 0028W4102384 | [1] | SPCRK 2021 - COUNTRY CLUB PKWY | Sep-22 | 400,965.40 | 0.00 | 443.09 | 30 |
| 31 | 0027W4167492 |  | DRS - DESERT HILLS DAIRY TAP | Aug-22 | 400,563.26 | 0.00 | 7,017.83 | 31 |
| 32 | 0024CB010000 |  | Bwo - New Mains |  | 389,305.34 | 0.00 | 681.53 | 32 |
| 33 | 0028W4293534 | [1] | SPCRK - 2021 LANDMARK LANE | May-22 | 371,633.93 | 0.00 | 506.48 | 33 |
| 34 | 0028 W 4102677 | [1] | SPCRK 2021 - PALACE PARKWAY PH | Oct-22 | 370,923.96 | 0.00 | 740.70 | 34 |
| 35 | 0025W0006614 |  | PROJECT \#E25-01-21 | Apr-22 | 352,945.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 35 |
| 36 | 0028W4276808 | [1] | SPCRK 2022 - BLACK OAK DRIVE | Nov-22 | 310,196.82 | 0.00 | 597.46 | 36 |
| 37 | 0028W4276382 | [1] | SPRCK 2022 - SPRING CREEK PKWY | Apr-23 | 309,272.78 | 0.00 | 1,689.90 | 37 |
| 38 | 0024W0006508 |  | Field Rugged MWS Replacement-NNV | Jul-22 | 308,200.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 38 |
| 39 | 0024W4229040 |  | NBMS CLEAR CREEK @ TAHOE PHASE | Dec-22 | 291,693.69 | 0.00 | 1,706.55 | 39 |
| 40 | 0027CB030000 |  | Bwo - New Meters |  | 285,135.76 | 0.00 | 494.78 | 40 |
| 41 | 0028W4277444 | [1] | SPCK 2022 - CRIPPLE CREEK DRIV | Oct-22 | 284,706.11 | 0.00 | 702.37 | 41 |
| 42 | 0025CB030000 |  | Bwo - New Meters |  | 281,804.26 | 0.00 | 695.74 | 42 |
| 43 | 0026W4202388 |  | SI CHUKAR HILLS MHP REPLACEMEN | Nov-22 | 279,556.46 | 0.00 | 916.85 | 43 |
| 44 | 0028W4367347 | [1] | SPCRK 2022 - BELLWOOD DRIVE | May-23 | 278,025.60 | 0.00 | 600.41 | 44 |
| 45 | 0028W4276786 | [1] | SPCRK 2022 - WHITE OAK DRIVE | Oct-22 | 274,871.99 | 0.00 | 747.14 | 45 |
| 46 | 0027W4175852 |  | NBMS FRIENDLY RANCH PHASE 1 | Dec-22 | 268,685.10 | 0.00 | 1,084.01 | 46 |
| 47 | 0028W4102801 | [1] | SPCRK 2021 - EDGEWATER DRIVE | Dec-21 | 256,834.37 | 0.00 | 363.92 | 47 |
| 48 | 0024W4470085 |  | NBMS TRADITIONS VILLAGE BACKBO | Jan-23 | 254,959.74 | 0.00 | 644.99 | 48 |
| 49 | 0024W0006198 |  | PROJECT \#24-01-21 | Oct-22 | 254,790.75 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 49 |
| 50 | 0026CB025120 |  | BWO - COYL |  | 240,579.32 | 0.00 | 263.43 | 50 |
| 51 | 0028W4277398 | [1] | SPCRK 2022 - BLUE JAY DRIVE | Nov-22 | 221,391.73 | 0.00 | 406.92 | 51 |
| 52 | 0024W4115879 |  | SI - DAYTON DRS 1 REBUILD | Dec-22 | 218,238.04 | 0.00 | 776.13 | 52 |
| 53 | 0028W4277820 | [1] | SPCRK 2022 - THORPE DRIVE | Nov-22 | 202,953.70 | 0.00 | 268.43 | 53 |
| 54 | 0024W0007067 |  | Carson-GPS Devices \& Base Stations | Nov-22 | 199,732.76 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 54 |
| 55 | 0027W4145927 |  | SI - SHECKLER MAIN REINFORCEME | Jan-22 | 197,621.16 | 0.00 | 213.37 | 55 |
| 56 | 0024W0006203 |  | PROJECT \#29-01-21 | May-22 | 197,174.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 56 |
| 57 | 0028W4102762 | [1] | SPCRK 2021 - BOYD KENNEDY RD P | Dec-21 | 191,941.69 | 0.00 | 288.25 | 57 |
| 58 | 0028 W 4277391 | [1] | SPCRK 2022 - OAKMONT PH 1 | Oct-22 | 188,837.24 | 0.00 | 816.06 | 58 |
| 59 | 0028W4276814 | [1] | SPCRK 2022 - ROYAL OAK DRIVE | Oct-22 | 183,852.08 | 0.00 | 596.94 | 59 |
| 60 | 0028W4102410 | [1] | SPCRK 2021 - FAIRWAY BLVD | Oct-22 | 182,322.95 | 0.00 | 346.89 | 60 |
| 61 | 0027W3943521 |  | SI - SILVER SPRINGS DRS | Sep-22 | 181,305.42 | 0.00 | 3,982.57 | 61 |
| 62 | 0028W4276428 | [1] | SPCRK 2022 - FAIRGROVE DRIVE | Dec-22 | 179,942.40 | 0.00 | 450.63 | 62 |
| 63 | 0024CB042000 |  | Bwo - New Service Commercial |  | 170,699.39 | 0.00 | 240.83 | 63 |
| 64 | 0023CB010000 |  | Bwo - New Mains |  | 169,785.53 | 0.00 | 202.00 | 64 |

## SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION NORTHERN NEVADA

OPERATIONS-RELATED WORK ORDERS GREATER THAN $\$ 100,000$ IN TOTAL COST CLOSED TO PLANT IN SERVICE DECEMBER 2021 - MAY 2023

| Line No. | Work Order Number |  | Work Order Description | Date First Transferred to Plant | Total Amount Excluding CIAC | CIAC | AFUDC | Line <br> No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) |  | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) |  |
| 65 | 0024W4001101 |  | NBMS TRADITIONS VILLAGE BACKBO | Jan-22 | 160,978.78 | 0.00 | 772.47 | 65 |
| 66 | 0028W4277395 | [1] | SPCRK 2022 - OAKMONT PH 2 | Nov-22 | 160,634.57 | 0.00 | 529.45 | 66 |
| 67 | 0028W4277454 | [1] | SPCRK 2022 - WOLF CREEK DRIVE | Oct-22 | 159,304.02 | 0.00 | 210.05 | 67 |
| 68 | 0028W4107034 | [1] | SPCRK - SPRING CREEK DRS \#2 | Mar-22 | 154,995.33 | 0.00 | 542.84 | 68 |
| 69 | 0024 W 4401990 |  | NBMS LITTLE LANE VILLAGE PHASE | Oct-22 | 152,332.34 | 0.00 | 65.67 | 69 |
| 70 | 0026W3943530 |  | SI - NEWMONT PHOENIX MINE DRS | Apr-22 | 151,706.88 | 0.00 | 918.02 | 70 |
| 71 | 0027CB044000 |  | Bwo-New Random Svc-Cust Trench |  | 151,112.22 | (771.00) | 189.96 | 71 |
| 72 | 0028W4107033 | [1] | SPCRK - SPRING CREEK DRS \#1 | Dec-21 | 149,987.25 | 0.00 | 357.29 | 72 |
| 73 | 0024 W 4393387 |  | NBMS VALLEY KNOLLS PHASE 2 REV | Aug-22 | 146,934.84 | 0.00 | 365.08 | 73 |
| 74 | 0027W4308685 |  | NBMS COOK RANCH ESTATES PH 3 | Oct-22 | 146,612.51 | 0.00 | 196.39 | 74 |
| 75 | 0024CB025120 |  | BWO - COYL |  | 137,827.22 | 0.00 | 154.79 | 75 |
| 76 | 0028W4107035 | [1] | SPCRK - SPRING CREEK DRS \#3 | Mar-22 | 136,153.99 | 0.00 | 499.89 | 76 |
| 77 | 0024CB015000 |  | Bwo - Replc Mains |  | 130,014.55 | 0.00 | 182.06 | 77 |
| 78 | 0028W4082575 | [1] | SPCRK - SPRING CREEK HP PHASE | Jan-21 | 126,870.46 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 78 |
| 79 | 0027W4519719 |  | NBMC COMMERCE CENTER | May-23 | 126,686.23 | 0.00 | 129.42 | 79 |
| 80 | 0027CB035000 |  | Bwo - Replc Meters |  | 126,035.99 | 0.00 | 241.29 | 80 |
| 81 | 0024W4258451 |  | NBMS - PRISON FARM MAIN | Feb-22 | 118,514.34 | 0.00 | 47.16 | 81 |
| 82 | 0024W0006866 |  | Carson Leak Survey Equipment | Dec-21 | 111,137.97 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 82 |
| 83 | 0024W4428638 |  | SI - CARSON VALLEY MIDDLE SCHO | Jul-22 | 108,929.48 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 83 |
| 84 | 0024W3857795 |  | NBMS PARKHAVEN ESTATES | Aug-22 | 106,611.94 | 0.00 | 326.28 | 84 |
| 85 | 0024W3903276 |  | NBMS TRADITIONS VILLAGE PH 5 | Jun-22 | 106,224.53 | 0.00 | 464.18 | 85 |
| 86 | 0024W0006262 |  | PROJECT \#E24-01-20 | Aug-22 | 103,889.73 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 86 |
| 87 | 0028W4107959 | [1] | SPCRK - 6 INCH PE HDD BORE @ L | Mar-22 | 103,204.93 | 0.00 | 351.99 | 87 |
| 88 | 0023W4366512 |  | SI 4H CAMP COYL REPLACEMENT | May-22 | 102,159.68 | 0.00 | 151.55 | 88 |
| 89 | 0028CB030000 |  | Bwo - New Meters |  | 101,187.38 | 0.00 | 140.21 | 89 |

[^48]
## SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION NORTHERN NEVADA

## GAS INFRASTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT PROJECTS

 PLANT IN SERVICE FOR ACCELERATED RECOVERY FOR THE PERIOD BEGINNING DECEMBER 1, 2021 THROUGH JULY 31, 2022 [1]| Line No. | Description | WR\# |  | Total <br> Additions [2][3] | Line No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) | (b) |  | (c) |  |
|  | Projects Approved in Docket Nos. 16-06001, 17-05027 and 18-06004 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | Customer Owned Yard Line (COYL) | Multiple | \$ | 8,263 | 1 |
| 2 | Total |  | \$ | 8,263 | 2 |

[1] Represents the period beginning after the certification period in the Company's last General Rate Case Docket No. 21-09001 through the period of the final GIR Rate Application Docket No. 22-09024.
[2] Additions for December 2021 only as the GIR COYL program ended December 31, 2021.
[3] In the Order for Docket No. 22-09024 the charges recovered through the GIR mechanism ended July 31, 2021 thus these additions were never included in a GIR rate.

# SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION NORTHERN NEVADA GAS INFRASTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT PRUDENCY REVIEW PACKAGE 

WORK ORDER 0023CB025120


Southwest Gas Corporation


Exhibit No.__(MAH-4)

## SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION NORTHERN NEVADA <br> GAS INFRASTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT INVOICES BY COST CATEGORY CUSTOMER OWNED YARD LINE (COYL) 0023CB025120

| Line |  |  |  | Cost of |  |  | Line |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | PO of Voucher Number and Cost Category (1) | Mains | Services | Subtotal | Removal | Invoice Total | No. |
|  | (a) | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) |  |


(1) The voucher number is the internal Southwest Gas control number assigned through the Oracle Accounts Payable vouching process.

Southwest Gas Corporation
Attention: Chris Couture
5241 Spring Mountain Rd.
Las Vegas, NV 89105-0002
P.O. Box 603663

Charlotte, NC 28260-3663
(336) 992-5420
(336) 992-5421 Fax

Invoice \#: 211204NNV-COYL Invoice Date: 12/09/2021

922029

| Contract \# | PO \# | LMR \# | Date Performed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3020 | RC 14360 | 211204-SWG-NNV-COYL | $12 / 4 / 2021$ |
| Location |  |  |  |
| COYL |  |  |  |


| Unit \# | Quantity | Description | Unit Price | Extended |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| LINE_LOC_TECH_NNV | 14.25 | Line Locate Tech - Hrly - Reg | $\$ 50.92$ | $\$ 725.61$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

# SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION NORTHERN NEVADA <br> GAS INFRASTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT <br> PRUDENCY REVIEW PACKAGE 

WORK ORDER 0024CB025120

Southwest Gas Corporation


## SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION NORTHERN NEVADA <br> GAS INFRASTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT INVOICES BY COST CATEGORY CUSTOMER OWNED YARD LINE (COYL) 0024CB025120

| Line No. | PO of Voucher Number and Cost Category (1) |  | Mains | Cost of |  |  |  | Line No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) |  | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) |  |
|  | Contractor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 919976 | \$ | 0.00 \$ | 1317.4 \$ | 1317.40 \$ | 0.00 \$ | 1317.40 | 1 |

(1) The voucher number is the internal Southwest Gas control number assigned through the Oracle Accounts Payable vouching process.

# ${ }^{2}$ arroana <br> 919976 <br> P．O．BOX 401865 －HESPERIA，CALIFORNIA 92340－1865－TELEPHONE（760）244－8212 <br> INVOICE 




Approved By

PI ARIZONA.
2670 LOCKHEED WAY CARSON CITY, NV 89706
Foreman Brian Kemp City Washoe Valley

|  | REG. TIME |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 412 | 2 MAN CREW W/BKH | HRS |
| 413 | 2 MAN CREW NO/BKH | 5 |
|  |  |  |
| 416 | 3 MAN CREW W/BKH |  |
| 417 | 3 MAN CREW NO/BKH |  |
| 448 | TRUCK DRIVER |  |
| 442 | OPERATOR |  |
| 438 | LABORER 1 |  |
| 444 | PLUMBER WITRK | 5 |
| 446 | PLUMBER II WITRK |  |
| 424 | CONSTR. ASSISTANT |  |
| 450 | WELDER W/TRUCK |  |
| 458 | DIG \& INSPECT CREW |  |

CREW DAY
Daily Progress Report
Bill to Customer Supervisor:
D.24/Coyl

Date $\|-17-2 \mid$
State NV

Job \# 108011 . Foreman Sub Job \#

County WaSher $\qquad$
$\qquad$


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| CODE | HRS |
| 414 |  |
| 415 |  |
| 418 |  |
| 419 |  |
| 449 |  |
| 443 |  |
| 439 |  |
| 445 |  |
| 447 |  |
| 425 |  |
| 451 |  |
| 459 |  |


comments: Potholed Service, Open tanched 12' to meters new location. Pot hold at Edge of property Squeezed and Installed $1 / 2 " E E v$,


# SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION NORTHERN NEVADA <br> GAS INFRASTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT <br> PRUDENCY REVIEW PACKAGE 

WORK ORDER 0026CB025120

| Company  <br> Funding Project Major Location <br> Asset Location  |  |  | Months: Dec 2021 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| to Dec 2021 |  |  |  |

# SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION NORTHERN NEVADA <br> GAS INFRASTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT <br> PRUDENCY REVIEW PACKAGE 

WORK ORDER 0027CB025120


## SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION NORTHERN NEVADA <br> GAS INFRASTRUCTURE REPLACEMENT <br> INVOICES BY COST CATEGORY <br> CUSTOMER OWNED YARD LINE (COYL) <br> 0027CB025120

| Line No. | PO of Voucher Number and Cost Category (1) |  | Mains | Services | Subtotal | Cost of Removal | Invoice Total | Line No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) |  | (b) | (c) | (d) | (e) | (f) |  |
| Contractor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 922528 | \$ | 0.00 \$ | 1,829.52 \$ | 1829.52 \$ | 0.00 \$ | 1,829.52 | 1 |
| 2 | 922532 |  | 0.00 | 884.57 | 884.57 | 0.00 | 884.57 | 2 |
| 3 | Subtotal - Contractor | \$ | 0.00 \$ | 2714.09 \$ | 2714.09 \$ | 0.00 \$ | 2,714.09 | 3 |
| 4 | Total Invoices | \$ | 0.00 \$ | 2,714.09 \$ | 2714.09 \$ | 0.00 \$ | 2,714.09 | 4 |

(1) The voucher number is the internal Southwest Gas control number assigned through the Oracle Accounts Payable vouching process.

```
    HARIZONA
    P.O. BOX 401865 - HESPERIA, CALIFORNIA 92340-1865 - TELEPHONE (760) 244-8212
        INVOICE
```

SOUTHWEST GAS CORP
400 EAGLE STATION LANE
Invoice No: 08121000153600
Invoice Date: 12/13/2021
CARSON CITY, NV 89701
ATTN:COYL
APL Invoice No: 92069
APL Job No: $\quad 10800402$

Contract \#: 13558

| Ticket Number | DESCRIPTION | wo\# | WR\# | UNITS | UM | RATE | AMOUNT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 93297 | ASP ADDT'L 2" LIFT 26-100 S/F | C8025120 | 4249374 | 84 | FT | \$3.63 | \$30492 |
| 93297 | REPL ASP 0-4" $26.100 \mathrm{~S} / \mathrm{F}$ | CB025120 | 4249374 | 84 | FT | S18 15 | \$1,524 60 |



W ARIZONA
2670 LOCKHEED WAY
CARSON CITY, NV 89706

PAVING \& CONCRETE FOOTAGE SHEET
foreman Toss Chaíz
location: 355 Fremont 5 t
Fenley NV
API JOBH: $108004-02$

DATE $\qquad$ $12-02-21$
wo\# CBO25120
WR\#: 4249374 $\qquad$
SWG DISTRICT: 27


EXTRA COST EVERY 2"OVER 4"'

| SLURRY SEAL |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | SQ. YARD: |


| CONCRETE |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0-4 "$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

EXIRA COST EVERY 1"OVER 4"
NOTES: $5^{\prime \prime}$ Dep
$\$ 1.829 .52$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

PAVEMENT REPAIR RECORD



| SOUTHWEST GAS CORP |
| :--- |
| 400 EAGLE STATION LANE |
| CARSON CITY, NV 89701 |
| ATTN:COYL |
|  |

Date: $\frac{1212121}{\text { Job Number: } \frac{108005-02}{150 y e \sqrt{5}}}$
Foreman \#: JJ Paved S
WRNO: 4249374
Vendor Invoice \#: CBO25120
Address: 355 FRemont st
Traffic Control Daily
D15527
city: Fernlen NV
RENTAL EQUIPMENT

|  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |
|  |

CLOSURES / SETUP / REMOVAL

|  |
| :--- |

MISCELLANEOUS CHARGES

| Cord Truck 20641 |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | vide |

LABOR / FLAGGER



QUANTITY

|  |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |

QUANTITY

|  |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |

QUANTITY


## AFFIRMATION OF MATTHEW A. HELMERS

Pursuant to NAC 703.710, Matthew A. Helmers affirms and declares the following:

1. I am over 18 years of age and am competent to testify to facts stated below which are based upon my personal knowledge.
2. That I am the person identified in the foregoing prepared testimony, including, where applicable, any exhibits.
3. That such testimony and exhibits were prepared by me or under my direction.
4. That the information appearing in my testimony and exhibits are true to the best of my knowledge and belief and that if I were asked the questions stated therein under oath, my answers would be the same.
5. Pursuant to NRS 53.045 , I declare under penalty of perjury under the law of the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.

EXECUTED and DATED this 24th day of August, 2023


Matthew A. Hemmers
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[^11]:    ${ }^{22}$ Application of Southwest Gas Corporation for authority to increase its retail natural gas utility service rates for Southern and Northern Nevada, Public Utilities Commission of Nevada, Order, Docket No. 2002023, at 32-33, September 23, 2020.

[^12]:    ${ }^{24}$ Pauline M. Ahern, Frank J. Hanley, and Richard A. Michelfelder, A New Approach for Estimating the Equity Risk
    Premium for Public Utilities, The Journal of Regulatory Economics (December 2011), 40:261-278.
    ${ }^{25}$ Autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity; see also www.nobelprize.org.
    ${ }^{26}$ Autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity.
    ${ }^{27}$ Illustrated on Columns 1 and 2, page 2 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-5).
    ${ }^{28}$ Illustrated on Column 4, page 2 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-5).

[^13]:    ${ }^{29}$ Annualized Return $=(1+\text { Monthly Return })^{\wedge} 12-1$.
    ${ }^{30}$ See, Column 6, page 2 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-5).
    ${ }^{31}$ See, Blue Chip Financial Forecasts, June 30, 2023 at page 2; June 1, 2023 at page 14.

[^14]:    ${ }^{34}$ See, SBBI-2023 Appendix A Tables: Morningstar Stocks, Bonds, Bills, \& Inflation 1926-2022. (DWD-5).

[^15]:    ${ }^{36}$ See, SBBI-2023, at 194.

[^16]:    ${ }^{37}$ Data from January 1926 to December 2022 is from SBBI－2023．Data from January 2023 to June 2023 is from Bloomberg．
    ${ }^{38}$ Shown on line 3，page 8 of Exhibit No．＿＿（DWD－5）．
    ${ }^{39}$ As explained in detail in note 1，page 2 of Exhibit No． $\qquad$ （DWD－6）．

[^17]:    ${ }^{41}$ As shown on line 1, page 12 of Exhibit No.___(DWD-5).
    ${ }^{42}$ Derived on line 3, page 3 of Exhibit No. $\qquad$ (DWD-5).
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[^44]:    ${ }^{6}$ Includes amounts for vehicles and equipment purchased by Fleet Management.

[^45]:    ${ }^{7}$ See Southwest Gas' comments in Docket No. 19-09011 dated January 24, 2020, and June 17, 2021.
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